

Via my Amazon-/Microsoft-/VC-praised **AI**-preneurship (mAIP), I identified a threat to many/most people (T2M) that's taking shape at the intersection of: 1) ongoing advances in molecular-genetics research that imperil [~78 million psychopaths](#), 2) global kleptocracy, 3) Donald Trump, 4) [his supporters](#). Via my [finders'-fee](#) offer (mFFO), you can profit and be much safer.

Re: said praise

Links to the praise are on [pp.](#) 55-6 below, along with excerpts.

Re: mAIP

Details below (e.g., pp. 56-68, 190-2). Excerpt:

[mAIP] + my subsequent [disruptive innovations](#) + . . . + customized-education-for-AI will be to the [AI economy](#) what oil has been to the industrial economy = . . . [via mFFO,] finders gain [up to 20%] . . . of a [Rockefeller-ian](#) fortune

Re: mFFO and you acting on it are parts of a textbook approach to preventing/subduing T2M

See: 1) the below excerpt (p. 23) from 2021 book [Averting Catastrophe: Decision Theory for COVID-19, Climate Change, and Potential Disasters of All Kinds](#), by an Administrator of President Obama's White House Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, 2) details re: mFFO (pp. 43-7, 52-3).

T2M summary (part 1 of 4; details follow)

From a [University of Pennsylvania criminologist's](#) 2013 [book](#): [~78 million psychopaths](#) (Ps) are IMPERILED (PsIMP) by ongoing [advances](#) in molecular-genetics research (e.g., "[indefinite detention](#)" of Ps by the year 2034).

From a 2020 [article](#) in *Nature*: "In the past decade, studies of psychopathological

genetics have become large enough to draw robust conclusions.”

Very likely: a [growing number](#) of Ps are aware that PsIMP.

Re: psychopathy is ~70% heritable

From 2011 book [The Science of Evil](#), by a University of Cambridge professor of developmental psychopathology:

If a trait or behavior is even partly genetic, we should see its signature showing up in twins.

. . . Regarding twin studies of Type P [i.e., psychopaths], none of these show 100 percent heritability, but the genetic component is nevertheless substantial (the largest estimate being about 70 percent).

Re: many/most/all [genetic identifiers](#) of said ~70% will be identified soon

From 2013 book [The Anatomy of Violence: The Biological Roots of Crime](#), by said University of Pennsylvania professor of Criminology (and Psychiatry and Psychology):

“Behavioral genetics is a shadowy black box because, while it tells us what proportion of a given behavior is genetically influenced, it does not identify the specific genes lurking in there that predispose one to violence. Molecular genetics is poised to pry open that black box . . .”

“Twenty years ago, molecular genetics was a fledgling field of research. Now it is a major enterprise providing us with a detailed look at the structure and function of genes.”

“The essence of the molecular genetic research we have been touching on above—identifying specific genes that predispose individuals to crime—is that genes code for neurotransmitter functioning. Neurotransmitters are brain chemicals essential to brain functioning. There are more than a hundred of

them and they help to transmit signals from one brain cell to another to communicate information. Change the level of these neurotransmitters, and you change cognition, emotion, and behavior.

. . . It's 2034 . . . [A]ll males in society aged eighteen and over have to register at their local hospital for a quick brain scan and DNA testing. One simple finger prick for one drop of blood that takes ten seconds. Then a five-minute brain scan for the "Fundamental Five Functions": First, a structural scan provides the brain's anatomy. Second, a functional scan shows resting brain activity. Third, enhanced diffusion-tensor imaging is taken to assess the integrity of the white-fiber system in the brain, assessing intricate brain connectivity. Fourth is a reading of the brain's neurochemistry that has been developed from magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Fifth and finally, the cellular functional scan assesses expression of 23,000 different genes at the cellular level. The computerization of all medical, school, psychological, census, and neighborhood data makes it easy to combine these traditional risk variables alongside the vast amount of DNA and brain data to form an all-encompassing biosocial data set.

. . . Fourth-generation machine-learning techniques looked for complex patterns of linear and nonlinear relationships . . .”

Re: “indefinite detention” of many/most/all Ps could/should ensue

From *The Anatomy of Violence* (my emphases):

It's 2034 . . . The economic cost of crime is now astronomical. Back in 2010, the cost of homicide in the United States was estimated at over \$300 billion—more than the combined budgets of the Departments of Education, Justice, Housing and Urban Development, Health and Human Services, Labor, and Homeland Security. Way back in 1999, it was estimated to consume 11.9 percent of GDP, but in 2034 it is gobbling up 21.8 percent.

. . . [This] leads the government to launch the LOMBROSO program—
Legal Offensive on Murder: Brain Research Operation for the Screening of

Offenders.

. . . Under LOMBROSO, those who test positive—the **LPs**—**are held in indefinite detention** . . . It sounds quite cushy, but remember that the **LPs have not actually committed a crime**. Perhaps the main drawback is who they live with, housed as they are in facilities full of other **LPs—time bombs waiting to explode**.

From 2019 book [*The New Evil: Understanding the Emergence of Modern Violent Crime*](#):

As we move along the continuum to Category 9 [of 22 categories of violent crime], we traverse an important threshold. The remainder of the scale encompasses persons who commit “evil” acts partly or wholly as the result of varying degrees of psychopathy . . .

TNE co-author Michael H. Stone, MD, is a professor of clinical psychiatry at the [Columbia University](#) College of Physicians and Surgeons.

TNE co-author Gary Brucato, PhD, is: 1) a clinical psychologist and researcher in the areas of violence, psychosis, and other serious psychopathology, 2) the assistant director of the Center of Prevention and Evaluation at the New York State Psychiatric Institute/Columbia University Medical Center.

From 2011 book [*The Psychopath Test: A Journey Through the Madness Industry*](#):

[“]She said, ‘I’ve got a *bad* personality. I like to hurt people.’ I thought she was winding me up. I said, ‘Okay, fine.’ So we went through the [fMRI] tests [i.e., brain scans]. When she was looking at the photographs of the mutilated bodies, the sensors showed that she was getting a kick off of them. Her **sexual reward center—it’s a sexual thing—was fired up by blood and death** [my emphasis]. It’s subconscious. It happens in milliseconds. She found those things *pleasant*.”

From the 2017 [article](#) in *The Atlantic* titled “When Your Child Is a Psychopath”:

At 11, Samantha is just over 5 feet tall and has wavy black hair and a steady gaze. She flashes a smile when I ask about her favorite subject (history), and grimaces when I ask about her least favorite (math). She seems poised and cheerful, a normal preteen. But when we steer into uncomfortable territory—the events that led her to this juvenile-treatment facility nearly 2,000 miles from her family—Samantha hesitates and looks down at her hands. “I wanted the whole world to myself,” she says. “So I made a whole entire book about how to hurt people.”

Starting at age 6, Samantha began drawing pictures of murder weapons: a knife, a bow and arrow, chemicals for poisoning, a plastic bag for suffocating. She tells me that she pretended to kill her stuffed animals.

“You were practicing on your stuffed animals?” I ask her.

She nods.

“How did you feel when you were doing that to your stuffed animals?”

“Happy.”

“Why did it make you feel happy?”

“Because I thought that someday I was going to end up doing it on somebody.”

“Did you ever try?”

Silence.

“I choked my little brother.”

. . . One bitter December day in 2011, Jen [who adopted Samantha] was driving the children along a winding road near their home. Samantha had just turned 6. Suddenly Jen heard screaming from the back seat, and when

she looked in the mirror, she saw Samantha with her hands around the throat of her 2-year-old sister, who was trapped in her car seat. Jen separated them, and once they were home, she pulled Samantha aside.

“What were you doing?,” Jen asked.

“I was trying to choke her,” Samantha said.

“You realize that would have killed her? She would not have been able to breathe. *She would have died.*”

“I know.”

“What about the rest of us?”

“I want to kill all of you.”

Samantha later showed Jen her sketches, and Jen watched in horror as her daughter demonstrated how to strangle or suffocate her stuffed animals. “I was so terrified,” Jen says. “I felt like I had lost control.”

Four months later, Samantha tried to strangle her baby brother, who was just two months old.

Jen and Danny had to admit that nothing seemed to make a difference—not affection, not discipline, not therapy. “I was reading and reading and reading, trying to figure out what diagnosis made sense,” Jen tells me. “What fits with the behaviors I’m seeing?” Eventually she found one condition that did seem to fit . . .

“In the children’s mental-health world, it’s pretty much a terminal diagnosis, except your child’s not going to die,” Jen says. “It’s just that there’s no help [i.e., no treatment/cure].”

From a 2015 [article](#) on CNN.com:

“The more severe the psychopathy, the greater the inheritance for the disorder,” . . . said [[J. Reid Meloy](#), forensic psychologist and author of *[The Psychopathic Mind](#)*].

Re: it’s (very) likely that a growing number of Ps are aware that PsIMP

From a 2016 [article](#) on PsychologyToday.com:

A [[meta-analytic](#)] review of [48] studies found that the correlation between psychopathy and intelligence is nearly zero [i.e., [~2.3% of Ps have an IQ ≥ 130; ~16% > 115](#)].

From the 2012 [article](#) in *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin* titled “The Corporate Psychopath”:

Today’s corporate psychopath may be highly educated—several with Ph.D., M.D., and J.D. degrees have been studied . . .

En route to raising awareness, Ps can use the pretense of screening job applicants to test for psychopathy (i.e., to identify other Ps).

T2M summary (part 2 of 4; details follow)

(Very) likely:

- a growing number of Ps are resisting PsIMP
- Ps’ [war chest](#) is large and growing larger rapidly (e.g., via kleptocracy, [ransomware](#), other means previewed on pp. 132-7)

Re: said likelihoods:

[Worldwide, kleptocracy](#) is [BOOMING](#).

Necessity for kleptocrats (Ks): [hiring contract killers often](#).

Contract killers are Ps in “virtually all” cases (source: 2019 [article](#) in *The Atlantic*).

So Ks (e.g., non-Ps) need to RESIST PsIMP (e.g., by FUNDING (other) Ps after making them aware that PsIMP).

Indicators that the alliance of Ks and Ps has reached an advanced stage: parallels/similarities between [Deutsche Bank](#) and the defunct, [wildly violent, politically influential/coercive, worldwide criminal enterprise of the 1980s known as Bank of Credit and Commerce International](#) (BCCI).

Re: kleptocracy is BOOMING

Title of a 2020 book by a *Financial Times* reporter:

[*Kleptopia: How Dirty Money is Conquering the World*](#)

From a June 2021 [article](#) on *Foreign Policy* magazine’s website:

Biden Jump-Starts Fight Against Kleptocracy: New memorandum puts anti-corruption efforts at the heart of national security strategy.

Re: Ks hiring contract killers often

Summary (details follow)

Ks need to use violence (e.g., murder).

Ks don’t want “their” violence perpetrated by people with inside-knowledge of the Ks’ kleptocratic/criminal activity.

Re: Ks need to use violence

From *Kleptopia: How Dirty Money is Conquering the World* (my emphases):

“[F]or the kleptocrat, ruling by licensing theft rather than seeking consent,

money can achieve most of what needs to be done. For everything else, there is **violence**.”

“**[V]iolence** was still **required**. It was to dirty money what the law was to clean—a guarantee that agreements would be honoured.”

Re: Ks don’t want “their” violence perpetrated by people with inside-knowledge . . .

From *Kleptopia* (my emphases):

Geremeyev had rented a flat in Moscow for the hit squad, then flew out the day after their work was done, alongside the shooter. Putin’s officials had permitted the investigators to indict the hit squad and the missing driver, but refused to allow any **charges against Geremeyev**. That **would have brought the matter too close to the sacred networks of kleptocratic power** that stretched between Moscow, Grozny and beyond.

Precedent for Ks “outsourcing” murder to contract killers (CKs)

From [Wikipedia](#):

Murder, Inc. . . . was an [organized crime](#) group, active from 1929 to 1941, that acted as the enforcement arm of the [Italian-American Mafia](#), the [Jewish Mob](#), and other closely connected organized crime groups in [New York City](#) and elsewhere.

More indicators that Ks outsource to CKs

From *Kleptopia*:

They formed a new five families, these international kleptocrats: the Nats, the Brits, the Sprooks, the Petros and the Party.

. . . The Party, the Nats, the Brits, the Petros and the Sprooks are like the

clans of the Cosa Nostra that came before them. On the surface they are rivals. But ultimately they are engaged in a common endeavour . . .

From November 2021 book [*American Kleptocracy: How the U.S. Created the World's Greatest Money Laundering Scheme in History*](#):

BCCI had created a blueprint that numerous kleptocrats and international criminals would soon follow. It was one of the earliest case studies in what would grow into the modern kleptocracy playbook . . .

From 1993 book [*The Outlaw Bank: A Wild Ride into the Secret Heart of BCCI*](#), co-authored by two journalists who covered BCCI for *Time* magazine (my emphases):

From interviews with sources close to BCCI, *Time* has pieced together a portrait of a clandestine division of the bank called the Black Network, which functions as a global intelligence operation and a **mafia-like enforcement squad** . . . [T]he **1,500-employee Black Network** has used sophisticated spy equipment and techniques, along with bribery, **extortion**, **kidnapping** and even, by some accounts, **murder**.

From a 2021 [article](#) on CNN.com titled “Hundreds arrested after police infiltrate secret criminal phone network”:

EncroChat, which offered a secure mobile phone instant messaging service, was a “criminal marketplace” used by 60,000 people worldwide for coordinating the distribution of illicit goods, money laundering and plotting to kill rivals . . .

. . . The crime agency said it had worked with police partners to prevent kidnappings and executions by “successfully mitigating over 200 threats to life.”

From a different 2021 [article](#) on CNN.com:

[T]here were other, larger encrypted communication apps which police were

working to access.

Re: BCCI

From a 1992 U.S. Senate [report on BCCI](#):

“[L]argest case of organized crime in history . . . finance[d] terrorism . . . assist[ed] the builders of a Pakistani nuclear bomb . . .”

“BCCI systematically bribed world leaders and . . . prominent political figures in most of the 73 countries in which BCCI operated.”

From *The Outlaw Bank* (my emphases):

Perhaps the most disturbing aspect of the BCCI affair in the **United States** was the failure of the U.S. government and federal law enforcement to move against the outlaw bank. Instead of swift retribution, what took place over more than a **decade** was a **cover-up** of major, alarming proportions, often orchestrated from the very **highest levels of government**.

Re: Deutsche Bank (DB)

Title of the 2020 book by the finance editor of *The New York Times*:

[*Dark Towers: Deutsche Bank, Donald Trump and an Epic Trail of Destruction*](#)

Indicators that DB: 1) employs many Ps, 2) [banks](#) many Ks and Ps, 3) functions (partly) as a next-gen variant of BCCI

From a 2011 [article](#) in U.K. newspaper *The Independent*:

My companion, a senior UK investment banker, and I are discussing the most successful banking types we know and what makes them tick. I argue that they often conform to the characteristics displayed by social psycho-

paths. To my surprise, my friend agrees.

He then makes an astonishing confession: “At one major investment bank for which I worked, we used psychometric testing to recruit social psychopaths because their characteristics exactly suited them to senior corporate finance roles.”

From *Dark Towers* (my emphases):

“[DB] helped funnel **money into** countries that were under economic sanctions for pursuing nuclear weapons or participating in **genocides**.”

“The **hundreds of millions of dollars** that Deutsche [had] wired to Iranian banks [by 2006] provided vital funding for the sanctioned country to pay for its **terrorism**. Soon Iraq was being ripped apart by violence. Roadside bombs detonated all over the country, **targeting** the country’s fragile government and the **U.S. military forces** that were trying to keep the peace. Much of the violence was the work of a terrorist group, Jaysh al-Mahdi, which had been armed and trained by Hezbollah, which had been bankrolled by Iran’s Revolutionary Guard, which had been financed by Deutsche.

. . . The sanctions violations weren’t the work of an isolated crew of rogue Deutsche employees. **Managers knew. Their bosses knew**. American regulators would later find evidence that at least one member of the bank’s Vorstand—in other words, **one of Deutsche’s most senior executives—knew about and approved of the scheme**.”

From a 2020 [article](#) in *The New Yorker* (my emphases):

“Between 2011 and 2015, ten billion dollars left Russia through **Deutsche Bank**’s mirror trades.

. . . The recently published FinCEN files . . . add some fascinating detail to the mirror-trades affair.

. . . The FinCEN files cover around **two trillion dollars’ worth of suspicious transactions** reported at major banks between 1999 and 2017. Of that two trillion, **more than half—around \$1.3 trillion—passed through Deutsche Bank.**”

“As we now know, mirror trades were not just suggestive of financial crime. **Major criminal organizations, terrorist groups, and drug cartels** used them to launder and transfer money, and benefited more generally from this geyser of dirty money.”

“According to the documents . . . nearly fifty million dollars were also funneled through mirror trades to the Khanani network, whose clients include associates of **Hezbollah** and the **Taliban.**”

“The FinCEN documents are based on Suspicious Activity Reports—essentially whistle-blowing reports made by banks themselves—filed to the U.S. government. They were leaked to BuzzFeed News, then shared with the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, which shared them with news outlets around the world.”

From *Dark Towers* (my emphases):

“[DB] would soon become enveloped in scandals related to . . . tax evasion, manipulating interest rates, manipulating the prices of precious metals, manipulating the currencies markets, **bribing foreign officials**, accounting fraud, . . . ripping off customers, and ripping off the German, British, and United States governments. (The list went on.)”

“To any government official paying attention [in 2017], this was a powerful signal: **Investigate Deutsche and risk the [U.S.] president’s wrath.**”

More indicators that DB functions (partly) as a next-gen variant of BCCI are below (pp. 17, 19-20, 27-43).

Re: Ps are (very) likely to be “PROFITING” from ransomware

From 2021 book [*This Is How They Tell Me the World Ends: The Cyberweapons Arms Race*](#), by a cybersecurity reporter for *The New York Times*:

By 2019, ransomware attacks were generating billions of dollars for Russian cybercriminals and were becoming more lucrative. Even as cybercriminals raised their ransom demands to unlock victims' data from three figures to six, to millions of dollars, local officials—and their insurers—calculated it was still cheaper to pay their digital extortionists than to rebuild their systems and data from scratch.

From a June 2021 [article](#) in *The New York Times*:

Ransomware attacks are striking [every eight minutes](#), crippling hospitals and American mainstays like gas, meat, television, police departments, NBA basketball and minor league baseball teams, even ferries to Martha's Vineyard. This past week, the targets were one of the world's largest meatpacking operators and the hospital that serves the Villages in Florida, America's largest retirement community. The week before it was the pipeline operator that carries half the gas, jet fuel and diesel to the East Coast, in an attack that forced the pipeline to shut down, triggered panic buying and gas shortages and was just days from bringing mass transit and chemical refineries to their knees.

And those are just the attacks we see. Beneath the surface, American businesses are quietly paying off their digital extortionists and burying breaches in hopes that they never see the light of day.

From the August 2021 [article](#) on Wired.com titled "Putin Is Crushing Biden's Room to Negotiate on Ransomware":

A new cybercrime treaty Russia presented to the UN signals once again that the regime won't help clamp down on attacks.

From a July 2021 [statement](#) from the Biden administration re: "the People's Republic of China's (PRC) irresponsible and destabilizing behavior in

cyberspace”:

Exposing the PRC’s use of criminal contract hackers . . .

[H]ackers with a history of working for the PRC Ministry of State Security (MSS) have engaged in ransomware attacks, cyber-enabled extortion, crypto-jacking, and rank theft from victims around the world, all for [the hackers’ personal] financial gain.

In some cases, we are aware that PRC government-affiliated cyber operators have conducted ransomware operations against private companies that have included ransom demands of millions of dollars. The **PRC’s unwillingness to address criminal activity by contract hackers** [my emphasis] harms governments, businesses, and critical infrastructure operators through billions of dollars in lost intellectual property, proprietary information, ransom payments, and mitigation efforts.

T2M summary (part 3 of 4; details follow)

At least fairly likely: Donald Trump is a P.

Possible future:

Ks and Ps fund much/most of TrumP’s 2024 presidential campaign.

[TrumP is re-elected](#), after which he:

1. uses PsIMP as a pretense for granting himself [emergency](#)/war powers (EWPs)
2. leverages EWPs to ADVANCE Ps’ resistance to PsIMP

Re: TrumP

From the 2020 [book](#) by Mary Trump, the clinical psychologist (Ph.D.) who’s Donald Trump’s niece:

“A case could be made that he [Donald Trump] also meets the criteria for antisocial personality disorder, which in its most severe form is generally considered sociopathy.”

From the 2018 [article](#) on PsychologyToday.com titled “The Differences Between Psychopaths and Sociopaths”:

Many psychiatrists, [forensic](#) psychologists, criminologists, and police officers . . . use the terms *sociopath* and *psychopath* interchangeably.

From Mary Trump’s book:

“In addition to teaching graduate psychology, including courses in trauma, psychopathology, and developmental psychology, for several years as an adjunct professor, I provided therapy and psychological testing for patients . . .”

“[Donald Trump’s father] Fred seemed to have no emotional needs at all. In fact, he was a high-functioning sociopath.”

Re: Ks and Ps might fund much/most of TrumP’s 2024 presidential campaign

From a 2018 [article](#) co-authored by President Biden:

[L]ack of any requirement to disclose the beneficial (i.e. “true”) ownership of limited liability companies (LLCs) makes it easy for foreign [and/or criminal] entities to establish [anonymous] shell companies [ASCs] in the United States. These shell companies can then . . . channel money directly to a super PAC.

In 2021 ASCs were [banned in the U.S.](#) (after President Trump’s [veto was overridden](#)), but existing ASCs in the U.S. can operate [until 2023](#).

From *American Kleptocracy* (my emphases):

[E]ven with the ouster of a would-be authoritarian like Trump, and with the passage of the **new anti-shell company legislation**, the fight to end the reign of American kleptocracy is hardly tied to a single event, or a single president, or to his removal. If anything, it's just beginning.

There are a few areas of obvious, low-hanging fruit on the counter-kleptocracy front moving forward. (The one benefit of surveying the landscape of **loopholes and financial secrecy mechanisms remaining in the U.S.**: there's an entire buffet of options for reformers!)

From a 2020 [article](#) on the website of *Foreign Policy* magazine:

[BCCI went] so far as to fund leading U.S. presidential campaigns, corrupt the leading voices in at least one American political party, and even grow close to the American president himself.

Re: President TrumP could leverage EWPs to ADVANCE Ps' resistance

From 2021 book [Peril](#), co-authored by Bob Woodward (my emphases):

“Former defense secretary William J. Perry had been saying for years that the **president has sole control of the use of U.S. nuclear weapons.**”

In an article published in early 2021, Perry said, ‘Once in office, a president gains the absolute authority to start a nuclear war. **Within minutes, Trump can unleash hundreds of atomic bombs**, or just one. He **does not need a second opinion.**’”

“[General Mark] Milley [chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff since 2019] felt no absolute certainty that the military could control or trust Trump.”

From 2010 book [Annihilation From Within](#), by a former U.S. undersecretary of defense (my emphases):

[T]he United States, other democracies, and indeed most nations ought to

prepare themselves to cope with a new, potentially more overwhelming form of aggression. Nations will have to prevail against an attack that seeks to annihilate their political order from within . . .

. . . Within the next half century, perhaps even within a decade or two, a nation might be vanquished—not by a foreign terrorist organization or by the military strength of a foreign power, but by a small group of domestic evildoers ruthlessly using weapons of mass destruction against their own country.

. . . After the first nuclear detonation, the **aspiring dictator** would **rely mainly on his legitimate organizations and his popular influence to seize political power by exploiting the chaos, havoc, and psychological shock he had deliberately caused.**

#potential_playbook

From *Peril* (my emphases):

Milley often said that the use of nuclear weapons had to be “legal” and the military did have rigorous procedures.

But no system was foolproof, no matter how finely tuned and practiced. Control of nuclear weapons involved human beings and he knew that human beings, including himself, made mistakes. **As a practical matter, if a president was determined to use them, it is unlikely a team of lawyers or military officers would be able to stop him.**

. . . There was a dark and theoretical possibility that President Trump could go rogue and order military action or the use of nuclear weapons, **without going through the required procedures.**

. . . “Pulling a Schlesinger” was what he [Milley] needed to do to contain Trump and maintain the tightest possible control of the lines of military communication and command authority.

. . . Was he subverting the president? Some might contend Milley had overstepped his authority and taken extraordinary power for himself.

Title of a 2018 [article](#) on NPR.org:

Trump Appoints Gen. Mark Milley Chairman Of The Joint Chiefs Of Staff

A future JCS Chairman appointed by Trump could be a P, of course.

Re: the prospect of President Trump ADVANCING Ps' resistance via: 1) nuking Americans, 2) HELPING to undo American democracy

From Hillary Clinton (HC)'s October 2021 novel [State of Terror](#) (*SoT*; my emphases):

“Even with all they knew, it would take months, maybe years, to untangle all that had happened. And to ferret out all the members of HLI.”

“[HLI is] a group, an organization, all **highly placed in different branches of [a fictional U.S.] government. Including, God help me, the military.**”

“Why did they [i.e., HLI] do it? I can see being disenchanted with where the country is going, but **nuclear bombs? . . . With Al-Qaeda as their ally? The Russian mafia as an ally?**”

From HC's [part](#) of the acknowledgments section of *SoT*:

It's up to us to make sure its plot stays fictional.

BCCI's pursuit of nukes derived from Muslims feeling imperiled.

From *The Outlaw Bank* (my emphases):

The hidden alliances in Pakistan—and within other Islamic states—provided Abedi and BCCI the kind of sweeping immunity from laws and regulation

that is assumed by sovereign nations when they take action in the name of ‘national security.’ . . . **BCCI, fueled by petro-dollars, was going to forge the shining new sword of Islam.** It would be a terrible **Nuclear Age sword** that **would give** Pakistan—and other **Muslim nations—parity with the Zionists . . .**

From a December 10, 2021 [article](#) on Newsweek.com (my emphases):

[Hillary] Clinton also cautioned those who had a complacent attitude towards what she said was an effort to destabilize American democracy.

“I worry still that too many people are like, ‘Oh, it can’t be that bad’, or ‘it can’t go that far’. It’s a **failure of imagination**, and I wrote after January 6 that one of the findings . . . of the **9/11 Commission was a failure of imagination**,” she told Geist.

From a December 14, 2021 [tweet](#) by HC:



Hillary Clinton ✓
@HillaryClinton

2024 is a make-or-break point for the continuation of American democracy.

...



Re: FAILURE of imagination:

From 2008 book [The Wages of Destruction: The Making and Breaking of the](#)

[*Nazi Economy*](#), by [Columbia University](#) historian [Adam Tooze](#):

Hitler had seen himself as locked in a global confrontation with world Jewry. . . . For Hitler, a war of conquest was not one policy option amongst others. Either the German race struggled for Lebensraum [i.e., territory] or its racial enemies would condemn it to extinction.

From 2019 book [*Appeasement: Chamberlain, Hitler, Churchill, and the Road to War*](#):

The failure to perceive the true character of the Nazi regime and Adolf Hitler [my emphasis] stands as the single greatest failure of British policy makers during this period, since it was from this that all subsequent failures—the failure to rearm sufficiently, the failure to build alliances (not least with the Soviet Union), the failure to project British power, and the failure to educate public opinion—stemmed.

From 1969 book [*Collapse of the Third Republic: An Inquiry into the Fall of France in 1940*](#):

In the span of six weeks during that spring and early summer of weather more lovely than anyone in France could remember since the end of the previous war, this old parliamentary democracy, the world's second largest empire, one of Europe's principal powers and perhaps its most civilized, and reputedly possessing one of the finest armies in the world, went down to utter military defeat, leaving its citizens, who had been heirs to a long and glorious history, dazed and then completely demoralized.

Before they could recover their senses an eighty-four-year-old, nearly senile Marshal, a legendary hero of the First World War, aided and indeed prodded by a handful of defeated generals and defeatist politicians, completed the debacle by jettisoning in mid-July, with the approval of a stampeded parliament, the Third Republic and its democratic way of life, and replacing it with a fascist dictatorship . . .

From the 2018 [article](#) titled “Los Extraditables, the Pablo Escobar-Led Gang That Launched a Bloody Campaign [during the 1980s] Against U.S. Extradition”:

The terrorist group . . . claimed “we prefer a grave in Colombia to a prison in the United States . . .”

[Escobar](#) was a drug-trafficker whose net worth reached \$58 billion (in 2018 dollars). The other leaders of Los Extraditables were wealthy drug-traffickers.

From 2001 book [Killing Pablo: The Hunt for the World’s Greatest Outlaw](#) (my emphases):

“[Escobar] intended, he said, to use the public’s weariness with [Extraditables-funded] violence to his benefit. He planned to turn up the violence until the public cried out for a solution, a deal.

. . . A communiqué from the Extraditables not long after hammered home the point:

We are declaring **total and absolute war** on the government, on the individual and political oligarchy, on the journalists who have attacked and insulted us, on the judges that have sold themselves to the government, on the extraditing magistrates . . . on all those who have persecuted and attacked us. We will not respect the families of those who have not respected our families. We will burn and destroy the industries, properties and mansions of the oligarchy.”

“At his [Escobar’s] peak, he would threaten to usurp the Colombian State.”

“Ever since Pablo’s men had blown that Avianca flight out of the sky . . .”

“[A] total of 457 police had been killed since Colonel Martinez had started his hunt. Young gunmen in that city were being paid 5 million pesos for killing a cop.”

From a U.S.-Military-Academy-at-West-Point professor's 2018 [book](#) (my emphases):

[The] allure of preventive war is rooted in fear . . . [F]ear is most acute when power is shifting among [groups (e.g., shifting against Ps via advances in molecular genetics)] . . . [Hence the] **long parade of preventive conflicts** we can observe over **thousands of years** of history.

From *Averting Catastrophe: Decision Theory for COVID-19, Climate Change, and Potential Disasters of All Kinds* (my emphases):

In special circumstances, you might consider avoiding the worst-case scenario and thus following the **maximin principle**, which calls for **eliminating the worst of the worst cases**. The strongest cases for following that rule would involve three factors: (1) Knightian uncertainty, understood as an inability to assign probabilities to various options; (2) catastrophic or grave consequences from one option, but not from other options; and (3) low or relatively low costs[*], or low or low benefits foregone, as a result of choosing the option that avoids the worst-case scenario.

* e.g., profits for you et al. via mFFO, which is designed in part to lead to elimination of the worst case re: TrumP

Precedent for preventing/subduing T2M via leveraging social/professional networks

Such leveraging was the key to experts gaining control of America's Covid response.

From 2021 best-seller [The Premonition: A Pandemic Story](#), by [Michael Lewis](#):

The only way to get attention for this new tool for disease control, Glass decided, was to write it up in a paper for an academic journal. The scientists at Sandia National Labs worked under the highest security clearance in the federal government, called "Q clearance," and were prohibited from

revealing their work without first seeking approval. The work was his kid's science fair project, but he was now taking it as seriously as anything he did at Sandia. So he explained the situation to his superiors and wrote up a long paper, which, at length, they allowed him to publish. He sent it to *Science* and *Nature* and to other, more obscure journals of medical science. "Every one of them just returned it to me unread because I wasn't known in their field," he said. "So then I got really worried." When asked about himself, which he seldom was, as he spent so much of his time alone in thought, Bob Glass described himself as "an extreme introvert." It violated his nature to reach out personally to people in the field of communicable disease and seek their help. But he did it anyway. He found the names of professional epidemiologists who claimed to be using computer models to study disease spread and sent them his paper, along with a note. "They wouldn't even return my emails," he said. "They just didn't respond. So then I got pissed. I had this fear: a pandemic will occur, and no one would do anything right. I thought I was dead. I thought we were all dead. Then I remembered the guy at the VA."

A year and a half earlier, Laura had gone to Washington, DC, to visit her aunt. Over dinner one night, she told her aunt's boyfriend, an infectious-disease specialist who worked for the Department of Veterans Affairs, about her science fair project. "You should write that up and publish it," he said with enthusiasm. He said he'd never heard of anything like it. When she returned home, she told her father about the dinner. "I thought, 'Jeez, this is going to take a lot of work,'" he'd said, but he agreed to turn the science fair project into a serious academic paper on disease control, authored jointly. The VA guy had already had one big effect on their work, Bob Glass thought; maybe he could have another. It troubled him deeply to use his sister's boyfriend to get attention for a scientific discovery, but he didn't know anyone else in the federal government in Washington, DC. "You just don't do this in science," Glass said. "But I said, I'm going to do something someone my age never does. I'm going to go around the system. I write him an email and attach the paper and ask: 'Do you know anyone who needs to see this?'"

At that point, he'd spent the better part of six months trying to get the attention of experts in disease control. Inside of six hours, he had a call from Richard Hatchett. "He said, 'We're in the White House,'" recalled Bob Glass. "When can you come and talk to us?"

. . . He and Richard and others had spent years creating and selling the ideas that would, if quickly seized upon, prevent a lot of Americans from dying. Those ideas were useful, and yet no one in authority seemed willing to use them. "We were going nuts," said Carter. Each of the Wolverines went into their contact lists to look for what Carter called "high-value nodes." People they knew who might influence American policy . . .

The goal was to find at least one state to take the lead and roll out an aggressive response to the virus, introduce the social interventions outlined in the pandemic plan, and create a domino effect. "We had to create an epidemic for an idea," said Carter. At some point Duane Caneva realized that he had something to add . . . In his two years inside Trump's Department of Homeland Security, Duane had had various dealings, many acrimonious, with various public officials in states that shared a border with Mexico. One struck him as just the type to grab hold of an entire state and turn it into an example that might lead the nation. "Just got off the phone with Dr. Charity Dean," Duane wrote . . .

. . . Charity walked them through what had happened back in 1918 and what was happening again, in only slightly different form. She explained how, six weeks earlier, she had arrived at a fairly good estimate of all the important traits of the virus, and she said that once you knew these things about the virus, you could predict its future. She did not tell them that she had spent the previous six weeks in conversations with maybe the world's greatest redneck epidemiologist [i.e., Carter]. Park and Patil mostly just listened to her and asked questions.

. . . After a couple of hours with Charity, Park and Patil decided that the most useful thing they could do for the state of California was to deliver the contents of her mind onto [Governor] Gavin Newsom's desk. "Our only job

was to make it possible for Charity to talk through a model,” recalled Park. “Our job was to take everything in her brain and get it to the governor.”

. . . Park and Patil presented the model’s output to Governor Newsom’s senior advisers. “When we showed them what the model was saying, it sucked the air out of the room,” said Park. The next day, Governor Newsom issued the country’s first statewide stay-at-home order.

. . . What Charity couldn’t figure out was how, or even if, what she said on the calls found its way into the ears of the decision makers [in the U.S. federal government]—and who those people were. At one point she put the question to James Lawler. “James,” she asked, “who exactly is in charge of this pandemic?” “Nobody,” he replied. “But if you want to know who is sort of in charge, it’s sort of us.”

T2M summary (part 4 of 4; details follow)

There are indicators that Ps are leveraging life science (LS) to:

- develop “[personalized bioweapons](#)”
- weaponize viruses (e.g., for use after Ps have developed a vaccine, per [Pulitzer winner](#) Lawrence Wright’s finding that “[the experts all share the concerns I’ve presented—that something like this \[i.e., weaponize after vaccine\] could happen](#)”)

Re: personalized bioweapons (PBs)

From the chapter in 2015 book [Tomorrowland: Our Journey from Science Fiction to Science Fact](#) titled “Hacking the President’s DNA,” co-authored by a former Resident Futurist of the FBI (my emphases):

Our next commander-in-chief will be our first commander-in-chief to have to deal with genetically based, made-to-order [e.g., personalized] biotreats. . . . Within a few years, politicians, celebrities, leaders of industry . . . will be vulnerable to murder[, extortion, etc.] by genetically engineered bioweapon.

Many such killings could go undetected, confused with death by natural causes; many others would be difficult to pin on a defendant, especially given disease latency. Both of these factors are likely to make **personalized bioweapons** extremely attractive to anyone bearing ill will.

From a 2012 [article](#) in *The Atlantic*:

According to Ronald Kessler, the author of the 2009 book *In the President's Secret Service*, Navy stewards gather bedsheets, drinking glasses, and other objects the president has touched—they are later sanitized or destroyed—in an effort to keep would-be malefactors from obtaining his genetic material . . . [A]ccording to a 2010 release of secret cables by WikiLeaks, Secretary of State Hillary Clinton directed our embassies to surreptitiously collect DNA samples from foreign heads of state and senior United Nations officials.

Indicators that said alliance of Ks and Ps is developing PBs

Summary (details below)

Psychopathy correlates strongly with hypersexuality.

[Jeffrey Epstein](#) (JE):

- was hypersexual
- was [banked](#) by DB
- owned a company (JCo) that mined DNA databases and financial databases en route to: 1) reporting profits of ~\$300M, 2) not reporting the names of any clients

Linking financial data and DNA data is a prerequisite for PBs that yield “profits”-via-extortion.

There are indicators (e.g., seen above) that:

1. JE extorted
2. JCo was banked by DB
3. DB employs many Ps (e.g., possible ex-suppliers of data to JCo)
4. DB banks many Ps (e.g., possible ex-clients of JCo)

Key indicator of #4: DB banks many WEALTHY criminals (e.g., Ks who are possible ex-clients of JCo).

Re: weaponizing viruses

From the April 2020 [article](#) in *The New Yorker* titled “What Lawrence Wright Learned From His Pandemic Novel” (my emphases):

By the time Wright and I met for lunch and discussed his novel—“The End of October,” which is out this month—he had already done the coast-to-coast reporting. He had met with epidemiologists, immunologists, microbiologists, security experts, vaccine experts, and public-health officials. He had read all the books, all the journal articles . . . The **experts**, Wright notes in a letter to the reader in the galleys of his book, “**all share the concerns I’ve presented—that something like this could happen.**”

From [The End of October](#):

“Really, Henry,” Bartlett asked, “you think this [virus] was man-made?”

[Henry:] “Biowarfare has always been . . . the ultimate weapon of war, one that can destroy the enemy without fingerprints.”

“**It only makes sense if they have also developed a vaccine** [my emphasis],” said Bartlett.

From a September 2021 [article](#) on TheIntercept.com:

The [2018] proposal . . . describes the insertion of human-specific cleavage sites into SARS-related bat coronaviruses . . . also described a **plan to mass**

vaccinate [my emphasis] . . .

“Let’s look at the big picture: A novel SARS coronavirus emerges in Wuhan with a novel cleavage site in it. We now have evidence that, in early 2018, they had pitched inserting novel cleavage sites into novel SARS-related viruses in their lab,” said Chan. “This definitely tips the scales for me. And I think it should do that for many other scientists too.”

Richard Ebright, a molecular biologist at Rutgers University who has espoused the possibility that SARS-CoV-2 may have originated in a lab, agreed. “The relevance of this is that SARS Cov-2, the pandemic virus, is the only virus in its entire genus of SARS-related coronaviruses that contains a fully functional cleavage site at the S1, S2 junction,” said Ebright, referring to the place where two subunits of the spike protein meet. “And here is a proposal from the beginning of 2018, proposing explicitly to engineer that sequence at that position in chimeric lab-generated coronaviruses.”

Indicators that said alliance is weaponizing viruses

JE met with top virologist George Church several/many times (e.g., in 2014).

From [George Church’s web page](#) on the site for Harvard’s PhD Program in Virology:

Virology Faculty Member . . .

From a 2019 [article](#) on NBCnews.com (my emphases):

Harvard science professors kept meeting with donor Jeffrey Epstein . . .

[A]ccording to the online personal calendar [for 2014] of **Dr. George Church, a renowned geneticist** who holds professorships at Harvard, Harvard Medical School and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology[:]

. . . On April 22, **Epstein met with Church** at the Harvard Medical

School's Genetics Department building . . . The two had a **phone call** the next day. He had **lunch** with Church on June 21, according to the calendar. . . . On Sept. 12, Epstein and Church had another **phone call**, which was followed by a **teleconference call** Oct. 21 between Epstein and Church . . . On Nov. 30, the calendar lists a **dinner** with multiple attendees: "**Dinner w/ Jeff Epstein** . . .

From Church's web page (my emphases):

Our lab works on AAV therapeutic vectors, including **evasion of innate immunity**, capsid design via machine learning with large synthetic libraries for multiplex testing of tissue tropism and **evasion of cell/humoral immunity**. We study variation in human populations to various viruses including rare neutralizing antibodies for HIV. We are interested in **near-extinction-scale Elephant and Swine Viruses** (EEHV and ASFV). We harness viral and anti-viral mechanisms (e.g. recombinases, CRISPR, deaminases) to develop new **editing** technologies.

JE's meetings with Church might've come after JE et al. reviewed the "step-by-step manual" referenced in the following book excerpt.

From 2017 book [*Warnings: How to Find Cassandras and Stop Catastrophes*](#), co-authored by a former U.S. National Coordinator for Security and Counter-Terrorism (my emphases):

In 2011, Ron Fouchier, from the Erasmus Medical Center in downtown Rotterdam, crafted a series of experiments to mutate highly lethal H5N1 into a form contagious by air. Just five single mutations allowed H5N1 to bind with cells in the human respiratory tract (thereby making it contagious by air, sneezes, and dirty doorknobs, etc.). Using ferrets as incubators, and their noses as makeshift Petri dishes, Fouchier rapidly moved infected sputum from ferret to ferret. **In a period of weeks, he created a bug as transmissible as the Spanish flu but potentially up to twenty times more lethal.**

. . . Fouchier was roundly criticized for launching such a dangerous study in

a working hospital, in a crowded city, with arguably less than perfect protections. **He did it without complicated tools**, available in nearly any laboratory and to consumers. And **he decided to publish his results to give the world a step-by-step manual**, steps that could be taken in nearly any lab to make his superbug.

So JE's meetings with Church might've coincided with Ps' efforts to create a vaccine.

Re: JE's trafficking* of underage girls (UGs) might've HELPED Ps weaponize LS

From *This Is How They Tell Me the World Ends: The Cyberweapons Arms Race*:

“[H]ackers seized on the coronavirus to take aim at our hospitals, our **vaccine labs**, and the federal agencies leading the Covid-19 response.”

“The **pandemic** is global, but the response has been anything but. Allies and adversaries alike are resorting to cyberespionage to glean whatever they can about each country's containment, **treatments**, and response.”

“[H]ackers were . . . **pilfering intellectual property** from every major company in the **Fortune 500**, American **research laboratories** . . .”

[Many top technologists are high-functioning autistics](#) (e.g., have Asperger's Syndrome).

~30% of male Aspies have “pedophilic sexual fantasies of female children” (source: 2017 [article](#) on the website of the U.S. government's National Center for Biotechnology Information).

So JE's trafficking might've enabled Ps to coerce TOP hackers.

* From a 2019 [article](#) on TheDailyBeast.com:

Police say Epstein was sexually abusing girls as young as 13, many of them from poor families and broken homes. And, according to [lawsuits filed by victims](#), [Epstein loaned](#) them out to his famous friends.

Re: JE-coerced-TOP-hackers is consistent with DB functioning as a next-gen variant of BCCI

See below; keywords: BCCI trafficked UGs en route to blackmailing.

Another indicator re: said alliance weaponizing LS

From July 2021 book [Perversion of Justice: The Jeffrey Epstein Story](#) (my emphases):

In December 2011, Jeffrey Epstein brought together some of the most **brilliant scientific minds** in the nation on his remote island . . .

It was, essentially, a Global Doomsday conference.

The attendees were **instructed to identify some of the greatest threats** to the earth, contemplating such phenomena as **bioterrorism** . . .

Re: hypersexuality

From the 2015 [article](#) on Broadly.com titled “When Women Get Addicted to Sexting”:

Sex addiction (officially known as hypersexuality) [missed out](#) on being recognized as a brain-changing clinical disorder like drug addiction in 2013, but a 2014 Cambridge University [study](#) suggested it does mirror the latter. It found that when sex addicts were shown pornography, the regions of their brains which process anticipation were more active than those of people without compulsive sexual disorders. The same regions kick into action when drug addicts are shown drug stimuli.

Re: psychopathy correlates strongly with hypersexuality

From a 2012 [article](#) on HuffingtonPost.co.uk (my emphases):

“In one of the largest studies of its kind ever published, U.S. psychologists have found a **particular aspect of personality in men and women predicts** what the researchers refer to as ‘**hypersexuality.**’

. . . This character trait is—**psychopathy.**”

“Psychologists are beginning to concur that it’s this unique element of character which most powerfully predicts . . . a gamut of risky sexual behaviors.”

“The ‘hypersexual’ have more sexual partners than the rest of the population, fantasize more . . . and tend to favor more sex without love.”

From *The Anatomy of Violence*:

The striatum is a key brain region that is associated with reward-seeking and impulsive behavior. Studies have shown that it is involved in stimulation-seeking behavior, persistently repeating actions that are related to rewards . . . We found that our psychopathic individuals [i.e., research subjects] showed a 10 percent increase in the volume of the striatum compared with controls.

. . . [T]he difference between us and psychopaths is that we can say no when tempted by the goodies, whereas psychopaths just want their stuff. And they want it here, and they want it now. For them, reward is a drug that they cannot turn their backs on, and this pushes them along a path of depravity and vice.

Re: JE was hypersexual

From a 2019 [article](#) in *The New York Post*:

Jeffrey Epstein had an insatiable sexual appetite that included threesomes with “strap-on” dildos and a required three orgasms a day, according to court documents unsealed Friday.

Re: JE was banked by DB

Title of a 2019 [article](#) in *Vanity Fair*:

Of Course Jeff Epstein Moved His Dirty Money Through Deutsche Bank

Title of a December 2019 [article](#) on TruePundit.com:

Jeffrey Epstein’s Private Banker at Deutsche & Citi Found Swinging From a Rope; Executive “Suicide” Before FBI Questioned Him

Re: JCo

From a 2020 [article](#) in *The New York Times*:

In the years after Jeffrey Epstein registered as a sex offender [i.e., after 2008], he . . . started a business to develop algorithms and mine DNA and financial databases.

. . . Southern Trust [i.e., said business] generated about \$300 million in profit in six years . . . The source of Southern Trust’s revenue is not clear; the bare-bones corporate filings made by the company in the Virgin Islands do not list any clients.

Indicator that JE extorted

From the 2019 [article](#) in *The New York Times* titled “The Day Jeffrey Epstein Told Me He Had Dirt on Powerful People”:

The overriding impression I took away from our roughly 90-minute conversation was that Mr. Epstein knew an astonishing number of rich,

famous and powerful people, and had photos to prove it. He also claimed to know a great deal about these people, some of it potentially damaging or embarrassing, including details about their supposed sexual proclivities and recreational drug use.

Indicators that JCo was banked by DB

JE owned a bank (JB).

From a 2020 [article](#) in *The New York Times*:

In the years after Jeffrey Epstein registered as a sex offender, he . . . set up a bank.

JB might've banked JCo.

From said 2020 article in *The New York Times*:

[JB] was created under a territorial law [in the Virgin Islands] that lacked many of the oversight requirements banks are usually subject to . . .

. . . These specialized banks have drawn scrutiny because of their potential for abuse, including money laundering.

JB might've been banked by DB.

From said 2020 article in *The New York Times*:

The Federal Reserve Bank of New York describes international bank entities in the Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico [e.g., EB] as **“high-risk” institutions** [my emphasis]. Last year, it temporarily suspended applications for them to obtain financial services from the Fed until it can issue stricter rules for them.

From *Dark Towers*:

Deutsche had been moving money—as much as \$80 billion—for thousands of “**high-risk entities**” [my emphasis] in various countries.

More indicators, via JE, that Ks & Ps are weaponizing LS with help from DB

Summary (details follow)

There are indicators that:

- JE knew about BCCI decades ago
- JE’s bank was part of DB

JE fit the profile of top BCCI execs (e.g., JE committed many of the crimes committed by said execs).

There are indicators that JE was protected by the U.S. government (USG) for many years.

Key indicator that USG protected JE: Americans would **BENEFIT** from USG blackmailing TOP hackers who live in undemocratic countries.

Indicators that JE knew about BCCI decades ago

From *The Outlaw Bank*:

BCCI helps Adnan Khashoggi finance the sale of arms to Iran as a part of the Reagan administration’s Iran-Contra effort.

From 2020 book [*The Spider: Inside the Criminal Web of Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell*](#):

[During the mid-1980s] Leese also introduced Epstein to one of his associates: Adnan Khashoggi. Khashoggi, a Saudi arms dealer, was a man of marvel and mystery. He was born in Mecca, and his father was said to have been the personal physician of Saudi king Abdullah bin Abdulaziz Al Saud.

Khashoggi was one of the world's wealthiest arms brokers. He moved around the world surrounded by heavily armed bodyguards—men trained in the art of killing at Fort Bragg in the United States and at Hereford in the United Kingdom. The Saudi soon became another trusted client of Epstein's.

Indicators that JE's bank was part of DB

From said 1992 report:

“BCCI was from its earliest days made up of multiplying layers of entities, related to one another through an impenetrable series of holding companies, affiliates, subsidiaries, **banks-within-banks** [my emphasis] . . .”

“By fracturing corporate structure, record keeping, regulatory review, and audits . . . [BCCI founder] Abedi developed in BCCI an ideal mechanism for facilitating illicit activity by others . . .”

From *Dark Towers*:

“A hodgepodge of hundreds of different systems polluted the bank's ecosystem. One implication was that there was no way for the bank to measure or understand what it was actually doing.”

“Deutsche more than almost any other multinational financial institution deftly managed to exploit rivalries among regulators to shield itself from tougher rules or greater outside scrutiny.”

Re: JE fit the profile of top BCCI execs (part 1 of 2)

From *The Outlaw Bank* (my emphases):

[G]irls as young as twelve (and later, **even younger**) were dressed in silk harem pants and procured by BCCI officers for their clients. In the middle 1970s the man in charge of inspecting the girls was Zafar Iqbal, who would later become the chief executive officer of BCCI.

. . . [T]he wife of a Pakistani doctor, was in charge of rounding up the girls and bringing them to Karachi to be outfitted in proper clothes before being presented to the princely clients. Often she would shepherd more than fifty girls at a time through a department store, shopping for jewelry and dresses. This practice was so successful—far more effective than giving away microwave ovens or toasters—that the bank would spend as much as \$100,000 on such an evening’s entertainment. According to the Senate testimony of Nazir Chinoy, Madame Rahim would also “interview girls, women, and take them . . . to Abu Dhabi for a dancing show or arrange some singing shows.” Throughout the Middle East, “dancing girls” and “singing girls” are euphemisms for prostitutes; Chinoy chose to be tactful before the TV cameras.

. . . According to [BCCI employee] Masri, the protocol officers . . . were also responsible . . . for luring businessmen, military officers, and politicians into Abedi’s web of intrigue through a combination of favors, money, **blackmail**, and intimidation.

Indicator that JE was protected by USG for many years

From a 2019 [article](#) on TheDailyBeast.com:

“I was told Epstein ‘belonged to intelligence’ and to leave it alone,” [said Alex Acosta, re: the non-prosecution plea deal that Acosta—then the U.S. Attorney in Miami, Florida—provided to Epstein in 2007. Epstein had been accused of unlawful sex with minors and prostitution, but ended up pleading guilty to two counts of soliciting prostitution from a minor.]

Re: JE fit the profile of top BCCI execs (part 2 of 2)

From *The Outlaw Bank*:

The strange and still murky ties between BCCI and the intelligence agencies of several countries are so pervasive that even the White House has become entangled. As *Time* reported . . . the National Security Council has used

BCCI to funnel money for the Iran-Contra deals, and the CIA maintained accounts in BCCI for covert operations. Moreover, investigators have told *Time* that the Defense Intelligence Agency has maintained a slush-fund account with BCCI, apparently to pay for clandestine activities.

Re: Americans would BENEFIT from USG blackmailing top hackers who live in undemocratic countries

From *This Is How They Tell Me the World Ends*:

“One day in 2008, almost simultaneously, five of the NSA’s most elite hackers turned in their security badges and pulled out of the Fort’s parking lot for the last time. Inside the agency, these men had been revered as ‘the Maryland Five,’ and time and time again, they had proved indispensable. They were each members of a premier TAO access team that hacked into the systems nobody else could.”

“The United States doesn’t forcibly conscript talented hackers at Google and MIT to moonlight as nation-state attackers like the Russians, Iranians, North Koreans, and Chinese do.”

“My source had gotten his hands on an urgent DHS-FBI alert [issued in 2017]. It was meant solely for the utilities, the water suppliers, the nuclear plants. The bureaucrats were trying to bury it on a holiday weekend. And as soon as I got eyes on it, I could see why: the Russians were inside our nuclear plants.”

“‘Cyber is a tailor-made instrument of power for them,’ former NSA deputy director Chris Inglis said after North Korea’s role in the WannaCry attacks became clear. ‘There’s a low cost of entry, it’s largely asymmetrical, there’s some degree of anonymity and stealth in its use. It can hold large swaths of nation-state infrastructure and private-sector infrastructure at risk. It’s a source of income.’ In fact, Inglis said, ‘You could argue that they have one of the most successful cyber programs on the planet, not because it’s technically sophisticated, but because it has achieved all their aims at very

low cost.”

“I came to survey the rubble at ground zero for the most devastating cyberattack the world had ever seen. The world was still reeling from the fallout of a Russian cyberattack on Ukraine that less than two years earlier had shut down government agencies, railways, ATMs, gas stations, the postal service, even the radiation monitors at the old Chernobyl nuclear site, before the code seeped out of Ukraine and haphazardly zigzagged its way around the globe. Having escaped, it paralyzed factories in the far reaches of Tasmania, destroyed vaccines at one of the world’s largest pharmaceutical companies, infiltrated computers at FedEx, and brought the world’s biggest shipping conglomerate to a halt, all in a matter of minutes.

By the time I visited Kyiv in 2019, the tally of damages from that single Russian attack exceeded \$10 billion, and estimates were still climbing. Shipping and railway systems had still not regained full capacity. All over Ukraine, people were still trying to find packages that had been lost when the shipment tracking systems went down. They were still owed pension checks that had been held up in the attack. The records of who was owed what had been obliterated.”

From a 2021 [article](#) on CBSnews.com:

President Biden inherited a lot of intractable problems, but perhaps none is as disruptive as the cyber war between the United States and Russia simmering largely under the radar. Last March, with the coronavirus spreading uncontrollably across the United States, Russian cyber soldiers released their own contagion by sabotaging a tiny piece of computer code buried in a popular piece of software called “SolarWinds.” The hidden virus spread to 18,000 government and private computer networks by way of one of those software updates we all take for granted. The attack was unprecedented in audacity and scope. Russian spies went rummaging through the digital files of the U.S. departments of Justice, State, Treasury, Energy, and Commerce and for nine months had unfettered access to top-level communications, court documents, even nuclear secrets. And by all

accounts, it's still going on.

From *This Is How They Tell Me the World Ends*:

“In 2018, terrorist attacks cost the global economy \$33 billion, a decrease of thirty-eight percent from the previous year. That same year, a study by RAND Corporation from more than 550 sources—the most comprehensive data analysis of its kind—concluded global losses from cyberattacks were likely on the order of hundreds of billions of dollars. And that was the conservative estimate. Individual data sets predicted annual cyber losses of more than two trillion dollars.”

“Gosler began with two experiments. That year, 1985, he convinced his bosses at Sandia to sponsor a study. They called it Chaperon, and its premise was simple: Could anyone design a truly secure computer application? And could someone subvert that application with a malicious implant that could not be detected, even through a detailed forensic investigation? In other words, a zero-day [i.e., “a software or hardware flaw for which there is no existing patch. They got their name because, as with Patient Zero in an epidemic, when a zero-day flaw is discovered, software and hardware companies have had zero days to come up with a defense”].

Sandia divided its top technical brass into bad guys and good guys: the subverters and the evaluators. The former would plant vulnerabilities in a computer application. The latter would have to find them.

Gosler still spent most of his evenings away from work breaking hardware and software for the fun of it. But professionally, he had only ever played the role of evaluator. Now, he relished the chance to play subverter. He designed two implants and was sure the Evaluators would discover his first subversion.

‘I was immersed in a fantasy world back then,’ Gosler told me. When he wasn’t breaking software, he was playing the 1980s computer game Zork, popular with some of the techies he worked with.

For his first trick, he inserted a few familiar lines from the Zork game into the security application's code. The Zork text effectively fooled Sandia's application into revealing secret variables that could be used by an attacker to take over the application—and any data the application secured. Gosler was sure his colleagues would pick up on it quickly.

For his second subversion, Gosler inserted a vulnerability that he and others would later only describe as a 'groundbreaking technical achievement.'

The evaluators never did find Gosler's two implants. Even Gosler's Zork subversion proved maddeningly difficult to track down. Sandia's evaluators still describe the study as one of the most frustrating experiments of their career. They spent months looking for his implants before they finally threw up their hands and demanded that he tell them what he had done.

It took Gosler three eight-hour briefings, pacing in front of a whiteboard covered in notation, which he attacked in bursts, to painstakingly explain his implant. His peers nodded along, but clearly they were baffled.

Initially Gosler thought the second implant could be useful as a Sandia training exercise, but seeing employees' frustration, his bosses rejected the idea outright. They worried that the exercise would only compel new recruits to quit.

Instead, his bosses decided to start over and put together a new study: Chaperon 2. This time, they chose someone other than Gosler to lead the subversion. Some one hundred Sandia engineers spent weeks and months hunting for the implant. While others came close, only one—Gosler—discovered the subversion and presented it in a detailed hours-long briefing. . . . Rick Proto and Robert Morris Sr., the respective chiefs of research and science at the NSA's National Computer Security Center, thought Gosler could teach their analysts a thing or two.

At their first meeting [in 1987], Gosler asked Morris Sr. the question that had been troubling him for some time now. 'How complex can software be

for you to have total knowledge of what it could do?’

. . . Morris Sr. told Gosler that, off the top of his head, he would have ‘100 percent confidence’ in an application that contained 10,000 lines of code or less, and zero confidence in an application that contained more than 100,000 lines of code. Gosler took that as his cue to share with Morris Sr. the more complicated of the subversion tactics he had developed for Sandia’s Chaperon 1 study. Turns out it was an application with fewer than 3,000 lines of code.

Morris Sr. invited an elite NSA squad of PhDs, cryptographers, and electrical engineers to take a look. Not one discovered Gosler’s implant, nor could any replicate the subversion once Gosler pointed them to it.”

From 2019 book [*Coders: The Making of a New Tribe and the Remaking of the World*](#):

[T]he “10X” coder . . . describes a programmer who is provably better, multiple times so, than the average code monkey.

. . . Bill Gates once said, “. . . a great writer of software code is worth ten thousand times the price of an average software writer.”

. . . When I ask venture capitalists and founders whether 10Xers really exist, many immediately say: Oh yes. Hell yes. . . . “I think it’s probably 1000X,” . . . Marc Andreessen . . . co-founder of Netscape . . . tells me.

Re: via mFFO, you can profit and be much safer

Summary (some details follow; more (linked-to) below)

Keys to preventing/subduing (PSing) T2M:

- leveraging my work that builds on mAIP (mAIP+)
- EWPs being delegated to my planned company (MPC)*

Keys to delegated-EWPs ASAP:

- [I'm preparing publicly](#) to [run for president](#) of the U.S. in the 2024 election
- you act on mFFO

* EWPs are the ideal means of funding the launch of MPC; keywords (KWs) re: “ideal”: “[private] [capital is a coward](#).”

Re: PSing T2M via mAIP+

Summary (two details follow; more (linked-to) below)

In theory, T2M can be PSed via [carrots and/or sticks](#).

There are many indicators that providers of relevant sticks won't PS T2M (e.g., pp. 126-30, 139-48; KWs: fear/awareness that [the enemy is within](#), resultant paralysis; [greed](#) ('g' added late; details via link, so I don't have to reformat (much of) pp. 45-237)).

A key to providing an ideal carrot re: PSing T2M is leveraging mAIP+ (e.g., pp. 78-87, 165-79).

Re: “enemy is within”

From a 2018 [article](#) on Politico.com:



From a December 12, 2021 [article](#) on news.yahoo.com (my emphases):

["T]he **Republican Party** has gone along with him [i.e., Donald Trump]. Honestly, they have hung their spines up on the wall as they walk into their offices. They have **no conscience**," **[Hillary] Clinton said**.

Re: "fear . . . resultant paralysis"

From a 2020 [article](#) in *The New York Times*:

"[A]nother problem making the German authorities increasingly anxious: infiltration of the very institutions, like the police, that are supposed to be doing the investigating [of Neo-Nazis].

In July the police chief of the western state of Hesse resigned after police computers had been repeatedly accessed for confidential information that was then used by neo-Nazis in death threats. It was in Hesse that a well-known neo-Nazi assassinated a [regional politician](#) last summer . . ."

More precedents for paralysis-via-an-enemy-within are below (pp. 129-30, 146-8).

Re: a key to PSing T2M is delegated-EWPs

Via EWPs, MPC would:

- protect carrot providers (i.e., the people who'll be part of continuously improving the quality of MPC's carrot)
- create sticks to motivate uptake of MPC's carrot (i.e., uptake by Ps who, as a pre-condition, will submit to indefinite-detention or an alternate form of threat-neutralization)

Re: mFFO (part 1 of 2)

From an earlier write-up:

Partial formula:

your Rolodex + 20% [finders'-fee](#) I'm offering to pay + [~5 degrees of separation](#)* between you and the U.S. president I need to partner with . . .

* ~6 total, 1 between you and me

. . . When ~5 finders are able to connect me with President Biden, the ~5 and I will sign a legally-binding FFO.

Formation of a partnership between the president and MPC would trigger the payout to finders of 20% of the [equity](#) in MPC.

. . . Said connect-me yielding said partnership seems LIKELY, given that:

Again, President Biden would receive 64%* of the equity in MPC in exchange for delegating EWPs to MPC.

The exchange would make MPC a de facto monopolist. KWs: Duplicate delegated-EWPs are precluded by [Arrow's Impossibility Theorem](#).

Post-exchange, then, the 64% would yield a [Rockefeller](#)-ian fortune ASAP.

The Biden administration is [seeking to combat](#) “root causes of malicious cyber activities.”

Again, the Biden administration wants to combat kleptocracy.

Implication of “MPC a de facto monopolist”: Post-exchange, finders of said kind would be able to monetize their equity stakes any time after receipt (e.g., I'd borrow money—[using my equity stake in MPC as collateral](#)—and [purchase each finder's shares](#) as soon as any/all were for sale).

* Presumably, the equity could/would be [escrowed](#) until Biden left office. Related:

From a September 2021 [article](#) on Politico.com:

Ben Schreckinger's "[The Bidens: Inside the First Family's Fifty-Year Rise to Power.](#)" out today, finds evidence that some of the purported HUNTER BIDEN laptop material is genuine, including two emails at the center of last October's controversy.

A person who had independent access to Hunter Biden's emails confirmed he did receive a 2015 email from a Ukrainian businessman thanking him for the chance to meet Joe Biden. The same goes for a 2017 email in which a proposed equity breakdown of a venture with Chinese energy executives includes the line, "10 held by H[unter] for the big guy [i.e., for Joe Biden]?"

Re: offering said 64% is a textbook tactic:

From Averting Catastrophe: Decision Theory for COVID-19, Climate Change, and Potential Disasters of All Kinds:

In special circumstances, you might consider avoiding the worst-case scenario and thus following the maximin principle, which calls for eliminating the worst of the worst cases. The strongest cases for following that rule would involve three factors: (1) Knightian uncertainty, understood as an inability to assign probabilities to various options; (2) catastrophic or grave consequences from one option, but not from other options; and (3) low or relatively low costs, or low or **relatively low benefits foregone** [my emphasis], as a result of choosing the option that avoids the worst-case scenario.

Re: (3): If I pay out a 20% finders'-fee and President Biden owns 64% of MPC, I'll own 16% of the [Standard Oil](#) of the AI economy. For me, the [marginal utility](#) of the "lost" 84% would be zero. And, in exchange for this zero, I'd have secured insurance that: 1) I perceive to have substantial value, 2) I can't secure any other way.

— End of excerpt from an earlier write-up —

Re: keys to delegated-EWPs ASAP: 1) I'm preparing publicly to run for president in 2024, 2) you act on mFFO

Summary (details below)

EWPs-ASAP would be delegated by President Biden.

Keys to motivating: greed, fear.

Re: fear

Biden's awareness of my partnership-offer would lead him to:

1. become aware that [I'm preparing publicly](#) to run . . .
2. recognize that mFFO has made many people aware of said preparing
3. conclude that if he rejected my offer, he'd be on the ***FAST*** track to: 3.1) having zero chance of being re-elected, 3.2) putting himself and his family at risk of suffering other negative consequences (NCs)

Re: NCs

From *Appeasement: Chamberlain, Hitler, Churchill, and the Road to War*:

One evening during the Phoney War [of 1939–40], members of the Foreign Office's Political Intelligence Department discussed which [British] politicians might be considered "criminally responsible for [the] war and should be hanged on lamp-posts." As the former journalist and spy Robert Bruce Lockhart recorded, there was consensus as to the leading candidates. Sir John Simon, Foreign Secretary between 1931 and 1935, was first to be placed in the tumbrel, followed by Stanley Baldwin and Sir Samuel Hoare. Others to receive capital sentences included "Labour lunatics who wished to attack everyone and voted against rearmament, Beaverbrook (for isolation and 'no war' propaganda), Geoffrey Dawson and *The Times*," and, of course, the Prime Minister, Neville Chamberlain.

Four months later, following the evacuation of the British Expeditionary Force from Dunkirk, a similar conversation took place between three Beaverbrook journalists standing on the roof of the offices of the *Evening Standard*. Appalled by the defeat—the most portentous in British history—as well as by the circumstances that had led to it, Frank Owen, a former Liberal MP, Peter Howard, a Conservative, and Michael Foot, the future leader of the Labour Party, decided to write a book shaming those men they deemed responsible for the debacle. Completed in just four days and displaying a notable talent for invective, *Guilty Men* sold, in the words of one of its authors, “like a pornographic classic.” By October, it had been reprinted twenty-two times and by the year’s end had succeeded in pinning the blame for the catastrophe, not just in the minds of contemporaries but for large swaths of posterity . . .

From 2019 book [*Bitter Reckoning: Israel Tries Holocaust Survivors as Nazi Collaborators*](#), published by Harvard University Press:

When Allied forces broke open the gates of concentration camps in 1945, they discovered not only piles of corpses and dozens of gravely ill inmates but also survivors who were seeking revenge. Many of those who were liberated sought retribution not just against the Germans but against former Jewish functionaries in the camps and ghettos as well. Freed inmates lynched and beat Jews who, as ghetto policemen, had surrendered them and their family members to the Nazis or who, as kapos in concentration camps, had harassed or abused them.

This violence continued outside the liberated camps. To quell the brutality, leaders in the re-emerging Jewish communities in European towns and displaced persons (DP) camps channeled these disputes into honor courts, which were established to resolve ordinary disagreements among members of the community. These courts, presided over by prominent individuals, also examined the moral behavior of functionaries and issued social punishments such as public denunciations and excommunication from the community. In most instances, these judgments succeeded in curbing the violence within the community; they also helped it rebuild its self-identity

as a wholesome society, free of impure elements that had contaminated it. When survivors immigrated to Mandatory Palestine [i.e., pre-state Israel], the same kinds of intra-Jewish clashes that had been seen in Europe erupted in public spaces there. . . . Media commentators and public figures called upon the heads of the Yishuv, the Jewish community in Mandatory Palestine, to alleviate tension by establishing a public committee of socially prominent figures to deliberate these cases and issue social punishments. The leadership chose, however, not to establish such a committee, deeming social penalties insufficiently severe for those accused of cooperating with the Nazi mission to annihilate the Jewish people.

It was only after the establishment of the State of Israel and after a repeated demand from a high-ranking police officer that the Ministry of Justice drafted a bill setting up a system for trying functionaries in criminal court, where they would face their accusers. The Nazis and Nazi Collaborators Punishment Law, passed by the Knesset in 1950, inaugurated what became known as the kapo trials, which would go on for the next twenty-two years.

Title of a 2019 book:

[*“Promise Me You’ll Shoot Yourself” : The Mass Suicide of Ordinary Germans in 1945*](#)

From *Collapse of the Third Republic*:

Of the principal figures in the last act of the drama of the Third Republic before the curtain fell, Pétain and Laval were tried for treason after the war, convicted and sentenced to death. The sentence of the Marshal [Pétain] was commuted by the then Provisional President, General de Gaulle, to life imprisonment on the Island of Yeu, where he died on July 23, 1951, at the age of ninety-six. Laval was executed by a firing squad at the Prison of Fresnes on October 15, 1945. He was sixty-two.

. . . Admiral Darlan, who for a time became the head of government at Vichy under Pétain and pursued a vigorous policy of collaboration with the

Germans, was assassinated . . .

Re: Biden('s family) might fear NCs via my presidency

From a 2019 [article](#) in *The Atlantic*:


The moment the president declares a “national emergency”—a decision that is entirely within his discretion—more than 100 special provisions become available to him. . . . For instance, the president can, with the flick of his pen, activate laws allowing him to . . . freeze Americans’ bank accounts.

From a 2016 [article](#) on *Huffington Post*:

Uncle Sam Needs Coders. Here's How The Military Could Draft Them.
Defense experts say we could—and should—register mid-career experts.

Old saying re: American-football players: The best ability is availability.

In the case of war (e.g., subduing T2M in part via years of military conflict with China, Russia, . . .), the best ability is sometimes expen...



From 1998 film *Saving Private Ryan*:



Importantly, Biden might fear the prospect of my presidency in part because, as is previewed below (pp. 223-32), it's very likely I'd outperform his [longtime colleague](#) Hillary Clinton if she seeks the presidency in 2024.

More re: Biden's basis for fearing my presidency is below (pp. 209-23).

Re: mFFO (part 2 of 2)

This write-up—my first re: T2M that's designed for broad circulation—might get me killed*. So in this version of mFFO said 20% will be divided among: 1) finders, 2) people who prevent my murder en route to MPC gaining EWPs (i.e., who provide information that leads to a conviction for planning-/attempting-to-murder-me). Re: "divided": Each person will receive an equal % of MPC (e.g., 5 finders and 5 preventers would each receive 2% (20%/10)). Re: "en route to MPC gaining": Once MPC has EWPs, different rewards will be provided to preventers.

Help for prospective "1.0" preventers:

A group has stolen ~\$350K from me. At least some of the group's members have

ties to other criminals who have violent pasts. Details below (e.g., pp. 232-7).

* From *Kleptopia* (my emphases):

“[Kazakhstan’s] **kleptocracy had gone after a man** . . . Any spook, lawyer, lobbyist or PR could see there was a fortune to be made from that. And fortunes had been made. **Half a billion dollars had gone out** . . .”

“[Said man] Ablyazov was the owner of BTA Bank, one of Kazakhstan’s biggest.

. . . [Ablyazov] alone stood up to the president, denouncing the promotion of Nazarbayev’s relatives and the creeping establishment of what he called a ‘clan-ocracy’. When he refused to continue in the cabinet, Nazarbayev demanded to see him. ‘You don’t respect your president,’ he ranted, ‘you don’t respect me as a person, you’re not loyal to me.’ He calmed down and asked again: ‘Come back and work for me.’ Again Ablyazov refused. ‘Well, in that case, you’re going to have to give me a chunk.’ They would be partners. The president would take half of BTA Bank. It would be a guarantee against disloyalty. Ablyazov stood firm: no. He knew change was coming. He wanted it, and found that others did too. Together, these reformers founded a political party, Democratic Choice of Kazakhstan. Nazarbayev, their enlightened ruler, crushed it.

. . . The [2008 financial] crisis had presented Nazarbayev and his court with the pretext they needed to seize BTA from Ablyazov and make it look like a rescue rather than robbery.”

Statement of the obvious

Routing this write-up to(ward) operatives of the Democratic Party who aren’t Ps is an ideal way to profit from mFFO.

KWs re: T2M IDed via mAIP

my efforts to identify online-markets that complement customized-education; [burgeoning science of human reproduction](#); [superstar-biased technological change](#); [epigenetics](#); [gamete market](#)

Re: updates to this write-up

Will be published at ike1952yang2020ruscica2024.substack.com

Re: presentation-errors above and below

From 2012 book [APE: Author, Publisher, Entrepreneur—How to Publish a Book](#), co-authored by [Guy Kawasaki](#), a former chief evangelist at Apple:

Every time I turn in the “final” copy of a book [Kawasaki has (co-)authored twelve books], I believe that it’s perfect. In *APE*’s case, upward of seventy-five people reviewed the manuscript, and [co-author] Shawn [Welch] and I read it until we were sick of it. Take a wild guess at how many errors our copy editor found. The answer is 1,500. [*APE* is 410 pages.]

And, of course, I’m preoccupied with PSing T2M . . .

Form of pp. 55-209 below

Adapts my write-up for particular people who could’ve profited triply/4x from my work if they weren’t put off by the associated risk.

The adaptation contains a fair amount of the above (e.g., pp. 95-115, 117-125), along with other redundancies. I didn’t remove the redundancies because:

- removals might’ve made parts of pp. 55-209 harder to understand
- you can skim/skip the familiar parts
- the less time I spend revising, the faster this document gets into circulation
- . . .

(‘a + . . . + f = g’ format)

My Amazon-/Microsoft-/VC-praised AI-preneurship + my subsequent disruptive innovations + #1-best-seller on 10/31/2021 provides an IDEAL springboard for market-entry by my startup + my finders’-fee offer + \$ stolen from me by relatives + customized-education-for-AI will be to the AI economy what oil has been to the industrial economy = seed-investors and/or finders gain part of a Rockefeller-ian fortune

Re: said praise

From a 2004 [email](#) sent to me by Amazon.com’s [first Director of Personalization](#):

Frank, I just spent about an hour surfing around your website with a bit of amazement. I run a [now defunct] little company [funded entirely by Amazon] . . . We are a team of folks who worked together at Amazon.com developing that company’s personalization and recommendations team and systems. We spent about 1.5 years thinking about what we wanted to build next. We thought a lot about online education tools. We thought a lot about classified ads and job networks. We thought a lot about reputation systems. We thought a bit about personalized advertising systems. We thought a lot about blogging and social networking systems. . . . I guess I’m mostly just fascinated that we’ve been working a very similar vein to the one you describe, without having a solid name for it (we call it “the age of the amateur” or “networks of shared experiences” instead of [AI-powered] CLLCS [i.e., customized lifelong learning and career services], but believe me, we are talking about the same patterns and markets, if not in exactly the same way). Thanks for sharing what you have—it’s fascinating stuff.

From a 2004 [email](#) sent to me by an analyst at [then top](#)-VC-firm Draper Fisher Jurvetson:

Hi Frank, Thanks for your time today. If you would like to provide us with further information about your [business] plan [for providing CLLCS], we would be happy to review it in more detail.

From a 1998 [email](#) sent to me by the then Manager of the Learning Sciences and Technology Group at Microsoft Research:

Frank, you are a good man. Have you thought about joining this team? Your only alternative, of course, is venture capital. But their usual models require getting rid of the “originator” within the first eighteen months.

Re: my AI-preneurship

Summary (some details follow; more below)

Key goal: owning/operating (OOing) the leading online-market for AI and customized-education (i.e., OOing the Amazon of AICE (AoAICE)).

A key to OOing AoAICE is OOing the most popular implementation of my Amazon-/VC-praised* design that:

- will yield a next-gen variant of LinkedIn (NGLI)
- fixes the fatal flaw of 2003 “[sensation](#)” BlogShares.com

A key to OOing NGLI is providing said disruptive innovations.

* From said 2004 email sent to me by Amazon’s first Director of Personalization:

We thought a lot about reputation systems. We thought a bit about personalized advertising systems. We thought a lot about blogging and social networking systems. . . . [W]e’ve been working a very similar vein to the one you describe . . .

Name of my planned startup

The Opportunity Services Group (OSG)

Re: NGLI

OSG's 1.0 implementation of the site/app will feature:

- a market for the advertisement spaces on solo-blogger blogs (e.g., [portfolio blogs](#))*
- a virtual currency (cash transactions will be supported also)

Prices in OSG's virtual currency (OVC) will contain/reflect only truthful peer ratings of work samples. Ratings of this kind are a top predictor of work performance, according to a much-cited [meta-analysis of 85 years of personnel-selection research](#) (6149 citations as of December 9, 2021)**. Other top predictors of work performance are often unavailable (e.g., test results). So OVC prices will be ideal for ranking people within individual job/skill categories. These rankings will make it much easier for Jane Q. Upwardly-Mobile to identify others who (can) best complement her (ditto for John Q.).

* An ad space sold for OVC will typically be on the homepage (i.e., front page) of the seller's blog; key reasons: 1) sales of spaces for OVC will occur via weekly auctions, 2) per week, each blogger will be able to sell only one ad space for OVC (which space is sold can vary weekly). Keywords re: said auctions: sealed-bid, second-price; [combinatorial auctions](#) via fractional allocations, so each week's auction will provide a "[spot](#)" market and an "[up-front](#)" market; traders will make these markets "[information-efficient](#)."

** From 2015 book [Work Rules!: Insights from Inside Google That Will Transform How You Live and Lead](#), by [Google's then head of "People Operations"](#):

A century of science points the way to an answer

In 1998, Frank Schmidt and John Hunter published a meta-analysis of eighty-five years of research on how well assessments predict performance.⁸⁵ They looked at nineteen different assessment techniques

...

The best predictor of how someone will perform in a job is a work sample test (29 percent). This entails giving candidates a sample piece of

From the Schmidt-Hunter paper linked-to above:

<u>Personnel measures</u>	<u>Validity (r)</u>
GMA tests ^e	.51
Work sample tests ^b	.54
Integrity tests ^c	.41
Conscientiousness tests ^d	.31
Employment interviews (structured) ^e	.51
Employment interviews (unstructured) ^f	.38
Job knowledge tests ^g	.48
Job tryout procedure ^h	.44
Peer ratings ⁱ	.49

Name of OSG's planned site/app

Adver-ties

Re: Adver-ties will be a debugged version of BlogShares.com

From a 2003 [article](#)* on rediff.com:

The latest sensation that's grabbing the attention of netizens is BlogShares . . . an online stock market in which you get to speculate on the future of your favourite blogs. . . . Every player gets 500 BlogShare dollars upon signup.

. . . How you play BlogShares depends on what you want from it. For some, the objective is to get their blogs on the Top 100 Index.

. . . At the end of a three-week phase of beta testing, there were a staggering 40,000 listed blogs. Over 5000 active players carry out thousands of transactions every day . . .

* See the References section.

Re: the fatal flaw of BlogShares

The price mechanism was easily gamed. From the rediff.com article:

[Inbound] links are the assets that drive valuations.

Re: bloggers will be able to parlay a high and/or fast-rising ad rate in OVC into cash via: 1) sales of other ad spaces, 2) [affiliate-marketing commissions](#), 3) [subscriptions](#)

KWs: [influencer marketing](#) (IM), antidote to the [epidemic](#) of [IM fraud](#). Some details follow; more are (linked-to) below.

Izea: [71% of influencers](#) had a blog in 2018; [39% of advertisers](#) sponsored blog posts in 2018; [67% of social-media users](#) in 2020 aspired to be paid social-media influencers.

Mediakix: [44% of advertisers](#) considered blogs to be among the social-media channels that were most important for IM in 2020 (Facebook: 45%; Twitter: 33%; LinkedIn: 19%); [50% of advertisers](#) considered fraud the #1 drawback of IM in 2020.

Re: a high and/or fast-rising ad rate in OVC will be achievable partly via OSG's [prediction markets](#) (OPMs)

High prices/rankings in OPMs will serve as [PageRank](#)-like pointers to high-quality blogs. Details are linked-to below. Keywords: OPM prices denominated in OVC.

From 2018 book [*Prediction Machines: The Simple Economics of Artificial Intelligence*](#), published by Harvard Business School Press:

AI is a prediction technology . . .

. . . What will new AI technologies make so cheap? Prediction.

. . . When prediction is cheap, there will be more prediction and more complements to prediction.

More precedents for Adver-ties

- Google’s PageRank search algorithm (first use of hyperlinks to inform search results)
- peer assessments associated with popular [MOOCs](#) (massively open online courses)
- LinkExchange.com
- GitHub.com

PageRank 1.0 was based on insights from social-network analysis that were decades old when PageRank was conceived. (Similarly, LinkedIn et al. could’ve productized said 85-years-of-personnel-selection-research long ago.)

From a 1998 [paper](#) co-authored by Google’s founders:

There has been a great deal of work on academic-citation analysis [Gar[19]95]. Goffman [Gof71] . . .

Number of commercial search-engines launched before Google: [20](#).

From 2013 [paper](#) “Tuned Models of Peer Assessment in MOOCs,” co-authored by several employees of MOOC provider Coursera ([\\$447M raised](#)):

Peer assessment—which has been historically used for logistical, pedagogical, metacognitive, and affective benefits . . .—offers a promising solution that can scale the grading of complex assignments in courses with tens or even hundreds of thousands of students.

From the 1998 [article](#) in *The Wall Street Journal* titled “Microsoft Buys LinkExchange For About \$250 Million in Stock”:

LinkExchange . . . places ad banners on about 400,000 Web sites, though

many of those sites are obscure personal homepages [e.g., blogs] . . .

LinkExchange, founded in 1996, has taken a unique approach that has allowed it to grow its network of sites very quickly. The company allows member Web sites to advertise for free on other sites throughout the LinkExchange network—provided they agree to return the favor.

From a 2016 [article](#) on the website of *Harvard Business Review* (my emphases):

How can companies get a better idea of which skills employees and job candidates have? . . . One potential model is GitHub.

. . . Ideally, this [desired variant of GitHub] would also be a **social network and e-portfolio**, allowing an employer to see samples of work and trust that the **skills** presented had been **validated by others**. (The social component of GitHub is important to underscore because other developers validate and consume another developer’s work. This contrasts starkly with the “skills”—if we can call them that—that users can tag so quickly on LinkedIn, such as “higher education” or even “ninja.”)

More re: the business case for Adver-ties

LinkedIn was [acquired by Microsoft](#) for \$26.2 billion in 2016.

Title of a 2018 [article](#) on TechRepublic.com:

Why LinkedIn + GitHub profiles could be the hidden gem in \$7.5B
Microsoft acquisition [of GitHub]

Re: popularizing Adver-ties will be foundational for popularizing OSG’s market for AI & CE

Outputs from activity at and around Adver-ties (e.g., prices) will be inputs to OPMs. After Adver-ties catalyzes the popularization of 1.0 OPMs, outputs from activity at and around the OPMs (e.g., 2.0 OPMs) will be inputs to Adver-ties (i.e.,

the popularization of Adver-ties and OPMs will become mutually reinforcing). Both sets of said outputs will be inputs to OSG’s market for AI/CE (e.g., the outputs will help/enable consumers of AI/CE to feel confident that they’re receiving value for their expenditures).

Precedent for said dependencies between markets

financial-capital markets (e.g., prices output by an equities market are inputs to an [equity-derivatives](#) market)

Re: outputs from Adver-ties being inputs to OSG’s AI/CE market

From the 2015 [article](#) in *The New York Times* titled “Finding a Career Track in LinkedIn Profiles”:

[M]uch of what we need to know about the changing labor market is crowdsourced in real time. And many of those digital breadcrumbs end up in LinkedIn profiles.

From a 2015 [interview](#) of Michael Horn, co-author of 2008 book [Disrupting Class: How Disruptive Innovation Will Change the Way the World Learns](#):

[W]e’re really in the early beginnings of the dramatic revolution that we’ve seen in a lot of other technology sectors where really smart recommendation engines come in and assist the student in picking and choosing their unique path. . . .

In order to really go towards adaptive learning, you need huge numbers of students on your platform . . .

We need platforms that can collect the data we need and can make better use of data so that we can figure out different ways to serve different learners.

Disrupting Class was co-authored by the late Harvard Business School professor [Clayton Christensen](#), originator of the canonical models of disruptive innovation.

From 2016 book [*Thank You for Being Late: An Optimist's Guide to Thriving in the Age of Accelerations*](#):

At the high end of the labor ladder, professionals already have a global intelligent algorithm to draw on: LinkedIn, the career professional social networking site. But its founders now want to extend that intelligent algorithm to the whole world of work by creating a global “economic graph.” Here is how LinkedIn’s CEO, Jeff Weiner, describes it on his company blog:

Reid Hoffman and the other founders of LinkedIn initially created a platform to help people tap the value of their professional networks, and developed an infrastructure that could map those relationships up to three degrees. In doing so, they provided the foundation for what would eventually become the world’s largest professional graph.

Our current long-term vision at LinkedIn is to extend this professional graph into an economic graph by digitally manifesting every economic opportunity [i.e., job] in the world (full-time and temporary); the skills required to obtain those opportunities; the profiles for every company in the world offering those opportunities; the professional profiles for every one of the roughly 3.3 billion people in the global workforce; and subsequently overlay the professional knowledge of those individuals and companies onto the “graph” [so that individual professionals could share their expertise and experience with anyone].

Anyone will be able to access intelligent networks such as LinkedIn’s global graph, see what skills are in demand or available, and even offer up online courses. You might teach knitting or editing or gardening or plumbing or engine repair. So many more people will be incentivized to offer their expertise to others, and the market for it will be vastly expanded.

Added Weiner:

With the existence of an economic graph, we could look at where the

jobs are in any given locality, identify the fastest growing jobs in that area, the skills required to obtain those jobs, the skills of the existing aggregate workforce there, and then quantify the size of the gap. Even more importantly, we could then provide a feed of that data to local vocational training facilities, junior colleges, etc., so they could develop a just-in-time curriculum that provides local job seekers the skills they need to obtain the jobs that are and will be, and not just the jobs that once were.

Separately, we could provide current college students the ability to see the career paths of all of their school's alumni by company, geography, and functional role.

From 2018 book [*A New U: Faster + Cheaper Alternatives to College*](#), by a VC whose focus is education:

“LinkedIn CEO Jeff Weiner’s vision for an ‘economic graph’ is the clearest expression by any technology company of the competency-marketplace future.”

“[T]echnological developments will complete the faster + cheaper revolution. The resulting ‘competency marketplaces’ . . .”

“The historic disconnect between higher education and employer needs is a data problem. . . .

Technology has begun to change this . . . first via the increasing availability of competency data: e-portfolios . . .”

Re: CE-for-AI will be to the AI economy what oil has been to the industrial economy

Re: CE-for-AI

KWs: customized bundles of data, software and services, purchased to: 1)

launch each buyer's "1.0" AI, 2) augment buyers' AI (e.g., software purchased to add features to a 1.0 AI, data that a 2.0 AI can learn from).

From 2015 book [*Superforecasting: The Art and Science of Prediction*](#), co-authored by University of Pennsylvania professor of psychology and political science Philip Tetlock:

Doug knows that when people read for pleasure they naturally gravitate to the like-minded. So he created a database containing hundreds of information sources—from *The New York Times* to obscure blogs—that are tagged by their ideological orientation, subject matter, and geographical origin, then wrote a program that selects what he should read next using criteria that emphasize diversity. Thanks to Doug's simple invention, he is sure to constantly encounter different perspectives.

From 2018 book [*Superminds: The Surprising Power of People and Computers Thinking Together*](#), by [the MIT professor](#) who's the Director of MIT's Center for Collective Intelligence:

What if each participant [in a market] has his or her own "stable" of [AI-powered software robots]? Then **participants will compete to create smarter and smarter bots** [my emphasis]. If your bots are better than mine at making accurate predictions, then you will make more money than I will.

. . . Today's financial markets are leading the way, with investment managers increasingly relying on quantitative, often AI-based, trading algorithms.

Re: CE-for-AI will be the oil of the AI economy

From Nobel laureate economist [Paul Romer's entry](#) on Economic Growth in the 2008 edition of *The Concise Encyclopedia of Economics*:

[T]he country that takes the lead in the twenty-first century will be the one that implements an innovation that more effectively supports the production of new ideas in the private sector [e.g., AI-produced ideas].

Re: Romer (“Re: AI-produced ideas” follows)

From 2006 book [*Knowledge and the Wealth of Nations*](#):

This book tells the story of a single technical paper in economics [Romer (1990): Endogenous Technical Change] . . .

. . . Romer won a race of sorts, a race within the community of university-based research economists to make sense of the process of globalization at the end of the twentieth century and to say something practical and new about how to encourage economic development . . .

From 2004 book [*The Mystery of Economic Growth*](#), by a Harvard economist (my emphases):

Interest in growth theory abruptly revived . . . in the 1980s. The two key papers were by Romer (1986) and Lucas (1988). . . . Romer also initiated the second wave of research on the “new” growth theory.

. . . A more detailed study of the U.S. economy is provided by [Stanford economist Charles] Jones (2002). He found that between 1950 and 1993 improvements in educational attainments, which amounted to an increase of four years of schooling on average, explain about **30 percent of growth** of output per hour. The remaining **70 percent is attributable to the rise in the stock of ideas** that was produced in the United States, France, West Germany, the United Kingdom, and Japan.

Re: AI-produced ideas

From a 2018 [article](#) in *The Atlantic*:

[A] recently designed [AI] program, AlphaZero . . . plays chess at a level superior to chess masters and in a style not previously seen in chess history. On its own, in just a few hours of self-play, it achieved a level of skill that took human beings 1,500 years to attain. Only the basic rules of the game

were provided to AlphaZero. Neither human beings nor human-generated data were part of its process of self-learning.

From November 2020 on news.google.com:

DeepMind's AI can predict protein folding

Top coverage

 MIT Technology Review

DeepMind's protein-folding AI has solved a 50-year-old grand challenge of biology

7 hours ago



 DeepMind

Protein folding explained

 7 hours ago

THE WEEK

A chess-playing AI network solved a 50-year-old biological dilemma, potentially revolutionizing drug development

5 hours ago



 Nature.com

'It will change everything': DeepMind's AI makes gigantic leap in solving protein structures

7 hours ago

From 2021 book [*The Science and Technology of Growing Young: An Insider's Guide to the Breakthroughs that Will Dramatically Extend Our Lifespan . . . and What You Can Do Right Now*](#) (my emphases):

Insilico calls its **AI drug discovery tool** Generative Tensorial Reinforcement Learning (GENTRL). Once trained, the **algorithm starts to “imagine” new molecules with the desired properties**. This process not only vastly reduces the time it takes to discover molecular candidates and enables the creation of molecules that do not yet exist in molecular libraries; it does so with a much higher degree of success than conventional trial and error, and at a much lower cost. Insilico has used its AI to find better alternatives to existing medications as well: it developed a precision medicine system called Inclinico that predicts which patients are most likely to respond to a particular drug. It provides this capability as a service to

pharmaceutical companies but also ranks the drugs by their predicted ability to target the mother of all diseases—aging itself.

Insilico is **not the only biotech company using AI** to discover, create, and optimize pharmaceutical treatments. There are already **more than two hundred start-ups and multiple big-pharma companies** pursuing an ambitious set of goals that will soon completely disrupt the pharmaceutical industry.

More re: OSG’s offerings will ADVANCE human-longevity science*, and medical research more generally

<https://youinsimulation.substack.com/p/re-prc-etc-part-2>

See the section titled “Re: OSG’s offerings a/o clones will advance LS”.

* More about this science is below (pp. 212-4).

Re: “my subsequent disruptive innovations + . . . + my finders’-fee offer”

Details follow, via copies of the:

- email I sent to particular literary agents
- 66-page pdf I attached to each email [pdf-copy below is 97 pages]

Subject of said email: Via my Amazon-/Microsoft-/VC-praised* AI-preneurship, my literary agent (tbd) can profit doubly

Body:

* Links to the praise are below.

Hello [rep of an-author-whose-work-overlaps-mine],

Details re: “Via . . . doubly” are attached (66-page pdf). Excerpt below. Not

included in the pdf:

An **IDEAL** [lead-in](#) to my proposed book (MPB) just debuted at #1:



Hillary Clinton ✓
@HillaryClinton



We're No. 1! So honored and delighted. Thanks for reading.

The New York Times												
Best Sellers Print Hardcover												
October 31, 2021												
THIS WEEK		LAST WEEK		WEEKS ON LIST		THIS WEEK		LAST WEEK		WEEKS ON LIST		
Fiction						Nonfiction						
1		STATE OF TERROR , by Hillary Rodham Clinton and Louise Penny. (Simon & Schuster, St. Martin's) In the wake of the previous administration's mishandling of international affairs, the new Secretary of State Elen Adams confronts interconnected global threats.				1	1	THE STORYTELLER , by Dave Grohl. (Dey Street) A memoir by the musician known for his work with Foo Fighters and Nirvana.				
2	2	THE WISH , by Nicholas Sparks. (Grand Central) Maggie Dawes, a renowned travel photographer, struggles with a medical diagnosis over Christmas.				3		2		TO RESCUE THE REPUBLIC , by Bret Baier with Catherine Whitney. (Custom House) The Fox News chief political anchor recounts challenges faced by Ulysses S. Grant.		
						3				THE BOYS , by Ron Howard and Clint Howard. (Morrow) The Howard brothers credit their success in Hollywood to their		

Re: “not included”: I discovered/read *State of Terror* 2-3 days after I completed the 66-pager.

Re: “**IDEAL**”: See the below copy of my email to the agent of Clinton’s co-author.

Re: “lead-in”: MPB could debut soon as a serial novel published online (i.e., chapter one could soon . . .).

Re: “could”: My primary focus from 2006 to 2016 was developing as a writer/creator/producer of serial novels (details below).

Re: “producer”: [In 2006](#) I recognized that launching/running a particular variant of [Alloy Entertainment](#) would [HELP](#) my planned startup (MPS) [disrupt](#) some of MPS’s competitors (details below).

Possible title of MPB: *State of (T)error: The Enemies Within*. (Readers of Clinton’s

SoT would understand *TEW*; details below.)

Important:

There's a strong case for me [drafting behind](#) *SoT* without working with *SoT*'s co-authors.

As is previewed below, being attached to MPB could expose my lit agent and my publisher to a particular risk.

This risk would be reduced/eliminated if MPB appeared to be self-published (i.e., if my publisher funded the marketing of MPB, but . . .).

Said email-copy follows (includes excerpt from 66-pager).

Subject: Via my Amazon-/Microsoft-/VC-praised* AI-preneurship, my lit agent (tbd) can profit doubly**

Body:

* Links to the praise are below.

** triply if my work becomes a key input to a sequel (e.g., to *State of Terror 2*)

Hello David,

Details re: "Via . . . doubly" are attached (66-page pdf). Excerpt below. In particular, the excerpt previews:

- the threat to many people (T2M) that I identified via my [AI](#)-preneurship (mAIP)
- a/the key to preventing/subduing T2M: my (literary) work that builds on mAIP (mAIP+)

Said 66-pager is my query to literary agents that I completed 2-3 days before I:

- discovered/read *State of Terror (SoT)*
- recognized that, as is shown below:
 - T2M is a precise fit for the threat previewed at the end of *SoT*
 - said end is ideally suited to yield *SoT 2*
 - mAIP+ would FIT *SoT 2*
 - T2M and mAIP+ **STRENGTHEN** the case for *SoT 2*

Said excerpt [until [p. 78](#), repeats part of the above]:

Via my Amazon-/Microsoft-/VC-praised [AI](#)-preneurship (mAIP), my literary agent ([TBD](#)) can profit doubly

Summary (details follow)

Via mAIP, I identified a threat to many people (T2M).

In theory, T2M can be prevented/subdued (PSed) via [carrots and/or sticks](#).

There are many indicators that providers of relevant sticks won't PS T2M (details start on page 29; keywords: fear/awareness that the enemy is within, resultant paralysis).

A key to providing an ideal carrot re: PSing T2M is leveraging my work that builds on mAIP (details start on page 36).

A key to maximizing said leveraging ASAP is my [finders'-fee](#) offer that my literary agent can profit from doubly (previewed on page 38; full details start on page 44).

Links to said praise*

<http://www.businessweek.com/stories/2005-02-13/one-more-thing-on-43-things>

<http://blogmaverick.com/2005/01/31/grokster-and-the-financial-future-of-america/#comment-7049>

* Excerpts are on pages 39-40.

Re: (T2M IDed via) mAIP

Details below; keywords (KWs): Amazon of customized-education and AI; partly via my [disruptive](#) innovations*; CE-for-AI will be to the [AI economy](#) what oil has been to the industrial economy.

* developed after said praise

T2M summary (some details follow; 100+ pages of details linked-to below)

From a [University of Pennsylvania criminologist](#)'s 2013 [book](#): [~78 million psychopaths](#) (Ps) are IMPERILED (PsIMP) by [advances](#) in molecular-genetics research (e.g., "[indefinite detention](#)" by the year 2034).

From a 2020 [article](#) in *Nature*: "In the past decade, studies of psychopathological genetics have become large enough to draw robust conclusions."

(Very) likely:

- a [growing number](#) of Ps are aware that PsIMP, and are resisting
- Ps' [war chest](#) is large and enlarging rapidly (e.g., via [ransomware](#), [de facto legalization of huge fraud](#) (e.g., de facto via [kleptocracy](#)))

There are indicators that Ps are leveraging life science (LS) to:

- develop "[personalized bioweapons](#)"
- weaponize viruses (e.g., for use after Ps have developed a vaccine, per [Pulitzer winner](#) Lawrence Wright's finding that "[the experts all share the concerns I've presented—that something like this \[i.e., weaponize after vaccine\] could happen](#)")

Re: said likelihoods/indicators:

[Worldwide, kleptocracy](#) is [BOOMING](#).

Necessity for kleptocrats (Ks): [hiring contract killers often](#).

Contract killers are Ps in “virtually all” cases (one source: 2019 [article](#) in *The Atlantic*).

So Ks (e.g., non-Ps) need to RESIST PsIMP (e.g., by FUNDING (other) Ps after making them aware that PsIMP).

Indicator that the alliance of Ks and Ps has reached an advanced stage (e.g., re: weaponizing LS): parallels/similarities between [Deutsche Bank](#) and the defunct, [wildly violent](#), [politically influential/coercive](#), [worldwide criminal enterprise of the 1980s known as Bank of Credit and Commerce International](#) (BCCI). From a 1992 U.S. Senate [report on BCCI](#):

[L]argest case of organized crime in history, spanning over . . . 72 nations . . . finance terrorism . . . assist the builders of a Pakistani nuclear bomb . . .

[From November 2021 book [American Kleptocracy: How the U.S. Created the World's Greatest Money Laundering Scheme in History](#), published after I wrote/mailed said 66-pager:

BCCI had created a blueprint that numerous kleptocrats and international criminals would soon follow. It was one of the earliest case studies in what would grow into the modern kleptocracy playbook . . .]

Title of the 2020 book by the finance editor of *The New York Times*:

[Dark Towers: Deutsche Bank, Donald Trump \[my emphasis\] and an Epic Trail of Destruction](#)

From a 2011 [article](#) in U.K. newspaper *The Independent*:

My companion, a senior UK investment banker, and I are discussing the most successful banking types we know and what makes them tick. I argue that they often conform to the characteristics displayed by social psychopaths. To my surprise, my friend agrees.

He then makes an astonishing confession: “At one major investment bank for which I worked, we used psychometric testing to recruit social psychopaths because their characteristics exactly suited them to senior corporate finance roles.”

Re: personalized bioweapons (PBs)

From the chapter in 2015 book [*Tomorrowland: Our Journey from Science Fiction to Science Fact*](#) titled “Hacking the President’s DNA,” co-authored by a former Resident Futurist of the FBI (my emphases):

Our next commander-in-chief will be our first commander-in-chief to have to deal with genetically based, made-to-order [e.g., personalized] biothreats.

. . . Within a few years, politicians, celebrities, leaders of industry [**e.g., me**] . . . will be vulnerable to murder[, extortion, etc.] by genetically engineered bioweapon. Many such killings could go undetected, confused with death by natural causes; many others would be difficult to pin on a defendant, especially given disease latency. Both of these factors are likely to make **personalized bioweapons** extremely attractive to anyone bearing ill will.

From a 2012 [article](#) in *The Atlantic*:

According to Ronald Kessler, the author of the 2009 book *In the President’s Secret Service*, Navy stewards gather bedsheets, drinking glasses, and other objects the president has touched—they are later sanitized or destroyed—in an effort to keep would-be malefactors from obtaining his genetic material . . . [A]ccording to a 2010 release of secret cables by WikiLeaks, Secretary of State **Hillary Clinton** [my emphasis] directed our embassies to

surreptitiously collect DNA samples from foreign heads of state and senior United Nations officials.

...

Re: psychopathy is ~70% heritable

From 2011 book [*The Science of Evil*](#), by a University of Cambridge professor of developmental psychopathology:

If a trait or behavior is even partly genetic, we should see its signature showing up in twins.

. . . Regarding twin studies of Type P [i.e., psychopaths], none of these show 100 percent heritability, but the genetic component is nevertheless substantial (the largest estimate being about 70 percent).

Re: many/most/all genetic identifiers of said ~70% will be identified soon

From 2013 book [*The Anatomy of Violence: The Biological Roots of Crime*](#), by said University of Pennsylvania professor of Criminology (and Psychiatry and Psychology):

“Behavioral genetics is a shadowy black box because, while it tells us what proportion of a given behavior is genetically influenced, it does not identify the specific genes lurking in there that predispose one to violence. Molecular genetics is poised to pry open that black box . . .”

“Twenty years ago, molecular genetics was a fledgling field of research. Now it is a major enterprise providing us with a detailed look at the structure and function of genes.”

“The essence of the molecular genetic research we have been touching on above—identifying specific genes that predispose individuals to crime—is that genes code for neurotransmitter functioning. Neurotransmitters are brain

chemicals essential to brain functioning. There are more than a hundred of them and they help to transmit signals from one brain cell to another to communicate information. Change the level of these neurotransmitters, and you change cognition, emotion, and behavior.

. . . It's 2034 . . . [A]ll males in society aged eighteen and over have to register at their local hospital for a quick brain scan and DNA testing. One simple finger prick for one drop of blood that takes ten seconds. Then a five-minute brain scan for the “Fundamental Five Functions”: First, a structural scan provides the brain’s anatomy. Second, a functional scan shows resting brain activity. Third, enhanced diffusion-tensor imaging is taken to assess the integrity of the white-fiber system in the brain, assessing intricate brain connectivity. Fourth is a reading of the brain’s neurochemistry that has been developed from magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Fifth and finally, the cellular functional scan assesses expression of 23,000 different genes at the cellular level. The computerization of all medical, school, psychological, census, and neighborhood data makes it easy to combine these traditional risk variables alongside the vast amount of DNA and brain data to form an all-encompassing biosocial data set.

. . . Fourth-generation machine-learning techniques looked for complex patterns of linear and nonlinear relationships . . .”

Re: “indefinite detention” of Ps could/should ensue

From *The Anatomy of Violence* (my emphases):

It's 2034 . . . The economic cost of crime is now astronomical. Back in 2010, the cost of homicide in the United States was estimated at over \$300 billion—more than the combined budgets of the Departments of Education, Justice, Housing and Urban Development, Health and Human Services, Labor, and Homeland Security. Way back in 1999, it was estimated to consume 11.9 percent of GDP, but in 2034 it is gobbling up 21.8 percent.

. . . [This] leads the government to launch the LOMBROSO program—

Legal Offensive on Murder: Brain Research Operation for the Screening of Offenders.

. . . Under LOMBROSO, those who test positive—the **LPs**—**are held in indefinite detention** . . . It sounds quite cushy, but remember that the **LPs have not actually committed a crime**. Perhaps the main drawback is who they live with, housed as they are in facilities full of other **LPs—time bombs waiting to explode**.

Re: it's (very) likely that a growing number of Ps are aware that PsIMP

From a 2016 [article](#) on PsychologyToday.com:

A [[meta-analytic](#)] review of [48] studies found that the correlation between psychopathy and intelligence is nearly zero [i.e., [~2.3% of Ps have an IQ > 130; ~16% ≥ 115](#)].

From the 2012 [article](#) in *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin* titled “The Corporate Psychopath”:

Today’s corporate psychopath may be highly educated—several with Ph.D., M.D., and J.D. degrees have been studied . . .

En route to raising awareness, Ps can use the pretense of screening job applicants to test for psychopathy (i.e., to identify other Ps).

. . .

KWs re: I IDed T2M via mAIP

my efforts to ID online-markets that complement CE; [burgeoning science of human reproduction](#); [superstar-biased technological change](#); [epigenetics](#); [gamete market](#)

. . .

Re: a key to providing an ideal carrot re: PSing T2M is leveraging my work that builds on mAIP (part 1 of 2)

Again, it's too late to be stealthy/covert re: said PSing.

From my 2021 write-up at comedyvstrump2024.substack.com/p/summary-details (at this CvT24 URL, links can't be added to the first part of the four-part title below):

My Amazon-/Microsoft-/VC-praised* AI-preneurship and the “simulation argument” indicate a >50% likelihood we live (partly) in a simcom that'll feature my planned startup's:

- **comedy-vs.-Trump-2024** (keywords: particular premi\$e; **NO COURAGE REQUIRED IF PART OF A SIM COM**; if courage required, might preclude/**disrupt** competing startups)
- **startup comedy** (keywords: HELP** **product-development groups** to: 1) raise **equity-crowdfunding**, 2) **spin off**; disruptive to Amazon, Microsoft, etc.)
- **flowmantic comedy** (keywords: **flow** is the state-of-mind that enables top performance/problem-solving; often, “**group flow**” sparks romantic attraction)

...


Summary (12 parts; details follow)

Re: “simulation argument**’ . . . >50% likelihood”**

Title of an October 2020 [article](#) on ScientificAmerican.com:

Do We Live in a Simulation? Chances Are About 50–50

From the Amazon.com [page](#) for 2019 book *The Simulation Hypothesis*:



The Simulation Hypothesis: An MIT Computer Scientist Shows Why AI, Quantum Physics and Eastern Mystics All Agree We Are In a Video Game Kindle Edition

by Rizwan Virk (Author) | Format: Kindle Edition

★★★★☆ 288 ratings

> See all formats and editions

Kindle \$8.99 Read with Our Free App	Audiobook \$0.00 Free with your Audible trial	Hardcover \$21.83 2 Used from \$29.78 8 New from \$21.81	Paperback \$13.79 5 Used from \$12.98 10 New from \$12.37
--	--	--	---

The Simulation Hypothesis, by best-selling author, renowned MIT computer scientist and Silicon Valley video game designer Rizwan Virk, explains one of the most daring and consequential theories of our time.

(More) re: “>50%”: See the 12th part of this summary. Excerpt: “[L]ikelihood that we’re living in ‘base reality’ [i.e., not in a simulation], concluded [Elon Musk, [comedy investor](#) and [world’s richest person](#)], . . . was just ‘one in billions.’”

Keywords re: comedy-vs.-Trump-2024 (CvT24) might require courage

psychologist Mary Trump’s diagnosis of her uncle; . . . if re-elected, TrumP could leverage [emergency powers](#)

. . .

Precedent for CvT24

Bob Hope’s comedy [amid Nazi bombing](#) during World War II, en route to Hope becoming in 1944 the [most popular entertainer](#) in America

Premi\$e for CvT24

Soon, many Ps will want to:

- (continue) resist(ing) PsIMP
- not resist, in part because my planned startup (MPS) will HELP to:
 - REWARD Ps who submit to indefinite-detention or an alternate form of threat-neutralization
 - [ADVANCE human-longevity science](#), and medical research more generally

From 2014 book [Ha!: The Science of When We Laugh and Why](#), by a cognitive neuroscientist (my emphases):

We laugh at what forces us to integrate incompatible goals or ideas . . .

. . . [O]ur brains act by letting ideas compete and argue for attention. This approach has its benefits, such as allowing us to reason, solve problems, and even read books. However, it sometimes leads to conflict, for example **when we try to hold two or more inconsistent ideas at once**. When that happens, **our brains know of only one thing to do—laugh**.

...

Re: CvT24-in-a-simcom wouldn't require courage

From 1997 book [Story: Style, Structure, Substance, and the Principles of Screenwriting](#), by [Robert McKee](#) (my emphases):

Comedy contains myriad subgenres as well, each with its own conventions, but **one overriding convention** unites this mega-genre and distinguishes it from drama: **Nobody gets hurt**. In *Comedy*, the audience must feel that no matter how characters bounce off walls, no matter how they scream and writhe under the whips of life, it doesn't really hurt.

...

Comedy opener of my first startup comedy (SC; a serial “[non-fiction novel](#)” that I’m rewriting)

“Seventeen states,” I said, “have legalized recreational marijuana. A lot of partying happens away from home. Smoking weed gives people the munchies. Many popular night-spots don’t serve food. So there’s a [greenfield opportunity](#) at the intersection of mobile storage, weed storage, and food storage. Specifically, an opportunity for OSG [i.e., MPS] to patent my design of clothing-pockets that close via Ziploc.”

Mindy’s eyes widened for an instant. Then her lips formed a thin smile.

“I see you’re worried about developing laugh lines,” I said. “You shouldn’t be. Laugh lines are no match for modern cosmetic surgery. After all, cosmetic surgery is getting so advanced that, soon, it will be a simple matter to make a woman’s face after surgery appear *completely* different than her face before surgery.”

Then I tried to appear struck by a flash of insight.

“Which means,” I said, “that soon *millions* of Caucasian women will find it *impossible* to get a date! *Unless . . .*”

I picked up the handset of my desk phone, then appeared to dial an extension.

“It has come to my attention,” I said into the handset, “that OSG can profit *obscenely* by purchasing the rights to develop and market the *only* DNA test that enables a woman to *prove* she’s *not* [Lorena Bobbitt!](#)”

Mindy laughed.

I restored the handset to its cradle, then used my laptop. A new presentation slide appeared on the wall-mounted screen:

From a 1978 [article](#) in *The New Yorker*:

“When it comes to saving a bad line, [Johnny Carson] is the master”—to quote a tribute paid in my presence by George Burns.

. . . One sometimes detects a vindictive glint in Carson’s eye when a number of gags sink without risible trace, but [*Tonight Show* writer Pat] McCormick assures me that this is all part of the act . . .

— End of comedy opener —

. . .

Re: the making of said [i.e., my] business plan and said serial novel

— Summary (details follow) —

In 1985 I learned that I can reliably generate comic insights [1] (e.g., I can write jokes).

That year my focus shifted to developing a likable [comic persona](#). My approach to the developing comprised 3 steps, with a [corollary](#):

S1: Select a problem that’s causing many people a lot of distress.

S2: Try to solve the problem.

S3: Mine the experience for comedy.

C: The more effective I am at solving (part of) the problem, the more likable my persona will be.

The problem I selected was lack of educational/economic opportunity.

In 1992 I gained exposure to the pre-Web Internet.

In 1998 I completed my 1.0 business plan for an online provider of CE and particular complements.

In 2004 I completed my 2.0 plan. Key addition: my Amazon-/VC-praised [2]

design [3] of an online market that'll: 1) provide new and improved ways for influencers (e.g., comedy writers, subject-matter experts) to earn money, 2) yield a next-gen variant of LinkedIn that'll give rise to MANY flowmances.

In 2006 my focus shifted to leveraging comedy to make my 2.0 plan disruptive [4] (i.e., shifted to developing craft/artfulness in the requisite medium/form/genres [5]).

— Re: [1] (in 1985 I learned that I can reliably generate comic insights) —

More recently, I learned that I have what [some neuroscientists](#) call “comedy-writer brain” (e.g., my neuroanatomy includes a [“flat” memory hierarchy](#) that enables [non-conscious processes](#) of my brain to reliably identify associations that are [perceived as very remote](#) by other types of brains).

Re: comedy-writer brain

From *Ha!: the Science of When We Laugh and Why*:

[I]t's worth noting that no single brain region is responsible for this type of creativity. One scientific review of seventy-two recent experiments revealed that no single brain region is consistently active during creative behavior. There is, however, something special about people who make novel connections or imagine the unimaginable. What sets them apart is the connectivity within their resting brains. This finding was discovered by a team of researchers from Tohoku in Japan, who observed that people with highly connected brains—as measured by shared brain activity over multiple regions—are more flexible and adaptive thinkers. Connected brains are creative brains.

From 1999 book [The Entertainment Economy](#):

mean more good product. Steve Allen has observed that at any one time there are fifty good comedy writers in America. His advice was, basically, that if you find a good comedy writer, treat him or her like gold. As many comedy producers have confirmed to me, “comic DNA,” or whatever is the genetic material that makes one person funny and another hair-pullingly boring, is apparently in short supply in the human gene pool. So with more than six

Re: non-conscious processes identifying remote associations

From 2017 book [*Stealing Fire: How Silicon Valley, the Navy SEALs, and Maverick Scientists Are Revolutionizing the Way We Live and Work*](#):

Carhart-Harris set out to take real-time pictures of the unconscious [using neuroimaging technologies (e.g., fMRI)] and when he did, he saw the unconscious actively hunting for new ideas.

...

— Re: [5] (requisite medium/form/genres) —

In 2006—before smartphone apps and equity-crowdfunding—my goal for leveraging comedy was running website-marketing and site-user-showcasing as a profit center.

Keys to maximizing media profits on a risk-adjusted basis: portfolio of media properties; phased investments in [production values](#).

So MPS’s SCs will originate as serial novels, published online.

Equity-crowdfunding was legalized in 2012.

Hence my updated/present conception of SCs . . .

...

Re: my focus since 2006 on developing as a serial novelist

Title of a 2007 [paper](#) in *The Journal of Creative Behavior*:

Ten Years to Expertise, Many More to Greatness: An Investigation of Modern Writers

Said expertise equates largely to instincts that, after (~)10 years, have become passably trustworthy.

From 2017 book [Before You Know It: The Unconscious Reasons We Do What We Do](#):

Unconscious decisions tend to be better [than decisions made consciously] when the judgment is complex and many different dimensions or features have to be combined and integrated . . .

To take such full advantage of unconscious help we have to first do the conscious work [e.g., put in said (~)10 years] . . .

From 2017 book [Machine, Platform, Crowd: Harnessing Our Digital Future](#), co-authored by MIT economist Erik Brynjolfsson:

Go is a pure strategy game—no luck involved . . .

[I]t is estimated there are about 2×10^{170} (that is 2 followed by 170 zeros) possible positions on a standard Go board . . .

The Game Nobody Can Explain

How do the top human Go players navigate this absurd complexity and make smart moves? Nobody knows—not even the players themselves.

Go players learn a group of heuristics and tend to follow them.[‡] Beyond these rules of thumb, however, top players are often at a loss to explain their own strategies. As Michael Redmond, one of few Westerners to reach the game's highest rank, explains, "I'll see a move and be sure it's the right one, but won't be able to tell you exactly how I know. I just see it."

...

Re: a key to providing an ideal carrot re: PSing T2M is leveraging my work that builds on mAIP (part 2 of 2)

...

Continuous improvement of a next-gen variant of La Catedral [i.e., of said carrot] would require:

- ideation (e.g., via CE for people, (CE-for-)AI)
- implementation (e.g., via teams formed via a next-gen variant of LinkedIn)
- funding (e.g., equity-crowdfunding attracted via startup comedies)
- continuous lowering of the perceived-risk of producing improvements (e.g., lower via: 1) strengthening the case that we live (partly) in a simcom, 2) leveraging delegated EWPs [i.e., emergency/war powers])

Maximizing the yield from said continuous improvement would require Ps':

- awareness (e.g., via comedies)
- confidence that they won't be bait-and-switched (e.g., confidence via said

parallels between me and a historical figure that strengthen the simcom case)

...

From comedyvstrump2024.substack.com/p/summary-details:

[1/14/21 update continued:

Re: possible link between ICH [i.e., the 1/6/2021 insurrection on Capitol Hill] and Ps partnering-with/manipulating known groups of violent extremists (part 1 of 2)

— Summary (details follow) —

[Anonymous shell companies](#) (ASCs) were banned in the U.S. on 1/1/21, after: 1) the ban was legislated by veto-proof majorities in the House ([on 12/9/20](#)) and Senate ([12/11/20](#)), 2) President Trump's [12/23/20 veto was overridden](#). Some/many Ps might've started on 12/11 to work to [\(de facto\) reverse said ban](#) (e.g., worked via ICH to [prime](#) members of Congress to receive variants of Pablo Escobar's offers of "[plata o plomo](#)").

— Re: "variants" (part 1 of 2) —

Said ban [portends HUGE* problems](#) (e.g., [financial distress/ruin](#), imprisonment) for many WEALTHY criminals (WCs; e.g., kleptocrats who, **of necessity****, [hire contract killers often](#)).

— Re: "Ps might've started" —

Contract killers are Ps in "virtually all" cases (source: 2019 [article](#) in The Atlantic). So some/many WCs (e.g., non-Ps) need to RESIST PsIMP (e.g., by FUNDING*** (other) Ps after making them aware that PsIMP). So it's possible some/many Ps are FUNDED and know that **a key to maximizing resistance to PsIMP is WCs owning ASCs in the U.S.** [my emphasis]

— Re: Ps might've "worked via ICH" —

From a 2018 [article](#) co-authored by President Biden:

[L]ack of any requirement to disclose the beneficial (i.e. “true”) ownership of limited liability companies (LLCs) makes it easy for foreign [and/or criminal] entities to establish [anonymous] shell companies in the United States. These shell companies can then . . . channel [dark] money directly to a super PAC.

From a 1/9/21 [article](#) on CNBC.com:

[P]ro-Trump dark money groups helped organize the rally that led to a deadly riot on Capitol Hill.

* From the 2020 [article](#) on DailyBeast.com titled “The Kleptocrats’ Money-Laundering Middleman Who Did Deals With Trump”**** (my emphases):

“[2020 book] *[Kleptopia: How Dirty Money is Conquering the World](#)*[, by a *Financial Times* reporter,] is the terrifying true story of how kleptocrats—those who rule through corruption—are uniting, clandestinely fusing their business interests, and forming alliances.”

“From Budapest to Beijing, Harare to Riyadh, they have seized power and are busily guzzling their nations’ wealth.”

“What they crave is legitimacy—for their money and their power. That means **hijacking democracies** . . .

. . . An architecture of **shell companies would keep the money incognito**, and if anyone did find out who it belonged to, provide plausible deniability for those who had received it.”

[From a 2019 [article](#) on NPR.org:



'Moneyland' Reveals How Oligarchs, Kleptocrats And Crooks Stash Fortunes • 37:02

absolutely nothing to do with. But you can't commit financial crime without, essentially - on any kind of large scale - without **shell** companies. They are the building blocks to financial crime. And so they are incredibly useful for people like Paul

From <https://twitter.com/FrankRuscica/status/1358459268945612806>:

thefactcoalition.org/fact-sheet-anonymous-companies-and-national-security-may-2019/



nypd



nyc



The Ringer



Google News



Twitter



Google Docs



YouTube

The U.S. is the easiest place to establish an anonymous company.

A 2014 academic study found that the U.S. is the easiest country in the world for terrorists and criminals to open anonymous shell companies to launder their money with impunity.^[11] While Delaware has become infamous for its ability to recruit companies to register there, no state collects the names of the true ('beneficial') owners of companies. ^[12] Indeed, in every state and the District of Columbia, it requires less identification to open a business than to get a library card.^[13]

From the 2019 [article](#) in *The Atlantic* titled "Russian-Style Kleptocracy Is Infiltrating America" (my emphases):

"[L]arge swaths of the American elite . . . **enabled** the creation of **shell companies** . . ."

"If every nation had signed on to the OECD standards, the effect would have been a hammerblow to . . . the vital infrastructure that allows kleptocratic money to flow unnoticed. In the end, the **United States was alone in refusing to join the OECD agreement**, finalized in 2014."

Casey Michel @cjcMichel · Jan 1
 THE U.S. JUST BANNED ANONYMOUS SHELL COMPANIES



Senate Overrides Trump's Veto of Defense Bill, Dealing a Legislative Blow
 Republicans joined Democrats to deliver President Trump the first veto override of his presidency in the last days of his term in an overwhelmin...
 nytimes.com

502 7.6K 27.5K

Casey Michel @cjcMichel · Jan 1
 Pardon the all-caps, but the Senate's veto override today means that the U.S. A) just eliminated the primary building block in America's transformation into an offshore haven, and B) passed the most sweeping counter-kleptocracy reforms in decades—potentially ever.

Relevant people

Casey Michel @cjcMichel
 Writing AMERICAN KLEPTOCRACY (Oct. '21, pre-order here: us.macmillan.com/books/97812502...)
 @KleptocracyIntv, @FinTrCo, @HarrimanInst, @PeaceCorps
 Kazakhstan

What's happening

COVID-19 · LIVE
COVID-19: News and updates for New Jersey

Sports · Trending
Rose
 507K Tweets

Trending in United States
The Who
 1.14M Tweets

Barron's · February 5, 2021

— End of excerpt from said tweet —]

Potentially MUCH worse for WCs: Anti-Kleptopians have [expansive plans](#) for further actions (e.g., President Biden's [plan](#)).

** . . .

From said article on DailyBeast.com:

There is nothing they [i.e., Ks] will not do to maintain control . . .

*** Existing ASCs in the U.S. can operate [until 2023](#).

**** From said article on DailyBeast.com:

After 9/11, [U.S.] banks were on orders to pay some attention to whose money they were handling, lest they abet terrorism. Conveniently, there was

another route to the West that retained its secrecy: real estate. The five [Mafia] families had laundered their criminal proceeds through American property for decades. Now the new kleptocrats followed them [e.g., via owning ASCs in the U.S.]

Trump's role [my emphasis] would be to rent out his name.

Which could (partly) explain said veto by Trump/TrumP . . .

. . .

Re: possible link between ICH and Ps partnering-with/manipulating known groups of violent extremists (part 2)

— Re: variants of plata-o-plomo (part 2) —

Summary (details follow)

. . .

Possibilities that fit our Time of COVID:

Some/many Ps/WCs know how to personalize bioweapons.

ICH [yielded DNA from offices, desks on the Senate floor](#), etc.

— End of excerpt from comedyvstrump2024.substack.com/p/summary-details —

— End of excerpt from 66-pager —

Re: T2M is a precise fit for the threat previewed at the end of *SoT* (part 1 of 2)

From *SoT*:

“What did you find?” Secretary asked.

...

“There’s fissionable material missing not just from Russia but also from Ukraine, Australia, Canada. The United States.”

...

“[I]t’s enough to make hundreds of bombs.”

...

“And from our own storehouses?”

He nodded.

“But that’s not all.”

...

Sarin gas. Anthrax. Ebola. Marburg **Virus** [my emphasis].

Re: the end of *SoT* is ideally suited to yield *SoT 2*

The final sentences:

Ellen looked at the long, lost list.

“I think,” she whispered, “we have our next nightmare.”

Re: T2M is a precise fit for the threat previewed at the end of *SoT* (part 2 of 2)

From *SoT*:

“Even with all they knew, it would take months, maybe years, to untangle all that had happened. And to ferret out all the members of HLI.”

“[HLI is] a group, an organization, all highly placed in different branches of government. Including, God help me, the military.”

“Why did they [i.e., HLI] do it? I can see being disenchanted with where the country is going, but nuclear bombs? . . . With Al-Qaeda as their ally? The Russian mafia as an ally?”

Re: T2M and mAIP+ STRENGTHEN the case for *SoT 2*

Increasing awareness of T2M and mAIP+ will decrease the likelihood that Donald Trump is re-elected president.

RELATED to the previous section

From Hilary Clinton’s part of the acknowledgments section of *SoT*:

It’s up to us to make sure its plot stays fictional.

Re: near-substitutes for parts of the attached pdf are online

Details below.

Re: a copy of the pdf isn’t online

The pdf makes it plain that: 1) literary agents are ideally positioned to help PS T2M, 2) I’m seeking to partner with a lit agent en route to PSing T2M.

Forensic analyses indicate that I’m on the radar of hackers who are part of T2M.

Related precautions I’m taking

When I use a computer to work on PSing T2M, I’m always away from home (i.e., away from my devices that said analyses indicate have been infiltrated by hackers).

This email is being composed via an account that I created away from home and

never access at home.

Re: said near-substitutes (NSs)

An NS for details re: T2M (i.e., for pages 1-29 of the pdf) is online at <https://youinsimulation.substack.com/p/re-root-cause-of-malicious-cyber-activities> (start online at the section titled **PRC summary (some details follow; 100+ pages of details are linked-to below)**).

An NS for details re: “indicators that the providers of relevant sticks won’t PS T2M” (pages 29-36 of the pdf) is online at <https://youinsimulation.substack.com/p/re-prc-etc-part-3> (start online at the top of the page).

Re: presentation-errors above

From 2012 book [*APE: Author, Publisher, Entrepreneur—How to Publish a Book*](#), co-authored by [Guy Kawasaki](#), a former chief evangelist at Apple:

Every time I turn in the “final” copy of a book [Kawasaki has (co-)authored twelve books], I believe that it’s perfect. In *APE*’s case, upward of seventy-five people reviewed the manuscript, and [co-author] Shawn [Welch] and I read it until we were sick of it. Take a wild guess at how many errors our copy editor found. The answer is 1,500. [*APE* is 410 pages.]

And, of course, I’m preoccupied with PSing T2M . . .

Big finish

Thoughts? Questions? If you want to discuss, I have a “[burner](#)” phone (not purchased by me; used only re: PSing T2M; phone number available upon request).

Please confirm receipt of this email.

Best,

Frank Ruscica

— End of email to lit agents —

Said 66-pager [repeats part of the above until p. 116, and from pp. 117-125]:

Via my Amazon-/Microsoft-/VC-praised AI-preneurship (mAIP), my literary agent (TBD) can profit doubly

Summary (details follow)

Via mAIP, I identified a threat to many people (T2M).

In theory, T2M can be prevented/subdued (PSed) via [carrots and/or sticks](#).

There are many indicators that providers of relevant sticks won't PS T2M (details start on page 29).

A key to providing an ideal carrot re: PSing T2M is leveraging my work that builds on mAIP (details start on page 36).

A key to maximizing said leveraging ASAP is my [finders'-fee](#) offer that my literary agent can profit from doubly (previewed on page 38; full details start on page 44).

Links to said praise*

<http://www.businessweek.com/stories/2005-02-13/one-more-thing-on-43-things>
[http://blogmaverick.com/2005/01/31/grokster-and-the-financial-future-of-america/
#comment-7049](http://blogmaverick.com/2005/01/31/grokster-and-the-financial-future-of-america/#comment-7049)

* Excerpts are on pages 39-40.

Re: (T2M IDed via) mAIP

Details below; keywords (KWs): Amazon of customized-education and AI; partly via my [disruptive](#) innovations*; CE-for-AI will be to the [AI economy](#) what oil has been to the industrial economy.

* developed after said praise

T2M summary (some details follow; 100+ pages of details linked-to below)

From a [University of Pennsylvania criminologist](#)'s 2013 [book](#): [~78 million psychopaths](#) (Ps) are IMPERILED (PsIMP) by [advances](#) in molecular-genetics research (e.g., "[indefinite detention](#)" by the year 2034).

From a 2020 [article](#) in *Nature*: "In the past decade, studies of psychopathological genetics have become large enough to draw robust conclusions."

(Very) likely:

- a [growing number](#) of Ps are aware that PsIMP, and are resisting
- Ps' [war chest](#) is large and enlarging rapidly (e.g., via [ransomware](#), [de facto legalization of huge fraud](#) (e.g., de facto via [kleptocracy](#)))

There are indicators that Ps are leveraging life science (LS) to:

- develop "[personalized bioweapons](#)"
- weaponize viruses (e.g., for use after Ps have developed a vaccine, per [Pulitzer winner](#) Lawrence Wright's finding that "[the experts all share the concerns I've presented—that something like this \[i.e., weaponize after vaccine\] could happen](#)")

Re: said likelihoods/indicators:

[Worldwide, kleptocracy](#) is [BOOMING](#).

Necessity for kleptocrats (Ks): [hiring contract killers often](#).

Contract killers are Ps in “virtually all” cases (one source: 2019 [article](#) in *The Atlantic*).

So Ks (e.g., non-Ps) need to RESIST PsIMP (e.g., by FUNDING (other) Ps after making them aware that PsIMP).

Indicator that the alliance of Ks and Ps has reached an advanced stage (e.g., re: weaponizing LS): parallels/similarities between [Deutsche Bank](#) and the defunct, [wildly violent](#), [politically influential/coercive](#), [worldwide criminal enterprise of the 1980s known as Bank of Credit and Commerce International](#) (BCCI). From a 1992 U.S. Senate [report on BCCI](#):

[L]argest case of organized crime in history, spanning over . . . 72 nations . . . finance terrorism . . . assist the builders of a Pakistani nuclear bomb . . .

Title of the 2020 book by the finance editor of *The New York Times*:

[*Dark Towers: Deutsche Bank, Donald Trump \[my emphasis\] and an Epic Trail of Destruction*](#)

From a 2011 [article](#) in U.K. newspaper *The Independent*:

My companion, a senior UK investment banker and I, are discussing the most successful banking types we know and what makes them tick. I argue that they often conform to the characteristics displayed by social psychopaths. To my surprise, my friend agrees.

He then makes an astonishing confession: “At one major investment bank for which I worked, we used psychometric testing to recruit social psychopaths because their characteristics exactly suited them to senior corporate finance roles.”

Re: personalized bioweapons (PBs)

From the chapter in 2015 book [*Tomorrowland: Our Journey from Science Fiction to Science Fact*](#) titled “Hacking the President’s DNA,” co-authored by a former Resident Futurist of the FBI (my emphases):

Our next commander-in-chief will be our first commander-in-chief to have to deal with genetically based, made-to-order [e.g., personalized] biothreats.

. . . Within a few years, politicians, celebrities, leaders of industry [**e.g., me**] . . . will be vulnerable to murder[, extortion, etc.] by genetically engineered bioweapon. Many such killings could go undetected, confused with death by natural causes; many others would be difficult to pin on a defendant, especially given disease latency. Both of these factors are likely to make **personalized bioweapons** extremely attractive to anyone bearing ill will.

From a 2012 [article](#) in *The Atlantic*:

According to Ronald Kessler, the author of the 2009 book *In the President’s Secret Service*, Navy stewards gather bedsheets, drinking glasses, and other objects the president has touched—they are later sanitized or destroyed—in an effort to keep would-be malefactors from obtaining his genetic material . . . [A]ccording to a 2010 release of secret cables by WikiLeaks, Secretary of State Hillary Clinton directed our embassies to surreptitiously collect DNA samples from foreign heads of state and senior United Nations officials.

Indicators that said alliance is developing PBs

Summary (details below)

Psychopathy correlates strongly with hypersexuality.

[Jeffrey Epstein](#) (JE):

- was hypersexual
- was [banked](#) by Deutsche Bank (DB)

- owned a company (JCo) that mined DNA databases and financial databases en route to: 1) reporting profits of ~\$300M, 2) not reporting the names of any clients

Linking financial data and DNA data is a prerequisite for PBs that yield “profits”-via-extortion.

There are indicators (e.g., seen above) that:

1. JE extorted
2. JCo was banked by DB
3. DB employs many Ps (e.g., possible ex-suppliers of data to JCo)
4. DB banks many Ps (e.g., possible ex-clients of JCo)

Key indicator of #4: DB banks many WEALTHY criminals (e.g., Ks who are possible ex-clients of JCo).

Re: weaponizing viruses

From the April 2020 [article](#) in *The New Yorker* titled “What Lawrence Wright Learned From His Pandemic Novel” (my emphases):

By the time Wright and I met for lunch and discussed his novel—“The End of October,” which is out this month—he had already done the coast-to-coast reporting. He had met with epidemiologists, immunologists, microbiologists, security experts, vaccine experts, and public-health officials. He had read all the books, all the journal articles . . . The **experts**, Wright notes in a letter to the reader in the galleys of his book, “**all share the concerns I’ve presented—that something like this could happen.**”

From *The End of October*:

“Really, Henry,” Bartlett asked, “you think this [virus] was man-made?”

[Henry:] “Biowarfare has always been . . . the ultimate weapon of war, one

that can destroy the enemy without fingerprints.”

“**It only makes sense if they have also developed a vaccine** [my emphasis],” said Bartlett.

From a September 23, 2021 [article](#) on TheIntercept.com:

The [2018] proposal . . . describes the insertion of human-specific cleavage sites into SARS-related bat coronaviruses.

. . . The . . . proposal, which also described a **plan to mass vaccinate** [my emphasis] . . .

“Let’s look at the big picture: A novel SARS coronavirus emerges in Wuhan with a novel cleavage site in it. We now have evidence that, in early 2018, they had pitched inserting novel cleavage sites into novel SARS-related viruses in their lab,” said Chan. “This definitely tips the scales for me. And I think it should do that for many other scientists too.”

Richard Ebright, a molecular biologist at Rutgers University who has espoused the possibility that SARS-CoV-2 may have originated in a lab, agreed. “The relevance of this is that SARS Cov-2, the pandemic virus, is the only virus in its entire genus of SARS-related coronaviruses that contains a fully functional cleavage site at the S1, S2 junction,” said Ebright, referring to the place where two subunits of the spike protein meet. “And here is a proposal from the beginning of 2018, proposing explicitly to engineer that sequence at that position in chimeric lab-generated coronaviruses.”

Indicators that said alliance is weaponizing viruses

JE met with top virologist George Church several/many times (e.g., in 2014).

From [George Church’s web page](#) on the site for Harvard’s PhD Program in Virology:

Virology Faculty Member . . .

From a 2019 [article](#) on NBCnews.com (my emphases):

Harvard science professors kept meeting with donor Jeffrey Epstein . . .

[A]ccording to the online personal calendar [for 2014] of **Dr. George Church, a renowned geneticist** who holds professorships at Harvard, Harvard Medical School and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology[:]

. . . On April 22, **Epstein met with Church** at the Harvard Medical School's Genetics Department building . . . The two had a **phone call** the next day. He had **lunch** with Church on June 21, according to the calendar. . . . On Sept. 12, Epstein and Church had another **phone call**, which was followed by a **teleconference call** Oct. 21 between Epstein and Church . . . On Nov. 30, the calendar lists a **dinner** with multiple attendees: "**Dinner** w/ Jeff Epstein . . .

From Church's web page (my emphases):

Our lab works on AAV therapeutic vectors, including **evasion of innate immunity**, capsid design via machine learning with large synthetic libraries for multiplex testing of tissue tropism and **evasion of cell/humoral immunity**. We study variation in human populations to various viruses including rare neutralizing antibodies for HIV. We are interested in **near-extinction-scale Elephant and Swine Viruses** (EEHV and ASFV). We harness viral and anti-viral mechanisms (e.g. recombinases, CRISPR, deaminases) to develop new **editing** technologies.

JE's meetings with Church might've come after JE et al. reviewed the "step-by-step manual" referenced in the following book excerpt.

From 2017 book [*Warnings: How to Find Cassandras and Stop Catastrophes*](#), co-authored by a former U.S. National Coordinator for Security and Counter-Terrorism (my emphases):

In 2011, Ron Fouchier, from the Erasmus Medical Center in downtown Rotterdam, crafted a series of experiments to mutate highly lethal H5N1 into a form contagious by air. Just five single mutations allowed H5N1 to bind with cells in the human respiratory tract (thereby making it contagious by air, sneezes, and dirty doorknobs, etc.). Using ferrets as incubators, and their noses as makeshift Petri dishes, Fouchier rapidly moved infected sputum from ferret to ferret. **In a period of weeks, he created a bug as transmissible as the Spanish flu but potentially up to twenty times more lethal.**

. . . Fouchier was roundly criticized for launching such a dangerous study in a working hospital, in a crowded city, with arguably less than perfect protections. **He did it without complicated tools**, available in nearly any laboratory and to consumers. And **he decided to publish his results to give the world a step-by-step manual**, steps that could be taken in nearly any lab to make his superbug.

So JE's meetings with Church might've coincided with Ps' efforts to create a vaccine.

Re: JE's trafficking* of underage girls (UGs) might've HELPED Ps weaponize LS

From 2021 book [*This Is How They Tell Me the World Ends: The Cyberweapons Arms Race*](#), by a cybersecurity reporter for *The New York Times* (my emphases):

“[H]ackers seized on the coronavirus to take aim at our hospitals, our **vaccine labs**, and the federal agencies leading the Covid-19 response.”

“The **pandemic** is global, but the response has been anything but. Allies and adversaries alike are resorting to cyberespionage to glean whatever they can about each country's containment, **treatments**, and response.”

“[H]ackers were . . . **pilfering intellectual property** from every major company in the **Fortune 500**, American **research laboratories** . . .”

[Many top technologists are high-functioning autistics](#) (e.g., have Asperger's Syndrome).

~30% of male Aspies have “pedophilic sexual fantasies of female children” (source: 2017 [article](#) on the website of the U.S. government's National Center for Biotechnology Information).

So JE's trafficking might've enabled Ps to coerce TOP hackers.

* From a 2019 [article](#) on TheDailyBeast.com:

Police say Epstein was sexually abusing girls as young as 13, many of them from poor families and broken homes. And, according to [lawsuits filed by victims](#), [Epstein loaned](#) them out to his famous friends.

Re: JE-coerced-TOP-hackers is consistent with DB functioning as a next-gen variant of BCCI

See below; KWs: BCCI trafficked UGs en route to blackmailing.

Another indicator re: said alliance weaponizing LS

From July 2021 book [Perversion of Justice: The Jeffrey Epstein Story](#) (my emphases):

In December 2011, Jeffrey Epstein brought together some of the most **brilliant scientific minds** in the nation on his remote island . . .

It was, essentially, a Global Doomsday conference.

The attendees were **instructed to identify some of the greatest threats** to the earth, contemplating such phenomena as **bioterrorism** . . .

Re: psychopathy is ~70% heritable

From 2011 book *[The Science of Evil](#)*, by a University of Cambridge professor of developmental psychopathology:

If a trait or behavior is even partly genetic, we should see its signature showing up in twins.

. . . Regarding twin studies of Type P [i.e., psychopaths], none of these show 100 percent heritability, but the genetic component is nevertheless substantial (the largest estimate being about 70 percent).

Re: many/most/all genetic identifiers of said ~70% will be identified soon

From 2013 book *[The Anatomy of Violence: The Biological Roots of Crime](#)*, by said University of Pennsylvania professor of Criminology (and Psychiatry and Psychology):

“Behavioral genetics is a shadowy black box because, while it tells us what proportion of a given behavior is genetically influenced, it does not identify the specific genes lurking in there that predispose one to violence. Molecular genetics is poised to pry open that black box . . .”

“Twenty years ago, molecular genetics was a fledgling field of research. Now it is a major enterprise providing us with a detailed look at the structure and function of genes.”

“The essence of the molecular genetic research we have been touching on above—identifying specific genes that predispose individuals to crime—is that genes code for neurotransmitter functioning. Neurotransmitters are brain chemicals essential to brain functioning. There are more than a hundred of them and they help to transmit signals from one brain cell to another to communicate information. Change the level of these neurotransmitters, and you change cognition, emotion, and behavior.

. . . It’s 2034 . . . [A]ll males in society aged eighteen and over have to register at their local hospital for a quick brain scan and DNA testing. One

simple finger prick for one drop of blood that takes ten seconds. Then a five-minute brain scan for the “Fundamental Five Functions”: First, a structural scan provides the brain’s anatomy. Second, a functional scan shows resting brain activity. Third, enhanced diffusion-tensor imaging is taken to assess the integrity of the white-fiber system in the brain, assessing intricate brain connectivity. Fourth is a reading of the brain’s neurochemistry that has been developed from magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Fifth and finally, the cellular functional scan assesses expression of 23,000 different genes at the cellular level. The computerization of all medical, school, psychological, census, and neighborhood data makes it easy to combine these traditional risk variables alongside the vast amount of DNA and brain data to form an all-encompassing biosocial data set.

. . . Fourth-generation machine-learning techniques looked for complex patterns of linear and nonlinear relationships . . .”

Re: “indefinite detention” of Ps could/should ensue

From *The Anatomy of Violence* (my emphases):

It’s 2034 . . . The economic cost of crime is now astronomical. Back in 2010, the cost of homicide in the United States was estimated at over \$300 billion—more than the combined budgets of the Departments of Education, Justice, Housing and Urban Development, Health and Human Services, Labor, and Homeland Security. Way back in 1999, it was estimated to consume 11.9 percent of GDP, but in 2034 it is gobbling up 21.8 percent.

. . . [This] leads the government to launch the LOMBROSO program—Legal Offensive on Murder: Brain Research Operation for the Screening of Offenders.

. . . Under LOMBROSO, those who test positive—the **LPs**—**are held in indefinite detention** . . . It sounds quite cushy, but remember that the **LPs have not actually committed a crime**. Perhaps the main drawback is who they live with, housed as they are in facilities full of other **LPs—time**

bombs waiting to explode.

Re: it's (very) likely that a growing number of Ps are aware that PsIMP

From a 2016 [article](#) on PsychologyToday.com:

A [[meta-analytic](#)] review of [48] studies found that the correlation between psychopathy and intelligence is nearly zero [i.e., [~2.3% of Ps have an IQ ≥ 130; ~16% > 115](#)].

From the 2012 [article](#) in *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin* titled “The Corporate Psychopath”:

Today’s corporate psychopath may be highly educated—several with Ph.D., M.D., and J.D. degrees have been studied . . .

En route to raising awareness, Ps can use the pretense of screening job applicants to test for psychopathy (i.e., to identify other Ps).

Re: it's (very) likely that a growing number of Ps are resisting

More below.

Re: it's (very) likely that Ps' war chest is large and enlarging rapidly

More below.

Re: hypersexuality

From the 2015 [article](#) on Broadly.com titled “When Women Get Addicted to Sexting”:

Sex addiction (officially known as hypersexuality) [missed out](#) on being recognized as a brain-changing clinical disorder like drug addiction in 2013, but a 2014 Cambridge University [study](#) suggested it does mirror the latter. It

found that when sex addicts were shown pornography, the regions of their brains which process anticipation were more active than those of people without compulsive sexual disorders. The same regions kick into action when drug addicts are shown drug stimuli.

Re: psychopathy correlates strongly with hypersexuality

From a 2012 [article](#) on HuffingtonPost.co.uk (my emphases):

“In one of the largest studies of its kind ever published, U.S. psychologists have found a **particular aspect of personality in men and women predicts** what the researchers refer to as ‘**hypersexuality.**’

. . . This character trait is—**psychopathy.**”

“Psychologists are beginning to concur that it’s this unique element of character which most powerfully predicts . . . a gamut of risky sexual behaviors.”

“The ‘hypersexual’ have more sexual partners than the rest of the population, fantasize more . . . and tend to favor more sex without love.”

From *The Anatomy of Violence*:

The striatum is a key brain region that is associated with reward-seeking and impulsive behavior. Studies have shown that it is involved in stimulation-seeking behavior, persistently repeating actions that are related to rewards . . . We found that our psychopathic individuals [i.e., research subjects] showed a 10 percent increase in the volume of the striatum compared with controls.

. . . [T]he difference between us and psychopaths is that we can say no when tempted by the goodies, whereas psychopaths just want their stuff. And they want it here, and they want it now. For them, reward is a drug that they cannot turn their backs on, and this pushes them along a path of depravity

and vice.

Re: JE was hypersexual

From a 2019 [article](#) in *The New York Post*:

Jeffrey Epstein had an insatiable sexual appetite that included threesomes with “strap-on” dildos and a required three orgasms a day, according to court documents unsealed Friday.

Re: JE was banked by DB

Title of a 2019 [article](#) in *Vanity Fair*:

Of Course Jeff Epstein Moved His Dirty Money Through Deutsche Bank

Title of a December 2019 [article](#) on TruePundit.com:

Jeffrey Epstein’s Private Banker at Deutsche & Citi Found Swinging From a Rope; Executive “Suicide” Before FBI Questioned Him

Re: JCo

From a 2020 [article](#) in *The New York Times*:

In the years after Jeffrey Epstein registered as a sex offender [i.e., after 2008], he . . . started a business to develop algorithms and mine DNA and financial databases.

. . . Southern Trust [i.e., said business] generated about \$300 million in profit in six years . . . The source of Southern Trust’s revenue is not clear; the bare-bones corporate filings made by the company in the Virgin Islands do not list any clients.

Indicator that JE extorted

From the 2019 [article](#) in *The New York Times* titled “The Day Jeffrey Epstein Told Me He Had Dirt on Powerful People”:

The overriding impression I took away from our roughly 90-minute conversation was that Mr. Epstein knew an astonishing number of rich, famous and powerful people, and had photos to prove it. He also claimed to know a great deal about these people, some of it potentially damaging or embarrassing, including details about their supposed sexual proclivities and recreational drug use.

Indicators that JCo was banked by DB

JE owned a bank (JB) . . .

From said 2020 [article](#) in *The New York Times*:

In the years after Jeffrey Epstein registered as a sex offender, he . . . set up a bank.

. . . JB might’ve banked JCo . . .

From said 2020 [article](#) in *The New York Times*:

[JB] was created under a territorial law [in the Virgin Islands] that lacked many of the oversight requirements banks are usually subject to . . .

. . . These specialized banks have drawn scrutiny because of their potential for abuse, including money laundering.

. . . JB might’ve been banked by DB . . .

From said 2020 [article](#) in *The New York Times*:

The Federal Reserve Bank of New York describes international bank entities in the Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico [e.g., EB] as [“high-risk” institutions](#)

[my emphasis]. Last year, it temporarily suspended applications for them to obtain financial services from the Fed until it can issue stricter rules for them.

From *Dark Towers*:

Deutsche had been moving money—as much as \$80 billion—for thousands of “**high-risk entities**” [my emphasis] in various countries.

Indicators that DB employs many Ps

See above re: an investment bank that used psychological testing to recruit Ps.

From *Dark Towers* (my emphases):

“[DB] helped funnel **money into** countries that were under economic sanctions for pursuing nuclear weapons or participating in **genocides**.”

“The **hundreds of millions of dollars** that Deutsche [had] wired to Iranian banks [by 2006] provided vital funding for the sanctioned country to pay for its **terrorism**. Soon Iraq was being ripped apart by violence. Roadside bombs detonated all over the country, **targeting** the country’s fragile government and the **U.S. military forces** that were trying to keep the peace. Much of the violence was the work of a terrorist group, Jaysh al-Mahdi, which had been armed and trained by Hezbollah, which had been bankrolled by Iran’s Revolutionary Guard, which had been financed by Deutsche.

. . . The sanctions violations weren’t the work of an isolated crew of rogue Deutsche employees. **Managers knew. Their bosses knew.** American regulators would later find evidence that at least one member of the bank’s Vorstand—in other words, **one of Deutsche’s most senior executives—knew about and approved of the scheme.**”

“[Deutsche] would soon become enveloped in scandals related to money laundering, tax evasion, manipulating interest rates, manipulating the prices

of precious metals, manipulating the currencies markets, bribing foreign officials, accounting fraud, violating international sanctions, ripping off customers, and ripping off the German, British, and United States governments. (The list went on.)”

Indicators that DB banks many Ps (e.g., indirectly, via banking many WEALTHY criminals)

Again: DB moved as much as \$80B for thousands of “high-risk entities” in various countries.

From a 2020 [article](#) in *The New Yorker* (my emphases):

“Between 2011 and 2015, ten billion dollars left Russia through **Deutsche Bank**’s mirror trades.

. . . The recently published FinCEN files . . . add some fascinating detail to the mirror-trades affair.

. . . The FinCEN files cover around **two trillion dollars’ worth of suspicious transactions** reported at major banks between 1999 and 2017. Of that two trillion, **more than half—around \$1.3 trillion—passed through Deutsche Bank.**”

“As we now know, mirror trades were not just suggestive of financial crime. **Major criminal organizations, terrorist groups, and drug cartels** used them to launder and transfer money, and benefited more generally from this geyser of dirty money.”

“According to the documents . . . nearly fifty million dollars were also funneled through mirror trades to the Khanani network, whose clients include associates of **Hezbollah** and the **Taliban.**”

“The FinCEN documents are based on Suspicious Activity Reports—essentially whistle-blowing reports made by banks themselves—filed to the

U.S. government. They were leaked to BuzzFeed News, then shared with the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, which shared them with news outlets around the world.”

Re: worldwide, kleptocracy is BOOMING

From the 2020 [article](#) on DailyBeast.com titled “The Kleptocrats’ Money-Laundering Middleman Who Did Deals With Trump”:

“[2020 book] [Kleptopia: How Dirty Money is Conquering the World](#)[, by a *Financial Times* reporter,] is the terrifying true story of how kleptocrats—those who rule through corruption—are uniting, clandestinely fusing their business interests, and forming alliances.”

“From Budapest to Beijing, Harare to Riyadh, they have seized power and are busily guzzling their nations’ wealth.”

From a June 2021 [article](#) on the website of *Foreign Policy* magazine:

Biden Jump-Starts Fight Against Kleptocracy

New memorandum puts anti-corruption efforts at the heart of national security strategy.

From *Kleptopia*:

They formed a new five families, these international kleptocrats: the Nats, the Brits, the Sprooks, the Petros and the Party.

. . . The Party, the Nats, the Brits, the Petros and the Sprooks are like the clans of the Cosa Nostra that came before them. On the surface they are rivals. But ultimately they are engaged in a common endeavour . . .

The Nats, they declare themselves the saviours of besieged nations while overseeing the plunder of those nations. Drain the swamp, they cry, as they luxuriate in it. They have taken hold in central Europe, eastern Europe and

Russia, with imitators on every continent: Bolsonaro in Brazil, Duterte in the Philippines, Erdoğan in Turkey, Netanyahu in Israel, Maduro in Venezuela, Trump in Washington.

. . . The Brits, they continue their long fade from imperial power to global network of financial secrecy connected to the City of London and servicing new, private empires. Their new populist rulers take money and inspiration from the Ur of Kleptopia, post-Soviet Moscow.

. . . The Sprooks—spooks and crooks in indistinguishable union—have a Moscow base too. Semyon Mogilevich, the Brainy Don, is said to be a guest of Putin’s security services on the city’s periphery, permitted to manage his criminal endeavours but, like Ramzan Kadyrov down in Chechnya, subordinate to the ex-KGB ruler. They are true globalists, however, the Sprooks. In Washington, in London, in Paris, the spirit of national security has given way to the profit principle. Sometimes intelligence officers don’t even wait for their early retirement before taking on private clients. The Petros, they have a mechanism for setting the price they charge for the oil they steal from the countries they have invaded from within: they call it Opec. The Party, they are insatiable. Money, land, technology, its leaders in Beijing want it all; no resistance will be tolerated, certainly not from Hong Kongers quaintly attached to antiquated notions of freedom.

Re: Ks need to hire contract killers (CKs) often

From *Kleptopia* (my emphases):

[F]or the kleptocrat, ruling by licensing theft rather than seeking consent, money can achieve most of what needs to be done. For everything else, there is **violence**.

. . . **[V]iolence** was still required. It was to dirty money what the law was to clean—a guarantee that agreements would be honoured.

From said 2020 article on DailyBeast.com (my emphases):

There is nothing they [i.e., Ks] will not do to maintain control. Those who dare to cross them are **massacred** on the Kazakh steppe and **brutalized** during Zimbabwe's sham elections; those who threaten to spill their secrets have their **mouths permanently closed**.

From *Kleptopia*:

Geremeyev had rented a flat in Moscow for the hit squad, then flew out the day after their work was done, alongside the shooter. Putin's officials had permitted the investigators to indict the hit squad and the missing driver, but refused to allow any charges against Geremeyev. That would have brought the matter too close to the sacred networks of kleptocratic power that stretched between Moscow, Grozny and beyond.

Re: virtually all CKs are Ps

From 2019 book [*The New Evil: Understanding the Emergence of Modern Violent Crime*](#):

As we move along the continuum to Category 9 [of 22 categories of violent crime], we traverse an important threshold. The remainder of the scale encompasses persons who commit "evil" acts partly or wholly as the result of varying degrees of psychopathy . . .

TNE co-author Michael H. Stone, MD, is a professor of clinical psychiatry at the Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons.

TNE co-author Gary Brucato, PhD, is: 1) a clinical psychologist and researcher in the areas of violence, psychosis, and other serious psychopathology, 2) the assistant director of the Center of Prevention and Evaluation at the New York State Psychiatric Institute/Columbia University Medical Center.

Precedent for said alliance between Ks and Ps

Murder Incorporated.

From [Wikipedia](#):

Murder, Inc. . . . was an [organized crime](#) group, active from 1929 to 1941, that acted as the enforcement arm of the [Italian-American Mafia](#), the [Jewish Mob](#), and other closely connected organized crime groups in [New York City](#) and elsewhere.

Indicators that DB is a next-gen variant of BCCI

Summary (details follow)

BCCI is an **IDEAL** template/playbook for Ps' resistance, not least because BCCI leveraged huge fraud (HF) to become, again: 1) politically influential/coercive worldwide, 2) **DANGEROUS** more generally.

There are indicators that:

- JE knew about BCCI decades ago
- JE's bank was part of DB

JE fit the profile of top BCCI execs (e.g., JE committed many of the crimes committed by said execs).

BCCI was protected by the U.S. government (USG) for many years.

There are indicators that:

- JE was protected by USG for many years
- DB was protected by USG in recent years

Key indicator that USG protected JE: Americans would **BENEFIT** from USG blackmailing TOP hackers who live in undemocratic countries.

Re: BCCI

From said 1992 U.S. Senate report on BCCI:

[BCCI founder] Abedi developed in BCCI an ideal mechanism for facilitating illicit activity by others . . .

BCCI was shut down in 1991 by regulators/attorneys-general of several nations (e.g., nations complicit in BCCI's crimes for many years).

Re: BCCI leveraged HF

From said 1992 report:

[BCCI's criminality included:] fraud by BCCI and BCCI customers involving billions of dollars; money laundering in Europe, Africa, Asia, and the Americas . . .

Re: BCCI became politically influential worldwide

From 1993 book [*The Outlaw Bank: A Wild Ride into the Secret Heart of BCCI*](#), co-authored by two journalists who covered BCCI for *Time* magazine (my emphases):

Why [BCCI founder] Agha Hasan Abedi and his rogue bank went unprosecuted for so long is the enduring mystery at the heart of the BCCI affair.

The simple answer is that his watch-me-break-all-the-rules act relied upon the near-absolute **complicity of many sovereign governments**. High government officials and **financial regulators around the world knew what the bank was doing**, and yet they created the deep silence that cloaked BCCI's global operations.

From said 1992 report:

BCCI systematically bribed world leaders and . . . prominent political figures in most of the 73 countries in which BCCI operated.

Re: BCCI became coercive/DANGEROUS

From *The Outlaw Bank* (my emphases):

From interviews with sources close to BCCI, *Time* has pieced together a portrait of a clandestine division of the bank called the Black Network, which functions as a global intelligence operation and a **mafia-like enforcement squad** . . . [T]he **1,500-employee Black Network** has used sophisticated spy equipment and techniques, along with bribery, **extortion**, **kidnapping** and even, by some accounts, **murder**.

Indicators that JE knew about BCCI decades ago

From *The Outlaw Bank*:

BCCI helps Adnan Khashoggi finance the sale of arms to Iran as a part of the Reagan administration's Iran-Contra effort.

From 2020 book [*The Spider: Inside the Criminal Web of Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell*](#):

[During the mid-1980s] Leese also introduced Epstein to one of his associates: Adnan Khashoggi. Khashoggi, a Saudi arms dealer, was a man of marvel and mystery. He was born in Mecca, and his father was said to have been the personal physician of Saudi king Abdullah bin Abdulaziz Al Saud. Khashoggi was one of the world's wealthiest arms brokers. He moved around the world surrounded by heavily armed bodyguards—men trained in the art of killing at Fort Bragg in the United States and at Hereford in the United Kingdom. The Saudi soon became another trusted client of Epstein's.

Indicators that JE's bank was a part of DB

From said 1992 report:

“BCCI was from its earliest days made up of multiplying layers of entities,

related to one another through an impenetrable series of holding companies, affiliates, subsidiaries, **banks-within-banks** [my emphasis] . . .”

“By fracturing corporate structure, record keeping, regulatory review, and audits . . . [BCCI founder] Abedi developed in BCCI an ideal mechanism for facilitating illicit activity by others . . .”

From *Dark Towers*:

“A hodgepodge of hundreds of different systems polluted the bank’s ecosystem. One implication was that there was no way for the bank to measure or understand what it was actually doing.”

“Deutsche more than almost any other multinational financial institution deftly managed to exploit rivalries among regulators to shield itself from tougher rules or greater outside scrutiny.”

Re: JE fit the profile of top BCCI execs (part 1 of 2)

From *The Outlaw Bank* (my emphases):

[G]irls as young as twelve (and later, **even younger**) were dressed in silk harem pants and procured by BCCI officers for their clients. In the middle 1970s the man in charge of inspecting the girls was Zafar Iqbal, who would later become the chief executive officer of BCCI.

. . . [T]he wife of a Pakistani doctor, was in charge of rounding up the girls and bringing them to Karachi to be outfitted in proper clothes before being presented to the princely clients. Often she would shepherd more than fifty girls at a time through a department store, shopping for jewelry and dresses. This practice was so successful—far more effective than giving away microwave ovens or toasters—that the bank would spend as much as \$100,000 on such an evening’s entertainment. According to the Senate testimony of Nazir Chinoy, Madame Rahim would also “interview girls, women, and take them . . . to Abu Dhabi for a dancing show or arrange some

singing shows.” Throughout the Middle East, “dancing girls” and “singing girls” are euphemisms for prostitutes; Chinoy chose to be tactful before the TV cameras.

. . . According to [BCCI employee] Masri, the protocol officers . . . were also responsible . . . for luring businessmen, military officers, and politicians into Abedi’s web of intrigue through a combination of favors, money, **blackmail**, and intimidation.

Re: BCCI was protected by USG for many years

From *The Outlaw Bank* (my emphases):

Perhaps the most disturbing aspect of the BCCI affair in the **United States** was the failure of the U.S. government and federal law enforcement to move against the outlaw bank. Instead of swift retribution, what took place over more than a **decade** was a **cover-up** of major, alarming proportions, often orchestrated from the very **highest levels of government**.

Indicator that JE was protected by USG for many years

From a 2019 [article](#) on TheDailyBeast.com:

“I was told Epstein ‘belonged to intelligence’ and to leave it alone,” [said Alex Acosta, re: the non-prosecution plea deal that Acosta—then the U.S. Attorney in Miami, Florida—provided to Epstein in 2007. Epstein had been accused of unlawful sex with minors and prostitution, but ended up pleading guilty to two counts of soliciting prostitution from a minor.]

Re: JE fit the profile of top BCCI execs (part 2 of 2)

From *The Outlaw Bank*:

The strange and still murky ties between BCCI and the intelligence agencies of several countries are so pervasive that even the White House has become

entangled. As *Time* reported . . . the National Security Council has used BCCI to funnel money for the Iran-Contra deals, and the CIA maintained accounts in BCCI for covert operations. Moreover, investigators have told *Time* that the Defense Intelligence Agency has maintained a slush-fund account with BCCI, apparently to pay for clandestine activities.

Indicator that DB was protected by USG in recent years

From *Dark Towers*:

To any government official paying attention [in 2017], this was a powerful signal: Investigate Deutsche and risk the president's wrath.

Re: Americans would **BENEFIT** from USG blackmailing top hackers who live in undemocratic countries

From *This Is How They Tell Me the World Ends*:

“One day in 2008, almost simultaneously, five of the NSA’s most elite hackers turned in their security badges and pulled out of the Fort’s parking lot for the last time. Inside the agency, these men had been revered as ‘the Maryland Five,’ and time and time again, they had proved indispensable. They were each members of a premier TAO access team that hacked into the systems nobody else could.”

“The United States doesn’t forcibly conscript talented hackers at Google and MIT to moonlight as nation-state attackers like the Russians, Iranians, North Koreans, and Chinese do.”

“My source had gotten his hands on an urgent DHS-FBI alert [issued in 2017]. It was meant solely for the utilities, the water suppliers, the nuclear plants. The bureaucrats were trying to bury it on a holiday weekend. And as soon as I got eyes on it, I could see why: the Russians were inside our nuclear plants.”

“‘Cyber is a tailor-made instrument of power for them,’ former NSA deputy director Chris Inglis said after North Korea’s role in the WannaCry attacks became clear. ‘There’s a low cost of entry, it’s largely asymmetrical, there’s some degree of anonymity and stealth in its use. It can hold large swaths of nation-state infrastructure and private-sector infrastructure at risk. It’s a source of income.’ In fact, Inglis said, ‘You could argue that they have one of the most successful cyber programs on the planet, not because it’s technically sophisticated, but because it has achieved all their aims at very low cost.’”

“I came to survey the rubble at ground zero for the most devastating cyberattack the world had ever seen. The world was still reeling from the fallout of a Russian cyberattack on Ukraine that less than two years earlier had shut down government agencies, railways, ATMs, gas stations, the postal service, even the radiation monitors at the old Chernobyl nuclear site, before the code seeped out of Ukraine and haphazardly zigzagged its way around the globe. Having escaped, it paralyzed factories in the far reaches of Tasmania, destroyed vaccines at one of the world’s largest pharmaceutical companies, infiltrated computers at FedEx, and brought the world’s biggest shipping conglomerate to a halt, all in a matter of minutes.

By the time I visited Kyiv in 2019, the tally of damages from that single Russian attack exceeded \$10 billion, and estimates were still climbing. Shipping and railway systems had still not regained full capacity. All over Ukraine, people were still trying to find packages that had been lost when the shipment tracking systems went down. They were still owed pension checks that had been held up in the attack. The records of who was owed what had been obliterated.”

From a 2021 [article](#) on CBSnews.com:

President Biden inherited a lot of intractable problems, but perhaps none is as disruptive as the cyber war between the United States and Russia simmering largely under the radar. Last March, with the coronavirus spreading uncontrollably across the United States, Russian cyber soldiers

released their own contagion by sabotaging a tiny piece of computer code buried in a popular piece of software called “SolarWinds.” The hidden virus spread to 18,000 government and private computer networks by way of one of those software updates we all take for granted. The attack was unprecedented in audacity and scope. Russian spies went rummaging through the digital files of the U.S. departments of Justice, State, Treasury, Energy, and Commerce and for nine months had unfettered access to top-level communications, court documents, even nuclear secrets. And by all accounts, it’s still going on.

From *This Is How They Tell Me the World Ends*:

“In 2018, terrorist attacks cost the global economy \$33 billion, a decrease of thirty-eight percent from the previous year. That same year, a study by RAND Corporation from more than 550 sources—the most comprehensive data analysis of its kind—concluded global losses from cyberattacks were likely on the order of hundreds of billions of dollars. And that was the conservative estimate. Individual data sets predicted annual cyber losses of more than two trillion dollars.”

“Gosler began with two experiments. That year, 1985, he convinced his bosses at Sandia to sponsor a study. They called it Chaperon, and its premise was simple: Could anyone design a truly secure computer application? And could someone subvert that application with a malicious implant that could not be detected, even through a detailed forensic investigation? In other words, a zero-day [i.e., “a software or hardware flaw for which there is no existing patch. They got their name because, as with Patient Zero in an epidemic, when a zero-day flaw is discovered, software and hardware companies have had zero days to come up with a defense”].

Sandia divided its top technical brass into bad guys and good guys: the subverters and the evaluators. The former would plant vulnerabilities in a computer application. The latter would have to find them.

Gosler still spent most of his evenings away from work breaking hardware

and software for the fun of it. But professionally, he had only ever played the role of evaluator. Now, he relished the chance to play subverter. He designed two implants and was sure the Evaluators would discover his first subversion.

‘I was immersed in a fantasy world back then,’ Gosler told me. When he wasn’t breaking software, he was playing the 1980s computer game Zork, popular with some of the techies he worked with.

For his first trick, he inserted a few familiar lines from the Zork game into the security application’s code. The Zork text effectively fooled Sandia’s application into revealing secret variables that could be used by an attacker to take over the application—and any data the application secured. Gosler was sure his colleagues would pick up on it quickly.

For his second subversion, Gosler inserted a vulnerability that he and others would later only describe as a ‘groundbreaking technical achievement.’

The evaluators never did find Gosler’s two implants. Even Gosler’s Zork subversion proved maddeningly difficult to track down. Sandia’s evaluators still describe the study as one of the most frustrating experiments of their career. They spent months looking for his implants before they finally threw up their hands and demanded that he tell them what he had done.

It took Gosler three eight-hour briefings, pacing in front of a whiteboard covered in notation, which he attacked in bursts, to painstakingly explain his implant. His peers nodded along, but clearly they were baffled.

Initially Gosler thought the second implant could be useful as a Sandia training exercise, but seeing employees’ frustration, his bosses rejected the idea outright. They worried that the exercise would only compel new recruits to quit.

Instead, his bosses decided to start over and put together a new study: Chapter 2. This time, they chose someone other than Gosler to lead the

subversion. Some one hundred Sandia engineers spent weeks and months hunting for the implant. While others came close, only one—Gosler—discovered the subversion and presented it in a detailed hours-long briefing.

. . . Rick Proto and Robert Morris Sr., the respective chiefs of research and science at the NSA's National Computer Security Center, thought Gosler could teach their analysts a thing or two.

At their first meeting [in 1987], Gosler asked Morris Sr. the question that had been troubling him for some time now. 'How complex can software be for you to have total knowledge of what it could do?'

. . . Morris Sr. told Gosler that, off the top of his head, he would have '100 percent confidence' in an application that contained 10,000 lines of code or less, and zero confidence in an application that contained more than 100,000 lines of code. Gosler took that as his cue to share with Morris Sr. the more complicated of the subversion tactics he had developed for Sandia's Chaperon 1 study. Turns out it was an application with fewer than 3,000 lines of code.

Morris Sr. invited an elite NSA squad of PhDs, cryptographers, and electrical engineers to take a look. Not one discovered Gosler's implant, nor could any replicate the subversion once Gosler pointed them to it."

From 2019 book [*Coders: The Making of a New Tribe and the Remaking of the World*](#):

[T]he "10X" coder . . . describes a programmer who is provably better, multiple times so, than the average code monkey.

. . . Bill Gates once said, ". . . a great writer of software code is worth ten thousand times the price of an average software writer."

. . . When I ask venture capitalists and founders whether 10Xers really exist, many immediately say: Oh yes. Hell yes.

“I think it’s probably 1000X,” . . . Marc Andreessen . . . co-founder of Netscape . . . tells me.

From a 2014 [article](#):

“One top-notch engineer is worth 300 times or more than the average,” explains Alan Eustace, a Google vice president of engineering.

Re: it’s (very) likely that a growing number of Ps are resisting

From a U.S.-Military-Academy-at-West-Point professor’s 2018 [book](#):

[The] allure of preventive war is rooted in fear . . . [F]ear is most acute when power is shifting among [groups (e.g., shifting against Ps via advances in molecular genetics)] . . . [Hence the] long parade of preventive conflicts we can observe over thousands of years of history.

From the 2018 [article](#) titled “Los Extraditables, the Pablo Escobar-Led Gang That Launched a Bloody Campaign [during the 1980s] Against U.S. Extradition”:

The terrorist group . . . claimed “we prefer a grave in Colombia to a prison in the United States . . .”

[Escobar](#) was a drug-trafficker whose net worth reached \$58 billion (in 2018 dollars). The other leaders of Los Extraditables were wealthy drug-traffickers.

From 2001 book [*Killing Pablo: The Hunt for the World’s Greatest Outlaw*](#) (my emphases):

“[Escobar] intended, he said, to use the public’s weariness with [Extraditables-funded] violence to his benefit. He planned to turn up the violence until the public cried out for a solution, a deal.

. . . A communiqué from the Extraditables not long after hammered home the point:

We are declaring **total and absolute war** on the government, on the individual and political oligarchy, on the journalists who have attacked and insulted us, on the judges that have sold themselves to the government, on the extraditing magistrates . . . on all those who have persecuted and attacked us. We will not respect the families of those who have not respected our families. We will burn and destroy the industries, properties and mansions of the oligarchy.”

“At his [Pablo’s] peak, he would threaten to usurp the Colombian State.”

“Ever since Pablo’s men had blown that Avianca flight out of the sky . . .”

“[A] total of 457 police had been killed since Colonel Martinez had started his hunt. Young gunmen in that city were being paid 5 million pesos for killing a cop.”

Re: an October 2020 indicator that Ps are resisting, via the following excerpt from my 2021 write-up at comedyvstrump2024.substack.com/p/summary-details:

Re: it’s too late to be stealthy/covert about preventing/subduing Ps’ resistance

During October 2020 I abandoned my plan to have MPS [i.e., my planned startup] double as a front company (FC) for gathering ([anticipatory intelligence](#)) about people who are: 1) likely to be hypersexual (psychopathy [correlates strongly with hypersexuality](#)), 2) (becoming) wealthy (i.e., intel about people who are likeliest to (be) fund(ing)/lead(ing) Ps’ resistance). [**IMPORTANT**: My work on MPS-as-FC isn’t a key to PSing T2M.] Keywords re: “abandoned”: [my experiences since 2016](#) with government agencies in the U.S. (e.g., police departments, before* I learned that [PDs employ many Ps](#) [**]); seeming indicators that at least some Ps: 1) were aware of my FC work, 2) wanted to discourage me (e.g., in **October 2020** [my emphasis] a parole officer came to my residence and asked to see me; I don’t have a criminal record); the willingness of U.S. intelligence agencies (IAs) to [acknowledge](#)/manage risks has been disincentivized via: 1) said legalization of HF, 2) [revolving doors](#) between government and industry, 3) IAs are “effectively

beyond oversight or control,” unless whistleblowers risk career damage/loss, imprisonment, etc. (i.e., IAs are IDEAL partners for companies seeking windfall\$ via HF).

* Details below . . .

[** From a 2018 [article](#) on BusinessInsider.com:

[L]aw enforcement is a popular career choice for psychopaths.

From the June 2020 [article](#) on WPXI.com [1] titled “Former FBI assistant director: Derek Chauvin showed ‘sociopathic behavior’ during George Floyd’s death”:

[Former FBI-er] Fuentes said research shows about seven percent of people exhibit some sociopathic behavior, but in applicants for law enforcement that number jumps up to **more than 40%** [my emphasis].

*** WPXI is the NBC-TV affiliate in Pittsburgh, PA.]

— Re: examples of ‘HF-windfall > managing-risk’ that feature U.S. government agencies —

An ALL-TIME FUGLY example—featuring an IA—is detailed in:

- 2018 book [*The Watchdogs Didn’t Bark: How the NSA Failed to Protect America from the 9/11 Attacks*](#)
- 2019 book [*Crisis of Conscience: Whistleblowing in an Age of Fraud*](#), published by [Penguin Random House](#)

Crisis of Conscience details other FUGLY examples. Excerpts from the books are below.

— Re: “IAs are IDEAL partners” —

From the 2018 [article](#) in *U.S. News and World Report* titled “The 10 Richest Counties in the U.S.”:

[H]alf of the top 10 fell in northern Virginia, just outside the nation’s capital
...

— Re: “before I learned” —

From a 2017 write-up of mine:

“[M]ore than 50% of all police officers killed in the line of duty are killed by psychopaths,” according to a study cited in a 2011 [article](#).

Psychopaths (Ps) comprise ~1% of humans (i.e., ~77M of [7.7B](#)).

So a P is at least ~50x more likely than a non-P to kill a police officer, all things being otherwise equal.

So I thought the police would be (among) the biggest supporters of my efforts to identify people who are likely to be Ps. This thinking of mine persisted into 2019
...

From a 2019 write-up of mine:

There’s been “a rise in calls, particularly in law enforcement, for universal genetic databases, where everyone’s biometric data is put in one place,” according to a 2019 [presentation](#) by a professor of “strategic foresight” who’s an [adjunct](#) at the New York University Stern School of Business.

Said calls by law enforcement can be expected to continue/increase, not least because:

“[M]ore than 50% of all police officers killed . . .

— End of excerpt from comedyvstrump2024.substack.com/p/summary-details —

From an August 2020 [article](#) in *The New York Times*:

“[A]nother problem making the German authorities increasingly anxious: infiltration of the very institutions, like the police, that are supposed to be doing the investigating [of Neo-Nazis].

In July the police chief of the western state of Hesse resigned after police computers had been repeatedly accessed for confidential information that was then used by neo-Nazis in death threats. It was in Hesse that a well-known neo-Nazi assassinated a [regional politician](#) last summer . . .”

“Neo-Nazi groups and other extremists call it Day X—a mythical moment when Germany’s social order collapses . . . Today Day X preppers are drawing serious people with serious skills and ambition.

Increasingly, the German authorities consider the scenario a pretext for domestic terrorism by far-right plotters or even for a takeover of the government.”

From the chapter of 2021 book [The Afghanistan Papers: A Secret History of the War](#) titled “The Enemy Within”:

“The number of publicly reported attacks by uniformed Afghans against their foreign allies rose from two in 2008 to forty-five in 2012, causing the deaths of at least 116 U.S. and NATO personnel during that period.

. . . In 2011, a U.S. Army behavioral scientist based in Kabul conducted an internal study titled ‘A Crisis of Trust and Cultural Incompatibility’ that concluded insider attacks ‘are no longer isolated; they reflect a growing systemic threat.’”

“[U.S. Marine Gen. John] Allen[, commander of U.S. and NATO forces,] expressed fury at the Afghan security forces’ inability to stop the fratricidal killings. ‘I’m mad as hell about them,’ he told the CBS News program *60 Minutes*. ‘We’re willing to sacrifice a lot for this campaign, but we’re not willing to be murdered for it.’”

From 2000 book [*The Perfect War: The War We Couldn't Lose and How We Did*](#), by a sociologist who received his Ph.D. from Yale and was a professor at Yale:

By 1969 and 1970 [American] soldiers [fighting in Vietnam] had established a two-front war, one against the Vietcong and NVA and another against their commanders . . . War-managers could not fight a war with troops who killed their commanders; as the revolt spread, the United States had no choice but to withdraw its ground combat forces . . .

From *Warnings: How to Find Cassandras and Stop Catastrophes* (my emphases):

In many instances, however, it seemed that an expert or expert group, a Cassandra, had accurately predicted what would happen. They were often ignored . . .

. . . **Warnings that have this potential to steal resources from less threatening projects tend to encounter institutional reluctance to tackle the issue.** Audiences who react by rejecting an issue for these reasons tend to be the **kind of decision makers who help to create Cassandra Events.**

From 2011 book [*The Psychopath Test: A Journey Through the Madness Industry*](#):

[“]She said, ‘I’ve got a *bad* personality. I like to hurt people.’ I thought she was winding me up. I said, ‘Okay, fine.’ So we went through the [fMRI] tests [i.e., brain scans]. When she was looking at the photographs of the mutilated bodies, the sensors showed that she was getting a kick off of them. Her **sexual reward center—it’s a sexual thing—was fired up by blood and death** [my emphasis]. It’s subconscious. It happens in milliseconds. She found those things *pleasant*.”

From the 2020 [op-ed](#) on TheHill.com titled “The coronavirus: Blueprint for bioterrorism,” written by an assistant in the 1970s to a then vice-president of the U.S.:

It represents the perfect asymmetric warfare strategy . . .

From 1998 book [*Guns, Germs and Steel: The Fate of Human Societies*](#), for which the author [received a Pulitzer Prize](#):

When [Spanish conquistador] Pizarro and [Inca emperor] Atahualpa met [in 1532] at Cajamarca [in Peru], why did Pizarro capture Atahualpa and kill so many of his followers, instead of Atahualpa's vastly more numerous forces capturing and killing Pizarro? After all, Pizarro had only 62 soldiers mounted on horses, along with 106 foot soldiers, while Atahualpa commanded an army of about 80,000.

. . . Pizarro's military advantages lay in the Spaniards' steel swords and other weapons, steel armor, guns, and horses. To those weapons, Atahualpa's troops, without animals on which to ride into battle, could oppose only stone, bronze, or wooden clubs, maces, and hand axes, plus slingshots and quilted armor.

From 2008 book [*The Wages of Destruction: The Making and Breaking of the Nazi Economy*](#), by [Columbia University](#) historian [Adam Tooze](#):

Hitler had seen himself as locked in a global confrontation with world Jewry.

. . . For Hitler, a war of conquest was not one policy option amongst others. Either the German race struggled for Lebensraum [i.e., territory] or its racial enemies would condemn it to extinction.

From 2019 book [*Appeasement: Chamberlain, Hitler, Churchill, and the Road to War*](#):

The failure to perceive the true character of the Nazi regime and Adolf Hitler stands as the single greatest failure of British policy makers during this period, since it was from this that all subsequent failures—the failure to rearm sufficiently, the failure to build alliances (not least with the Soviet Union), the failure to project British power, and the failure to educate public opinion—stemmed.

From *Warnings: How to Find Cassandras and Stop Catastrophes* (my emphases):

No intelligence agency had ever heard of Aum Shinrikyo when it released the chemical weapon sarin into the Tokyo subway in 1995, killing thirteen and injuring fifty-four more. Their founder, Shoko Asahara, sported a large Cheshire cat grin and a furry beard reminiscent of Jerry Garcia's; he had previously worked in a yoga studio as a massage and acupuncture therapist. He also built the largest **nonstate biological and chemical weapons program** ever seen.

From 2019 book [*The Goodness Paradox: The Strange Relationship Between Virtue and Violence in Human Evolution*](#), by a Harvard professor of biological anthropology:

The decisive form of social control represented by the killing of aggressive males could clearly have had far-reaching significance in human evolution. With regard to the idea that Homo sapiens self-domesticated, the critical question is whether individuals with a particularly high propensity for reactive aggression tended to be killed. The characteristic fact of egalitarian relationships indicates that the execution of would-be despots was indeed systematic.

. . . In the millennia before groups found a way to control the bullies, reactive aggression would have dominated social life in the same way as it does in most social primates such as chimpanzees, gorillas, and baboons. In those species, alpha males achieve their position at the top of their group's dominance hierarchy by defeating each rival in turn in physical and often bloody fights . . . The alpha's bullying is strongly correlated with having high levels of testosterone, which appear to support his motivation to dominate others. To judge from the ubiquity of such behavior in the social primates, our ancestors once followed the same brute fashion.

Re: it's (very) likely that Ps' war chest is large and enlarging rapidly

Summary (details follow)

HFs in the U.S. (HFs-US) have been growing increasingly lucrative [since the 1990s](#) (i.e., lucrative even if penalties are incurred via lawsuits, government regulators, etc.).

HFs create lucrative opportunities for intelligent Ps (IPs), because IPs can:

- design/implement (parts of) HFs
- be relied upon to not become whistleblowers (i.e., to not suffer crises of conscience)

KWs re: HFs-US have been creating MANY lucrative opportunities for IPs: high [ROI](#), money-printing by central banks, higher ROI via monopoly(-profits)-via-HF.

Beyond HFs, Ps can “PROFIT” by leveraging ransomware to extort companies, governments, etc.

Re: HFs-US have been growing increasingly lucrative since the 1990s

From *Crisis of Conscience*:

“[H]ow hollow the edifice of American democracy has become, how insubstantial its checks and balances, after decades of self-interested chiseling, reaming, drilling and blasting by various experts and insiders . . .”

“[T]he sweeping redefinition of fraud as clever business that has occurred in our society . . .”

“[B]ig healthcare firms buy their way out of the frauds and crimes they’ve perpetrated . . .”

“Hanford’s culture of impunity remains intact, because the would-be regulators at the DOE and the EPA, but also at the state and local levels, are part of the game, and look silently away as the billions roll into . . . the pockets of corrupt contractor millionaires and their government accomplices.”

“Leaking waste [that’s radioactive and deadly] is Hanford’s ongoing, slow-motion catastrophe, but other cataclysms could happen in seconds. According to a number of third-party expert reports, several decrepit structures holding large caches of radioactivity are susceptible to nuclear accidents which would threaten people across the Pacific Northwest.”

“Wall Street’s knowledge of its own impunity, proven in the aftermath of 2008, has devastated ethics in the finance industry. It explains the banks’ business-as-usual attitude to fraud, and their cost-of-doing-business approach to lawsuits and settlements.”

“[W]histleblowers are essential in national defense, because the factors that facilitate fraud—secrecy, the sense of mission and mystique, the culture of impunity, and the flow of Other People’s Money—are more extreme.”

“[T]he power of whistleblowers is often illusory . . . We are in the midst of a battle over whistleblowing, part of a larger struggle . . . between the rights of individuals to know what their corporations and their government are doing, and the ever greater power of organizations to keep their secrets. How these conflicts are resolved will say much about the future strength of our democracy.”

[The author](#) of *Crisis of Conscience* is a journalist whose writing has appeared in *The New Yorker*, *The New York Times Magazine*, *The Atlantic* and *National Geographic*.

Re: high ROI via HF

Since the ‘90s, companies that excel at HF (HF-Cos) have been attracting investment from [institutional investors](#) that deploy the savings of many Americans (i.e., HF-Cos have been delivering higher [ROI](#) than non-HF-Cos, all things being otherwise equal).

From *Crisis of Conscience*:

[T]hough Risperdal [law]suits have cost the company nearly \$3 billion, it sold \$34 billion of the drug between 1993 and 2011 alone, sometimes at profit margins approaching 97 percent. Viewed like this, \$3 billion in fines seems a smart investment. That's evidently how the company felt. In April 2012, two months after the Texas trial, the board of Johnson & Johnson made Alex Gorsky, the mastermind of Risperdal marketing, the firm's new chief executive. **Wall Street cheered** [my emphasis]: the company's share price held firm throughout the trial and, aside from brief blips, has climbed steadily ever since. (Johnson & Johnson stock now sells for more than twice what it was worth during the trial.)

Re: money-printing by central bankers

From a June 2021 [article](#) on Reuters.com:

At \$8 trillion, the Fed's stash of assets has roughly doubled since it kicked off large-scale purchases in March 2020 when the COVID-19 pandemic erupted . . .

From a write-up of mine that pre-dates COVID-19:

Since the financial crisis of 2008, HF-Cos have been attracting investment from banks that received (much) more than \$13.5 trillion "[printed](#)" by central bankers (e.g., banks that double as HF-Cos have used printed money to purchase shares of their own stock).

From 2018 book [*Collusion: How Central Bankers Rigged the World*](#) (my emphases):

By mid-2017, the total assets held by the G3 central banks—the US Fed, the European Central Bank (ECB), and the Bank of Japan (BOJ)—through **conjured-money QE [i.e., quantitative-easing] programs had hit more than \$13.5 trillion**. The figure was equivalent to 17 percent of currency-adjusted global GDP.

To garner support for their multi-trillion-dollar QE strategies, the G3 central bank leaders peddled the notion that they were helping the general economy. That couldn't have been further from the truth. There was no direct channel, no law, no requirement to divert the Fed's cheap money into helping real people. This was because borrowing and subsequent investing in the real economy required funds from private banks, and not from central banks directly. That's how the monetary system was set up.

And private banks were under no obligation to do anything with this cheap money they didn't want to do.

. . . Wall Street used its easy access to cheap money to . . . buy back their own shares, thus effectively manipulating their own stock—in broad daylight and with explicit approval from the Fed.

[The author](#) of *Collusion* worked as a managing director at Goldman Sachs, ran the international analytics group as a senior managing director at Bear Stearns in London, was a strategist at Lehman Brothers and an analyst at the Chase Manhattan Bank.

Re: monopoly

From 2019 book [Goliath: The 100-Year War Between Monopoly Power and Democracy](#):

In the 1970s, we decided as a society that it would be a good idea to allow private financiers and monopolists to organize our world. As a result, what is around us is a matrix of monopolies, controlling our lives and manipulating our communities and our politics.

. . . But we also face a challenge even more significant than the consequences of a four-decade-long Reagan revolution, because layered on top of the political revolution wrought by the Chicago law and economics school and their left-wing allies is a technological revolution that has

enabled a far more dangerous concentration of power.

[The author](#) of *Goliath* was a senior policy advisor and budget analyst to the Senate Budget Committee. He also worked in the U.S. House of Representatives on financial-services policy, including Dodd-Frank, the Federal Reserve, and the foreclosure crisis.

Re: monopoly(-profits)-via-HF

HF-Cos have been: 1) more likely to become monopolies than non-HF-Cos, all things being otherwise equal, 2) able to out-compete other HF-Cos by “[getting big fast](#)” (e.g., by maximizing HF ASAP).

Monopolies are ideally suited to profit from HF (i.e., to deliver high ROI via HF).
KWs: too big to fail/jail.

Re: Ps can “PROFIT” from ransomware

From *This Is How They Tell Me the World Ends*:

By 2019, ransomware attacks were generating billions of dollars for Russian cybercriminals and were becoming more lucrative. Even as cybercriminals raised their ransom demands to unlock victims’ data from three figures to six, to millions of dollars, local officials—and their insurers—calculated it was still cheaper to pay their digital extortionists than to rebuild their systems and data from scratch.

From a June 2021 [article](#) in *The New York Times*:

Ransomware attacks are striking [every eight minutes](#), crippling hospitals and American mainstays like gas, meat, television, police departments, NBA basketball and minor league baseball teams, even ferries to Martha’s Vineyard. This past week, the targets were one of the world’s largest meatpacking operators and the hospital that serves the Villages in Florida, America’s largest retirement community. The week before it was the

pipeline operator that carries half the gas, jet fuel and diesel to the East Coast, in an attack that forced the pipeline to shut down, triggered panic buying and gas shortages and was just days from bringing mass transit and chemical refineries to their knees.

And those are just the attacks we see. Beneath the surface, American businesses are quietly paying off their digital extortionists and burying breaches in hopes that they never see the light of day.

From the August 2021 [article](#) on Wired.com titled “Putin Is Crushing Biden’s Room to Negotiate on Ransomware”:

A new cybercrime treaty Russia presented to the UN signals once again that the regime won’t help clamp down on attacks.

From a July 2021 [statement](#) from the Biden administration re: “the People’s Republic of China’s (PRC) irresponsible and destabilizing behavior in cyberspace”:

Exposing the PRC’s use of criminal contract hackers . . .

[H]ackers with a history of working for the PRC Ministry of State Security (MSS) have engaged in ransomware attacks, cyber-enabled extortion, crypto-jacking, and rank theft from victims around the world, all for [the hackers’ personal] financial gain.

In some cases, we are aware that PRC government-affiliated cyber operators have conducted ransomware operations against private companies that have included ransom demands of millions of dollars. The **PRC’s unwillingness to address criminal activity by contract hackers** [my emphasis] harms governments, businesses, and critical infrastructure operators through billions of dollars in lost intellectual property, proprietary information, ransom payments, and mitigation efforts.

More re: T2M

Much of the above is excerpted from three sources:

- my [281-page write-up](#) that:
 - details my T2M-analysis and FC-work
 - I completed soon after the current pandemic began
- my [63-pager](#) that previews and updates said 281-pager
- my Substack publication titled [The Biggest Short](#) (*TBS*), which I was working on when I identified said comedy premise re: Ps (not) wanting to resist

KWs re: I IDed T2M via mAIP

my efforts to ID online-markets that complement CE; [burgeoning science of human reproduction](#); [superstar-biased technological change](#); [epigenetics](#); [gamete market](#)

Re: there are many indicators that providers of relevant sticks won't PS T2M

Re: indicators from 2016 to October 2020, see above. Some of the recent indicators, via [youinsimulation.substack.com/p/re-prc-etc-part-3](#):

There are indicators that 70+ members of the FBI reviewed my threat-analysis in August 2021.

Since then, I haven't heard from any member of the FBI.

...

Mailed to the FBI's Seattle office on August 4, 2021: 1) a paper copy of said 10 pages, 2) a paper copy of said cover-sheet, 3) a note re: my contact with Microsoft employees.


[USPS tracking](#) #: 70210950000127447946

New-visitor stats for [youinsimulation.substack.com](#):

Stats

Traffic [Emails](#)

New website visitors this month



Source	Visitors	Free Signup	Subscr
direct	38	0	0

Re: the discrepancy between the two visitor-counts above

Large discrepancy between count of new visitors on graph vs. count via list-of-sources

Inbox x



Frank Ruscica

12:49 PM (8 hours ago)

Hello all, Details, via <https://youinsimulation.substack.com/publish/stats/traffic>: Thoughts? Thx much for any insight. Best, Frank Ruscica

Lionel (Substack Inc.) <support@substack.zendesk.com>
to me, Support

4:28 PM (4 hours ago) ☆ ↗

Your request (39862) has been updated. To add additional comments, reply to this email.

Lionel (Substack, Inc)

Aug 26, 2021, 4:28 PM EDT

Hi Frank,

Lionel here from Substack support. Thanks for reaching out.

It's possible that some of the visitors shown in the graph above your sources have blocked tracking, which means you won't be able to tell exactly how they accessed your Substack.

I hope this information helps! If you have any other questions, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Lionel from Substack

Re: the likelihood that many/most of the visitors were members of the FBI

On Twitter, I've never followed the FBI or any of its members.

On 8/27, Twitter's who-to-follow recommender system started recommending that I follow the FBI:

The screenshot shows a Twitter interface. On the left is a navigation menu with 'LISTS', 'Profile', and 'More' options, and a blue 'Tweet' button. The main content area features a tweet from Adrian Wojnarowski (@wojespn) about Cleveland acquiring Chicago restricted free agent F. Lauri Markkanen on a four-year, \$67M deal. The tweet has 20 replies, 51 retweets, and 1.2K likes. Below the tweet, it shows 'Malika Andrews liked' and 'Paul Graham liked'. On the right, a 'Who to follow' sidebar recommends the FBI (@FBI) and Craig Carton (@craigcartonlive), both with 'Follow' buttons. At the bottom of the sidebar, there are links for 'Terms of Service', 'Privacy Policy', 'Cookie Policy', 'Ads info', and 'More...'. The footer of the sidebar says '© 2021 Twitter, Inc.' and a 'Messages' button is visible at the bottom.

Who to follow



Craig Carton ✓
@craigcartonlive

Follow



FBI ✓
@FBI

Follow



Ben Falk ✓
@bencfalk

Follow

[Show more](#)

Messages



7:11 PM
8/27/2021

Who to follow



Craig Carton ✓
@craigcartonlive

Follow



FBI ✓
@FBI

Follow

[Show more](#)

[Terms of Service](#) [Privacy Policy](#) [Cookie Policy](#)
[Ads info](#) [More ...](#) © 2021 Twitter, Inc.

Messages



9:18 PM
8/27/2021

My Twitter profile, which is outdated, includes the link shown below:

← **Comedy-vs.-Trump-2024 will REWARD funny+courage**
29 Tweets

Comedy-vs.-Trump-2024 will REWARD funny+courage*

* My Amazon-/Microsoft-/VC-praised AI-preneurship and the "simulation argument" indicate a >50% likelihood we live (partly) in a simcom that'll feature my planned startup's CvT24. Keywords: premi\$e; NO COURAGE REQUIRED IF PART OF A SIMCOM.



fruscica@gmail.com [Subscribe](#)

[Edit profile](#)

Comedy-vs.-Trump-2024 will REWARD funny+courage
@FrankRuscica

Author of CvT24, a serial partly about est.'ing the Amazon of customized-education & AI (e.g., CE-for-AI, which'll be to the AI economy what oil has been to...)

comedyvstrump2024.substack.com/welcome  Joined September 2020

73 Following 16 Followers

Tweets Tweets & replies Media Likes

 **Pinned Tweet**



Comedy-vs.-Trump-2024 will REWARD funny+co... · Dec 29, 2020 ...


Links to said praise for my AI-preneurship are in comedyvstrump2024.substack.com/p/summary-deta...

Praise for my AI-preneurship

From a 2004 [email](#) sent to me by Amazon.com's [first Director of Personalization](#):

Frank, I just spent about an hour surfing around your website with a bit of amazement. I run a [now defunct] little company [funded entirely by Amazon] . . . We are a team of folks who worked together at Amazon.com developing that

New-visitor stats for comedyvstrump2024.substack.com:



[In mid-September 2021 I mailed two other FBI offices. No reply as of November 13.]

Re: I haven't heard from any member of the FBI

As seen in [part 1](#) of this write-up, it's far from a given that the FBI will act on my T2M-analysis, en route to the Biden administration leveraging my work. KWs re: "as seen" possibilities: enemy within (e.g., non-Ps within not wanting to be on the radar of Ps within, en route to being targeted by other Ps); next-gen variant of USG-protected-BCCI. Related:

From 2007 book [*The Looming Tower: Al-Qaeda and the Road to 9/11*](#), for which the [author received a Pulitzer prize](#):

“[FBI agent] O’Neill was particularly concerned, as the millennium approached [i.e., fall of 1999], al-Qaeda would seize the moment to dramatize its war with America. He was certain that Islamic terrorists had established a beachhead in America. This view was very much different from the one that the leadership of the bureau endorsed. **[FBI] Director Freeh repeatedly stressed in White House meetings that al-Qaeda posed no domestic threat** [my emphasis].”

“Al-Qaeda’s fortunes began to improve after the coalition’s fatwa to kill Americans wherever they might be found . . . March 1998, only a month after the fatwa . . .”

From Wikipedia:

In 1996, bin Laden personally engineered a plot to assassinate United States President [Bill Clinton](#) while the president was in [Manila](#) for the [Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation](#). However, intelligence agents intercepted a message before the motorcade was to leave, and alerted the [US Secret Service](#). Agents later discovered a bomb planted under a bridge . . .

On August 7, 1998, al-Qaeda [bombed the US embassies in East Africa](#), killing 224 people, including 12 Americans.

From 2013 book [*Mafia Summit: J. Edgar Hoover, the Kennedy Brothers, and the Meeting That Unmasked the Mob*](#):

Before Apalachin, before, say, 12:40 p.m. on November 14, 1957, there was no mob. No organized crime at all in America. Citizens believed this because the country’s head copper [i.e., FBI director Hoover] told it to them again and again, obsessively, as he did almost everything, like a bulldog, the breed Hoover famously resembled. The director repeated the line so often that it became a sort of reality.

. . . Which meant the mob threat went largely unchallenged in any focused, plenary way. The criminal conspiracy comprised mainly of Sicilian Mafia immigrants grew into a national cartel totally unhampered by the FBI. “Hoover’s not a problem,” one mob boss was caught saying on a phone wiretap. The brutal campaigns of extortion and violence, the beatings, the murders, the organized crime tax that all citizens paid whether they knew it or not, the corrupting influence of the mob, all proceeded unchecked by the nation’s premier federal law enforcement bureau.

Recalled United States district attorney for New York Robert M. Morgenthau: “For pretty much close to twenty-five years, organized crime had a free run. I mean from the end of Prohibition down to 1960. And I think it kind of had woven into at least part of the fabric of society.”

From *The Watchdogs Didn’t Bark: How the NSA Failed to Protect America from the 9/11 Attacks*:

Kiriakou was bothered by Rodriguez in a way he was not by most others he worked near, and he does not mince words. “You work with so many sociopaths in the agency [i.e., CIA] and recognize them as potentially dangerous, but he’s a psychopath. I really believe that.”

Rodriguez was making an astounding leap up the hierarchy, to lead, among other things, the powerful new program, which they were calling “Renditions, Detentions, and Interrogations,” or RDI. When George Tenet personally made the call to promote Jose Rodriguez to head the counter-terror division, Kiriakou believes it was the DCI acknowledging he understood the nature of his RDI program. “I think Tenet was being advised by [his spies director] James Pavitt. Pavitt knew Rodriguez very well. The message from the seventh floor was,” Kiriakou believes, ““If we’re really taking the gloves off, then we’re going to put this psychopath in charge.””

From 2012 book [*How to Get Away with Murder in America: Drug Lords, Dirty Pops, Obsessed Cops, and the Quiet Man Who Became the CIA’s Master Killer*](#):

[T]he two halves of Prado's life in the 1990s—murder suspect/stellar CIA officer—made no sense. When I initially searched for the case files of the investigation into Prado—conducted jointly by the FBI and the Miami-Dade Police Department—I discovered they'd disappeared from the MDPD's records bureau. When I located them elsewhere through a tip from a federal investigator, they were far more extensive than I had expected. There were some three thousand pages, including interviews with eyewitnesses who placed Prado at numerous crimes. I eventually interviewed more than two dozen people involved with the investigation—cops, FBI agents, federal prosecutors, and witnesses—who provided a disturbing portrait of a case abandoned because of CIA intervention, political maneuvering, and possibly corruption. The evidence against Prado was so compelling that one investigator from the case described him as “technically, a serial killer.”

“It was a miscarriage of justice that Prado never faced charges,” says Mike Fisten, the lead homicide investigator on the case. “The CIA fought us tooth and nail, and basically told us to go fuck ourselves.”

Another investigator from the case, who is now a Florida law enforcement official, said, “You can't indict people like Prado. It doesn't work that way.”

Later he e-mailed me: “Your target is bad news and dangerous. Be careful.”

When I phoned him, he said, “Forget this story. I dropped Prado's name on a friend of mine from the CIA and he said, ‘Leave this one alone. You don't want to fuck with this guy.’”

“What do you think?” I asked him.

“You're going to get whacked.”

No public official I'd interviewed had ever made such a comment. Yet his warning is in keeping with the amazing story of Ricky Prado and his rise from the criminal underworld into the top echelons of the national-security establishment. It's a story you'd expect to encounter in the twilight stages of

a corrupt dictatorship, but this one takes place mostly in Miami. It centers on Prado's long relationship with [Cuban drug-kingpin Albert] San Pedro, and on the cop who began pursuing them more than two decades ago and still hopes to put them in prison for murder. In protecting Prado, the CIA arguably allowed a new type of mole—an agent not of a foreign government but of American criminal interests—to penetrate its command.

— End of excerpt from youinsimulation.substack.com/p/re-prec-etc-part-3 —

Re: a key to providing an ideal carrot re: PSing T2M is leveraging my work that builds on mAIP (part 1 of 2)

Again, it's too late to be stealthy/covert re: said PSing.

From my 2021 write-up at comedyvstrump2024.substack.com/p/summary-details (at this CvT24 URL, links can't be added to the first part of the four-part title below):

My Amazon-/Microsoft-/VC-praised* AI-preneurship and the “simulation argument” indicate a >50% likelihood we live (partly) in a simcom that'll feature my planned startup's:

- **comedy-vs.-Trump-2024 (keywords: particular premi\$e; NO COURAGE REQUIRED IF PART OF A SIM COM; if courage required, might preclude/disrupt competing startups)**
- **startup comedy (keywords: HELP** product-development groups to: 1) raise equity-crowdfunding, 2) spin off; disruptive to Amazon, Microsoft, etc.)**
- **flowmantic comedy (keywords: flow is the state-of-mind that enables top performance/problem-solving; often, “group flow” sparks romantic attraction)**

...


Summary (12 parts; details follow)

Re: “[simulation argument](#)’ . . . >50% likelihood”

Title of an October 2020 [article](#) on ScientificAmerican.com:

Do We Live in a Simulation? Chances Are About 50–50

From the Amazon.com [page](#) for 2019 book *The Simulation Hypothesis*:



Look inside ↴

The Simulation Hypothesis: An MIT Computer Scientist Shows Why AI, Quantum Physics and Eastern Mystics All Agree We Are In a Video Game Kindle Edition

by Rizwan Virk (Author) | Format: Kindle Edition

★★★★☆ 288 ratings

> See all formats and editions

Kindle \$8.99 Read with Our Free App	Audiobook \$0.00 Free with your Audible trial	Hardcover \$21.83 2 Used from \$29.78 8 New from \$21.81	Paperback \$13.79 5 Used from \$12.98 10 New from \$12.37
--	--	--	---

The Simulation Hypothesis, by best-selling author, renowned MIT computer scientist and Silicon Valley video game designer Rizwan Virk, explains one of the most daring and consequential theories of our time.

(More) re: “>50%”: See the 12th part of this summary. Excerpt: “[L]ikelihood that we’re living in ‘base reality’ [i.e., not in a simulation], concluded [Elon Musk, [comedy investor](#) and [world’s richest person](#)], . . . was just ‘one in billions.’”

Keywords re: comedy-vs.-Trump-2024 (CvT24) might require courage

psychologist Mary Trump’s diagnosis of her uncle; . . . if re-elected, TrumP could leverage [emergency powers](#)

. . .

Precedent for CvT24

Bob Hope's comedy [amid Nazi bombing](#) during World War II, en route to Hope becoming in 1944 the [most popular entertainer](#) in America

PremiSe for CvT24

Soon, many Ps will want to:

- (continue) resist(ing) PsIMP
- not resist, in part because my planned startup (MPS) will HELP to:
 - REWARD Ps who submit to indefinite-detention or an alternate form of threat-neutralization
 - [ADVANCE human-longevity science](#), and medical research more generally

From 2014 book [Ha!: The Science of When We Laugh and Why](#), by a cognitive neuroscientist (my emphases):

We laugh at what forces us to integrate incompatible goals or ideas . . .

. . . [O]ur brains act by letting ideas compete and argue for attention. This approach has its benefits, such as allowing us to reason, solve problems, and even read books. However, it sometimes leads to conflict, for example **when we try to hold two or more inconsistent ideas at once**. When that happens, **our brains know of only one thing to do—laugh**.

...

Re: CvT24-in-a-simcom wouldn't require courage

From 1997 book [Story: Style, Structure, Substance, and the Principles of Screenwriting](#), by [Robert McKee](#) (my emphases):

Comedy contains myriad subgenres as well, each with its own conventions,

but **one overriding convention** unites this mega-genre and distinguishes it from drama: **Nobody gets hurt**. In *Comedy*, the audience must feel that no matter how characters bounce off walls, no matter how they scream and writhe under the whips of life, it doesn't really hurt.

Re: MPS leveraging emergency/war powers (EWPs) to prevent/subdue* Ps' resistance

Partial formula:

your Rolodex + 20% [finders'-fee](#) I'm offering to pay + [~5 degrees of separation](#)* between you and the U.S. president I need to partner with + 64% of my ownership stake in MPS in exchange for delegated EWPs[**] + . . . = you own ~4% of MPS

* ~6 total, 1 between you and me

[More details about my finders'-fee offer are below.]

In particular, MPS would leverage EWPs to protect MPS-ers (e.g., part-owners via said finders'-fee or equity-crowdfunding; spin-offs from MPS that are part-owned by MPS).

. . .

* . . .

[** EWPs are the ideal means of funding the launch of MPS. Presumably, the equity could/would be [escrowed](#)*** until the president left office. Related:

From a September 21, 2021 [article](#) on Politico.com:

Ben Schreckinger's "[The Bidens: Inside the First Family's Fifty-Year Rise to Power.](#)" out today, finds evidence that some of the purported HUNTER BIDEN laptop material is genuine, including two emails at the center of last October's controversy.

A person who had independent access to Hunter Biden's emails confirmed he did receive a 2015 email from a Ukrainian businessman thanking him for the chance to meet Joe Biden. The same goes for a 2017 email in which a proposed equity breakdown of a venture with Chinese energy executives includes the line, "10 held by H[unter] for the big guy [i.e., for Joe Biden]?"

...

Re: much more information fits MPS-in-a-simcom

Example: Several similarities/parallels between me and a [historical figure](#) might INCREASE the likelihood that Ps won't harm MPS-ers et al. In particular, the similarities might HELP MPS:

- become a recipient of EWPs delegated by a U.S. president
- make Ps CONFIDENT that they wouldn't be denied MLC [see below] after submitting to indefinite detention or the like (i.e., wouldn't be victimized by a [bait-and-switch](#))

...

Praise for my AI-preneurship

From a 2004 [email](#) sent to me by Amazon.com's [first Director of Personalization](#):

Frank, I just spent about an hour surfing around your website with a bit of amazement. I run a [now defunct] little company [funded entirely by Amazon] . . . We are a team of folks who worked together at Amazon.com developing that company's personalization and recommendations team and systems. We spent about 1.5 years thinking about what we wanted to build next. We thought a lot about online education tools. We thought a lot about classified ads and job networks. We thought a lot about reputation systems. We thought a bit about personalized advertising systems. We thought a lot about blogging and social networking systems. . . . I guess I'm mostly just fascinated that we've been working a very similar vein to the one you

describe, without having a solid name for it (we call it “the age of the amateur” or “networks of shared experiences” instead of [AI-powered] CLLCS [i.e., customized lifelong learning and career services], but believe me, we are talking about the same patterns and markets, if not in exactly the same way). Thanks for sharing what you have—it’s fascinating stuff.

From a 2004 [email](#) sent to me by an analyst at [then top](#)-VC-firm Draper Fisher Jurvetson:

Hi Frank, Thanks for your time today. If you would like to provide us with further information about your [business] plan [for providing CLLCS], we would be happy to review it in more detail.

From a 1998 [email](#) sent to me by the then Manager of the Learning Sciences and Technology Group at Microsoft Research:

Frank, you are a good man. Have you thought about joining this team? Your only alternative, of course, is venture capital. But their usual models require getting rid of the “originator” within the first eighteen months.

Re: the praise is from 1998 and 2004

— Summary (details below) —

My work from 1992 to 2006:

- is the foundation of my current plan to provide the most popular online market for customized-education and artificial-intelligence (e.g., CE-for-AI, which will be to the [AI economy](#) what oil has been to the industrial economy)
- didn’t yield a business model that would’ve been [disruptive](#) to Amazon, Google, etc. (i.e., that would’ve enabled me to launch a startup that could (be expected to) survive competition from Amazon, etc.)

Since 2006 my primary focus has been innovating so MPS will:

- outperform all classes of competitors (e.g., would disrupt a future startup funded initially by Bill Gates, who has donated/invested [a LOT of money to advance CE](#))
- become to the AI economy what John D. Rockefeller's [Standard Oil](#) (SO) became to the industrial economy of his era (SO made Rockefeller the richest person since the [Industrial Revolution](#))

A product of said innovating: comedy-writing craft/artfulness that'll HELP many comedy talents earn (large) parts of: 1) a Rockefeller-ian fortune, 2) adjacent fortunes.

Re: said fortunes: The wealthiest people benefit most from advances in longevity science, often benefit most from other advances in medical research, and can invest [unlimited amounts via equity-crowdfunding](#).

Comedy opener of my first startup comedy (SC; a serial “[non-fiction novel](#)” that I’m rewriting)

“Seventeen states,” I said, “have legalized recreational marijuana. A lot of partying happens away from home. Smoking weed gives people the munchies. Many popular night-spots don’t serve food. So there’s a [greenfield opportunity](#) at the intersection of mobile storage, weed storage, and food storage. Specifically, an opportunity for OSG [i.e., MPS] to patent my design of clothing-pockets that close via Ziploc.”

Mindy’s eyes widened for an instant. Then her lips formed a thin smile.

“I see you’re worried about developing laugh lines,” I said. “You shouldn’t be. Laugh lines are no match for modern cosmetic surgery. After all, cosmetic surgery is getting so advanced that, soon, it will be a simple matter to make a woman’s face after surgery appear *completely* different than her face before surgery.”

Then I tried to appear struck by a flash of insight.

“Which means,” I said, “that soon *millions* of Caucasian women will find it

impossible to get a date! *Unless . . .*”

I picked up the handset of my desk phone, then appeared to dial an extension.

“It has come to my attention,” I said into the handset, “that OSG can profit *obscenely* by purchasing the rights to develop and market the *only* DNA test that enables a woman to *prove* she’s *not* [Lorena Bobbitt!](#)”

Mindy laughed.

I restored the handset to its cradle, then used my laptop. A new presentation slide appeared on the wall-mounted screen:

From a 1978 [article](#) in *The New Yorker*:

“When it comes to saving a bad line, [Johnny Carson] is the master”—to quote a tribute paid in my presence by George Burns.

. . . One sometimes detects a vindictive glint in Carson’s eye when a number of gags sink without risible trace, but [*Tonight Show* writer Pat] McCormick assures me that this is all part of the act . . .

— End of comedy opener —

. . .

Re: the making of said [i.e., my] business plan and said serial novel

— Summary (details follow) —

In 1985 I learned that I can reliably generate comic insights [1] (e.g., I can write jokes).

That year my focus shifted to developing a likable [comic persona](#). My approach to the developing comprised 3 steps, with a [corollary](#):

S1: Select a problem that's causing many people a lot of distress.

S2: Try to solve the problem.

S3: Mine the experience for comedy.

C: The more effective I am at solving (part of) the problem, the more likable my persona will be.

The problem I selected was lack of educational/economic opportunity.

In 1992 I gained exposure to the pre-Web Internet.

In 1998 I completed my 1.0 business plan for an online provider of CE and particular complements.

In 2004 I completed my 2.0 plan. Key addition: my Amazon-/VC-praised [2] design [3] of an online market that'll: 1) provide new and improved ways for influencers (e.g., comedy writers, subject-matter experts) to earn money, 2) yield a next-gen variant of LinkedIn that'll give rise to MANY flowmances.

In 2006 my focus shifted to leveraging comedy to make my 2.0 plan disruptive [4] (i.e., shifted to developing craft/artfulness in the requisite medium/form/genres [5]).

— Re: [1] (in 1985 I learned that I can reliably generate comic insights) —

More recently, I learned that I have what [some neuroscientists](#) call “comedy-writer brain” (e.g., my neuroanatomy includes a [“flat” memory hierarchy](#) that enables [non-conscious processes](#) of my brain to reliably identify associations that are [perceived as very remote](#) by other types of brains).

Re: comedy-writer brain

From *Ha!: the Science of When We Laugh and Why*:

[I]t's worth noting that no single brain region is responsible for this type of creativity. One scientific review of seventy-two recent experiments revealed

that no single brain region is consistently active during creative behavior. There is, however, something special about people who make novel connections or imagine the unimaginable. What sets them apart is the connectivity within their resting brains. This finding was discovered by a team of researchers from Tohoku in Japan, who observed that people with highly connected brains—as measured by shared brain activity over multiple regions—are more flexible and adaptive thinkers. Connected brains are creative brains.

From 1999 book [*The Entertainment Economy*](#):

mean more good product. Steve Allen has observed that at any one time there are fifty good comedy writers in America. His advice was, basically, that if you find a good comedy writer, treat him or her like gold. As many comedy producers have confirmed to me, “comic DNA,” or whatever is the genetic material that makes one person funny and another hair-pullingly boring, is apparently in short supply in the human gene pool. So with more than six

Re: non-conscious processes identifying remote associations

From 2017 book [*Stealing Fire: How Silicon Valley, the Navy SEALs, and Maverick Scientists Are Revolutionizing the Way We Live and Work*](#):

Carhart-Harris set out to take real-time pictures of the unconscious [using neuroimaging technologies (e.g., fMRI)] and when he did, he saw the unconscious actively hunting for new ideas.

...

— Re: [5] (requisite medium/form/genres) —

In 2006—before smartphone apps and equity-crowdfunding—my goal for leveraging comedy was running website-marketing and site-user-showcasing as a profit center.

Keys to maximizing media profits on a risk-adjusted basis: portfolio of media properties; phased investments in [production values](#).

So MPS's SCs will originate as serial novels, published online.

Equity-crowdfunding was legalized in 2012.

Hence my updated/present conception of SCs . . .

. . .

Re: my focus since 2006 on developing as a serial novelist

Title of a 2007 [paper](#) in *The Journal of Creative Behavior*:

Ten Years to Expertise, Many More to Greatness: An Investigation of Modern Writers

Said expertise equates largely to instincts that, after (~)10 years, have become passably trustworthy.

From 2017 book [Before You Know It: The Unconscious Reasons We Do What We Do](#):

Unconscious decisions tend to be better [than decisions made consciously] when the judgement is complex and many different dimensions or features have to be combined and integrated . . .

To take such full advantage of unconscious help we have to first do the conscious work [e.g., put in said (~)10 years] . . .

From 2017 book [Machine, Platform, Crowd: Harnessing Our Digital Future](#), co-authored by MIT economist Erik Brynjolfsson:

Go is a pure strategy game—no luck involved . . .

[I]t is estimated there are about 2×10^{170} (that is 2 followed by 170 zeros) possible positions on a standard Go board . . .

The Game Nobody Can Explain

How do the top human Go players navigate this absurd complexity and make smart moves? Nobody knows—not even the players themselves.

Go players learn a group of heuristics and tend to follow them.[‡] Beyond these rules of thumb, however, top players are often at a loss to explain their own strategies. As Michael Redmond, one of few Westerners to reach the game's highest rank, explains, "I'll see a move and be sure it's the right one, but won't be able to tell you exactly how I know. I just see it."

. . .

— End of excerpt from youinsimulation.substack.com/p/re-prc-etc-part-2 —

Re: my finders'-fee offer (mFFO)

When ~5 finders are able to connect me with President Biden, the ~5 and I will sign a legally-binding FFO.

Formation of a partnership between the president and MPS would trigger the payout to finders of 20% of the [equity](#) in MPS.

Re: my literary agent (mLA) can PROFIT from mFFO

Said connect-me yielding said partnership seems LIKELY, given that:

Again, President Biden would receive 64% of the equity in MPS in exchange for delegating EWPs to MPS.

The exchange would make MPS a de facto monopolist.

Post-exchange, then, the 64% would yield a [Rockefeller](#)-ian fortune ASAP.

The Biden administration is seeking to combat “root causes of malicious cyber activities.”*

Again, the Biden administration wants to combat kleptocracy.

Implication of “MPS a de facto monopolist”: Post-exchange, finders of said kind would be able to monetize their equity stakes any time after receipt (e.g., I’d borrow money—[using my equity stake in MPS as collateral](#)—and [purchase each finder’s shares](#) as soon as any/all were for sale).

* From the August 25, 2021 [article](#) on PBS.org titled “Biden tackles cybersecurity with tech, finance leaders” (my emphases):

Though ransomware was intended as one focus of Wednesday’s gathering, a senior administration official who briefed reporters in advance said the **purpose** was much broader, **centered on identifying the “root causes of malicious cyber activities.”**

Re: a key to maximizing said leveraging ASAP is mFFO that mLA can profit from doubly (part 1 of 2)

My LA can route information re: mFFO and my Biden-partnership offer (mPO) to book publishers with a suitable Rolodex.

A publisher of said kind can:

- contract with me to publish my choice between a 2022 book (22B) written by me or a post-‘22 book by me (i.e., if I don’t seek publication of 22B, I’d be obligated to write . . .)
- leverage its Rolodex to alert Biden re: T2M and mPO

To motivate Biden to accept mPO ASAP, said alert can explain that I might rescind mPO soon. Possible future:

Biden doesn't accept mPO soon after receiving said alert.

Results of Biden's inaction: 22B is published soon as an ebook, and the marketing of 22B is funded by the publisher. (To protect mLA, the publisher's employees et al., 22B could appear to be self-published by me.)

Buyers of 22B receive a subscription to a companion website (22B-site).

Each of my posts to 22B-site strengthens the case that I'm uniquely qualified to lead the PSing of T2M.

Readership of 22B increases rapidly (e.g., because my earnings from 22B and 22B-site are used to market 22B).

The increase leads me to rescind mPO.

“[Anti-Trump](#)”-style, I parlay said readerships into a bid for the U.S. presidency in 2024.

I strengthen my bid by showing that I'm ideally suited to help Americans thrive/prosper in the AI economy. (Re: “ideally”: For some details, see youinsimulation.substack.com/p/re-prc-etc-part-2.)

I'm elected president in 2024.

As president, I leverage EWPs to fund/launch/GROW MPS.

I leave office owning at least most of MPS.

Re: mFFO is a textbook approach to raising awareness of T2M en route to PSing . . .

From 2021 book [*Averting Catastrophe: Decision Theory for COVID-19, Climate Change, and Potential Disasters of All Kinds*](#), by an Administrator of President Obama's White House Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs:

In special circumstances, you might consider avoiding the worst-case scenario and thus following the maximin principle, which calls for eliminating the worst of the worst cases. The strongest cases for following that rule would involve three factors: (1) Knightian uncertainty, understood as an inability to assign probabilities to various options; (2) catastrophic or grave consequences from one option, but not from other options; and (3) low or relatively low costs, or low or **relatively low benefits foregone** [my emphasis], as a result of choosing the option that avoids the worst-case scenario.

Re: (3): If I pay out a 20% finders'-fee and President Biden owns 64% of MPS, I'll own 16% of the Standard Oil of the AI economy. For me, the [marginal utility](#) of the "lost" 84% would be zero. And, in exchange for this zero, I'd have secured insurance that: 1) I perceive to have substantial value, 2) I can't secure any other way.

Precedent for PSing T2M via leveraging social/professional networks

Such leveraging was the key to experts gaining control of America's Covid response.

From 2021 best-seller [*The Premonition: A Pandemic Story*](#), by [Michael Lewis](#):

The only way to get attention for this new tool for disease control, Glass decided, was to write it up in a paper for an academic journal. The scientists at Sandia National Labs worked under the highest security clearance in the federal government, called "Q clearance," and were prohibited from revealing their work without first seeking approval. The work was his kid's science fair project, but he was now taking it as seriously as anything he did at Sandia. So he explained the situation to his superiors and wrote up a long paper, which, at length, they allowed him to publish. He sent it to *Science*

and *Nature* and to other, more obscure journals of medical science. “Every one of them just returned it to me unread because I wasn’t known in their field,” he said. “So then I got really worried.” When asked about himself, which he seldom was, as he spent so much of his time alone in thought, Bob Glass described himself as “an extreme introvert.” It violated his nature to reach out personally to people in the field of communicable disease and seek their help. But he did it anyway. He found the names of professional epidemiologists who claimed to be using computer models to study disease spread and sent them his paper, along with a note. “They wouldn’t even return my emails,” he said. “They just didn’t respond. So then I got pissed. I had this fear: a pandemic will occur, and no one would do anything right. I thought I was dead. I thought we were all dead. Then I remembered the guy at the VA.”

A year and a half earlier, Laura had gone to Washington, DC, to visit her aunt. Over dinner one night, she told her aunt’s boyfriend, an infectious-disease specialist who worked for the Department of Veterans Affairs, about her science fair project. “You should write that up and publish it,” he said with enthusiasm. He said he’d never heard of anything like it. When she returned home, she told her father about the dinner. “I thought, ‘Jeez, this is going to take a lot of work,’” he’d said, but he agreed to turn the science fair project into a serious academic paper on disease control, authored jointly. The VA guy had already had one big effect on their work, Bob Glass thought; maybe he could have another. It troubled him deeply to use his sister’s boyfriend to get attention for a scientific discovery, but he didn’t know anyone else in the federal government in Washington, DC. “You just don’t do this in science,” Glass said. “But I said, I’m going to do something someone my age never does. I’m going to go around the system. I write him an email and attach the paper and ask: ‘Do you know anyone who needs to see this?’”

At that point, he’d spent the better part of six months trying to get the attention of experts in disease control. Inside of six hours, he had a call from Richard Hatchett. “He said, ‘We’re in the White House,’” recalled Bob Glass. “‘When can you come and talk to us?’”

...

He and Richard and others had spent years creating and selling the ideas that would, if quickly seized upon, prevent a lot of Americans from dying. Those ideas were useful, and yet no one in authority seemed willing to use them. “We were going nuts,” said Carter. Each of the Wolverines went into their contact lists to look for what Carter called “high-value nodes.” People they knew who might influence American policy . . .

The goal was to find at least one state to take the lead and roll out an aggressive response to the virus, introduce the social interventions outlined in the pandemic plan, and create a domino effect. “We had to create an epidemic for an idea,” said Carter. At some point Duane Caneva realized that he had something to add . . . In his two years inside Trump’s Department of Homeland Security, Duane had had various dealings, many acrimonious, with various public officials in states that shared a border with Mexico. One struck him as just the type to grab hold of an entire state and turn it into an example that might lead the nation. “Just got off the phone with Dr. Charity Dean,” Duane wrote . . .

...

Charity walked them through what had happened back in 1918 and what was happening again, in only slightly different form. She explained how, six weeks earlier, she had arrived at a fairly good estimate of all the important traits of the virus, and she said that once you knew these things about the virus, you could predict its future. She did not tell them that she had spent the previous six weeks in conversations with maybe the world’s greatest redneck epidemiologist [i.e., Carter]. Park and Patil mostly just listened to her and asked questions.

. . . After a couple of hours with Charity, Park and Patil decided that the most useful thing they could do for the state of California was to deliver the contents of her mind onto [Governor] Gavin Newsom’s desk. “Our only job was to make it possible for Charity to talk through a model,” recalled Park.

“Our job was to take everything in her brain and get it to the governor.”

. . . Park and Patil presented the model’s output to Governor Newsom’s senior advisers. “When we showed them what the model was saying, it sucked the air out of the room,” said Park. The next day, Governor Newsom issued the country’s first statewide stay-at-home order.

. . .

What Charity couldn’t figure out was how, or even if, what she said on the calls found its way into the ears of the decision makers [in the U.S. federal government]—and who those people were. At one point she put the question to James Lawler. “James,” she asked, “who exactly is in charge of this pandemic?” “Nobody,” he replied. “But if you want to know who is sort of in charge, it’s sort of us.”

Re: a key to providing an ideal carrot re: PSing T2M is leveraging my work that builds on mAIP (part 2 of 2)

When Pablo Escobar et al. first felt IMPERILED (see above), Columbia didn’t have a carrot to offer.

Escobar et al. resisted VIOLENTLY (see above), predictably (see above).

Importantly for the U.S. et al. re: PSing T2M, said resisting occurred even though Escobar et al. benefited from a variant of the-enemy-within:

From 2001 book [*Killing Pablo: The Hunt for the World’s Greatest Outlaw*](#):

Pablo was establishing a pattern of dealing with the authorities that would become his trademark. It soon became known simply as *plata o plomo*. One either accepted Pablo's *plata* (silver) or his *plomo* (lead).

Death was his strategy against extradition, that and money. His policy of *plata o plomo* became so notoriously effective that it would ultimately threaten to undermine Colombia's democracy.

assassination. *Plata o plomo* had every official in Bogotá living in fear or under suspicion; they were either targets of the Medellín cartel or presumed to be its lackeys. In November 1985, days after the assassinations of the four judges weighing the extradition issue, the guerrilla group M-19 stormed the Palace of Justice in Bogotá, demanding, among other things, that the government renounce the 1979 extradition treaty. The terrorists held hostage the entire Colombian Supreme Court and its staff, prompting a government siege that left forty rebels and fifty Palace of Justice employees dead, including eleven of the twenty-four justices. The raid crippled the Colombian legal

There were still a few brave souls in public life who defied *plata o plomo*, but by the end of 1986 not many of them were still alive. That

So in 1991 Columbia provided a carrot: [La Catedral](#).

From 2019 book [Manhunters: How We Took Down Pablo Escobar](#):

We watched it all on TV—the surrender of Pablo Escobar.

None of us saw it coming, and we all took it badly—a crushing blow to our efforts to bring him to justice. It was June 19, 1991, and I was in Medellín, but Toft immediately called me back to Bogotá after the surrender was announced. We all watched the events live at the embassy in stunned silence: the yellow government chopper landing near the ranch-style prison that included a pool, jacuzzi, soccer field, and what we assumed were luxurious accommodations close to Escobar’s hometown of Envigado in the mountains outside Medellín. The sprawling “jail” was housed in the former Rehabilitation Centre for Drug Addicts, renovated to Escobar’s specifications, so spectacular that it was nicknamed **La Catedral** [my emphasis].

From 2018 book [*Mrs. Escobar: My Life With Pablo*](#) (my emphases):

I started going up to **La Catedral** several days a week. And while Pablo was meeting with somebody or playing soccer, I’d take the opportunity to organize, rearrange and mend anything in his room that needed attention, but I also looked through the many letters he’d started receiving. They were **messages from women all over the world**, many of them with photos showing the senders in various poses, many of them naked, and the common denominator was that they were offering themselves to him in exchange for money. I was even more surprised when I read shocking letters from women recalling their **recent intimate encounters with him** in great detail and **inviting him for an encore** whenever he wanted; others wrote flowery missives dreaming of another night of passion in La Catedral.

. . . At La Catedral he returned to his old predilection for **beauty queens, who visited in droves** . . .

Again, psychopathy correlates strongly with hypersexuality.

Continuous improvement of a next-gen variant of La Catedral would require:

- ideation (e.g., via CE for people, (CE-for-)AI)
- implementation (e.g., via teams formed via a next-gen variant of LinkedIn)

- funding (e.g., equity-crowdfunding attracted via startup comedies)
- continuous lowering of the perceived-risk of producing improvements (e.g., lower via: 1) strengthening the case that we live (partly) in a simcom, 2) leveraging delegated EWPs)

Maximizing the yield from said continuous improvement would require:

- Ps' awareness (e.g., via comedies)
- Ps' confidence that they won't be bait-and-switched (e.g., confidence via said parallels between me and a historical figure that strengthen the simcom case)

Key reasons that MPS's variant of La Catedral (MLC) would be popular with (al)most (all) non-Ps:

Beyond pairing Ps at MLC, MPS would make maximum use of sex workers (e.g., by paying them WELL via leveraging EWPs* to [claw back](#) "profits" from HF; by providing them with valuable showcasing** on comedies).

If MPS exhausted its "supply" of Ps and sex workers, it would leverage EWPs to draft/enlist the children (18+ y.o.) of HF-profiteers (for details, see youinsimulation.substack.com/p/part-2; in particular, the section titled **Re: some/many people might perceive PsL&E as another parallel of said kind**).

* From a 2019 [article](#) in *The Atlantic*:

The moment the president declares a "national emergency"—a decision that is entirely within his discretion—more than 100 special provisions become available to him . . . For instance, the president can, with the flick of his pen, activate laws allowing him to . . . **freeze Americans' bank accounts** [my emphasis].

** From 2020 book [Camming: Money, Power, and Pleasure in the Sex Work Industry](#), published by NYU Press:

[O]f all the models in the sample who have earned \$10,000 in any month camming, there was not one who had been camming for less than a year. Alicia once earned \$54,000 in one month and averages \$11,000 a month; she has been camming for seven years. Quinn, who one month earned \$50,000 and averages \$5,000 a month, has cammed for five years. Tanya once earned \$25,000 in a month and usually makes \$10,000 a month; she has worked as a cam model for over eight years . . . [E]xtremely high wages occurred but disproportionately went to full-time cam models who have worked in the industry for long periods of time and who labored incredibly hard to build popular brands.




Figure 3.3. Monthly unique visitors to major cam sites, May 2018. Source: Alexa Website Analytics.

Re: MPS’s comedy re: MLC would be popular with Ps, via youinsimulation.substack.com/p/re-prc-etc-part-2:

Re: “REWARD Ps [for entering MLC voluntarily]”

Keywords: for each of us (e.g., non-Ps like me), maximizing the amount of time we’re in a [flow state](#) is a key to thriving amid “[superstar-biased technological change](#)” (e.g., amid “[winner-take-all](#)” markets); often, flow via collaboration—“[group flow](#)”—sparks romantic attraction; keeping collaborators happy . . .

polyamory . . . ; human society is a type of “[complex adaptive system](#)”; CASs generate “[order-for-free](#)” (OFF) at “[the boundary between order and chaos](#)”; variant of OFF that seems very likely to emerge soon, partly/largely via group flow and MPS: orgies-for-free (O-F-F); women-FRIENDLY almost certainly; re: w-F and “seems very likely”: 1) “[new science](#)” re: “[women, lust and infidelity](#),” 2) women are ~60% of recent college grads [in many countries](#) (e.g., [the U.S.](#)), so MPS has to be w-F, 3) women can invest B-B-BILLION\$ via crowd-investing (e.g., via equity-crowdfunding) . . .

— Re: MANY orgies (will) result from people adapting to said tech-change (i.e., to an [evolutionary selection-pressure](#) that’s intensifying rapidly) —

From 2018 book [Tell Me What You Want: The Science of Sexual Desire and How It Can Help You Improve Your Sex Life](#):

I will offer an analysis of the largest-ever survey of Americans’ sexual fantasies . . .

89 percent [of respondents] reported fantasizing about threesomes, 74 percent about orgies, and 61 percent about gangbangs . . . [T]he majority of women reported having each of these sex fantasies . . .

More than three-quarters of the men and women I surveyed hope to eventually act on their favorite sexual fantasies.

[Tell Me’s author](#) has a PhD, is a former lecturer at Harvard and is a Research Fellow at [the Kinsey Institute](#).

— Precedent for O-F-F, via humans’ closest primate relative —

From 2018 book [Untrue: Why Nearly Everything We Believe About Women, Lust, and Infidelity Is Wrong and How the New Science Can Set Us Free](#):

[T]he bonobo, with whom we share nearly 99 percent of our DNA . . .

A 2017 study comparing human, chimp and bonobo muscles confirmed what previous molecular research had suggested: “Bonobo muscles have changed the least [from our common ancestor], which means they are the closest we can get to having a ‘living’ ancestor,” according to the research head of the George Washington University Center for the Advanced Study of Human Paleobiology.

. . . [P]erhaps the most remarkable thing about bonobos . . . Basically, they seem to have sex constantly throughout the day, with just about anybody. Meredith Small reports being in a room of three hundred or so primatologists and journalists of some early footage of bonobos in 1991, before much was known about them. Moments after the film began, the room fell utterly silent as the assembled took in the spectacle of these primates having sex more times and in more positions and combinations than most humans in any culture could even imagine.

. . . [B]onobos have sex to diffuse potential tension—when they come upon a cache of food, for example, or a new bonobo troop, having sex is a way to bond and take the stress level down. Parish pointed out that this was happening as we observed them being fed. Once the food was flung down to them, at least one pair of bonobos began to “consort” immediately. Only then did they get down to the business of eating.

. . .

For much more re: flow science and its centrality to MPS, see my lengthier write-ups linked-to above. Book/magazine excerpts from the write-ups:

From 2021 book [*The Art of the Impossible: A Peak Performance Primer*](#):

Flow may be the biggest neurochemical cocktail of all. The state appears to blend all six of the brain’s major pleasure chemicals and may be one of the few times you get all six at once.

. . . What we can say for sure: all of these neurochemicals help explain why

flow tends to show up when the impossible becomes possible. The reason? It's because of how these neurochemicals impact all three sides of the high-performance triangle: motivation, learning, and creativity.

On the motivation side, all six of these chemicals are reward drugs, making flow one of the most rewarding experiences we can have. This is why researchers call the state “the source code of intrinsic motivation” and why McKinsey discovered that productivity is amplified 500 percent in flow—that's the power of **addictive, pleasure chemistry** [my emphasis].

From 2014 book [*The Rise of Superman: Decoding the Science of Ultimate Human Performance*](#):

“[T]here are extraordinarily powerful social bonding neurochemicals at the heart of both flow and group flow: dopamine and norepinephrine, that underpin romantic love . . .”

“In jazz, the group has the ideas, not the individual musicians . . . When performance peaks in groups . . . this isn't just about individuals in flow—it's the group entering the state together . . .”

From 1997 book [*Organizing Genius: The Secrets of Creative Collaboration*](#), by eminent scholar [Warren Bennis](#):

Great Groups are sexy places.

. . . [During Apple's early years, Steve Jobs mandated that] employees share [hotel] rooms when they were at conventions and other professional meetings . . . to limit bed-hopping . . .

From the 2017 [article](#) in *Wired* titled “The Ins and Outs of Silicon Valley's New Sexual Revolution”:

In Silicon Valley, love's many splendors often take the form of, well, many lovers.

. . . Some workplaces (*cough*Google*cough*) have quasi-official poly clubs
. . .

From 2017 book [*Stealing Fire: How Silicon Valley, the Navy SEALs, and Maverick Scientists Are Revolutionizing the Way We Live and Work:*](#)

[W]e crossed the country for a trip to the Googleplex. We were there to talk flow states with engineers . . .

[W]e . . . attend[ed] the opening of their new multimillion-dollar mindfulness center . . . Google had realized that when it comes to the highly competitive tech marketplace, helping engineers get into the zone and stay there longer was an essential . . .

We've been collaborating with some of the top experience designers, bio-hackers, and performance specialists to help develop the Flow Dojo . . . a learning lab dedicated to mapping the core building blocks of optimum performance.

In the fall of 2015 we had the opportunity to bring a prototype of the Dojo to Google's Silicon Valley headquarters and engage in a joint-learning project. For six weeks, a handpicked team of engineers, developers, and managers committed to a flow training program, and then capped that off with two weeks in a beta version of the training center.

From the chapter titled "Group Flow" in 2017 book [*Group Genius: The Creative Power of Collaboration:*](#)

Patagonia was an early adopter, but soon after, Toyota, Ericsson and Microsoft made flow integral to their culture and strategy.

. . .

Re: the for-free in orgies-for- . . .

— Summary (details follow) —

[Order for free](#) is a proposed law of nature, [hypothesized at book length](#) in 1993 by a [MacArthur Fellow](#) (i.e., a “[genius grant](#)” recipient). Believers in the hypothesis [include Nobel-Prize winners](#).

One type of order—[complexity](#) [1]—results from “networks of adaptive agents” (e.g., networks of people):

- being subjected to selection-pressures that are new and/or are intensifying rapidly
- adapting to these pressures

Adaptation that yields/increases complexity occurs at the [boundary between order and chaos](#) (i.e., in [complex adaptive systems](#), agents are clustered at and around said boundary).

This clustering takes shape “for free” via “[self-organized criticality](#)” [2].

All told, complexity-for-free is shorthand for ‘complexity via adaptation via clustering-for-free’ [3].

Orgies-for-free (O-F-F) is a variant of clustering-for-free that will (continue to) enable people to adapt to selection-pressures of said kinds.

[1] From a 2013 [article](#) on ScientificAmerican.com:

[Stephen] Hawking was asked what he thought of the common opinion that the twentieth century was that of biology and the twenty-first century would be that of physics. Hawking [replied](#) that in his opinion the twenty-first century would be the “**century of complexity**” [my emphasis].

Title of a 2005 [book](#) published by Harvard Business School Press:

Origin of Wealth: Evolution, Complexity, and the Radical Remaking of

Economics

Title of a 2014 [book](#) published by Oxford University Press:

Complexity and the Economy

[2] From 1996 book [How Nature Works: The Science of Self-Organized Criticality](#):

The system had become “critical”! There were avalanches of all sizes just as there were **clusters** [my emphasis] of all sizes at the “critical” point for equilibrium phase transitions.

[3] From *How Nature Works*:

Self-organized criticality is so far the only known general mechanism to generate complexity.

...

Re: O-F-F would be women-FRIENDLY almost certainly

— Summary (details below) —

The link between professional success and polyamory is unlikely to favor a particular gender.

A key to popularizing Adver-ties [i.e., MPS’s LinkedIn variant] is facilitating the build-out of complements.

OSG’s [i.e., MPS’s] facilitating will center on advancing “[hyper-specialization](#),” for reasons explained by complexity science (i.e., this facilitating will center on speeding the complexification of the business ecosystem that centers on Adver-ties).

Some/many of the hyper-specialists in said ecosystem can be expected to make

flowmantic orgies women-FRIENDLY (i.e., can be expected to compete to make said orgies entirely civilized, increasingly artful, etc.). This can be expected in LARGE part because:

Amazon of CE . . . via popularizing Adver-ties . . .

Women are ~60% of recent college grads [in many countries](#) (e.g., [the U.S.](#)).

Women can invest B-B-BILLION\$ via crowd-investing (e.g., via equity-crowdfunding).

So Amazon of CE via making Adver-ties POPULAR with women . . .

OSG could employ/REWARD specialists who make flowmantic orgies women-FRIENDLY (e.g., employ via raising equity-crowdfunding from MANY women).

— Re: the link between professional success and polyamory is unlikely to favor a particular gender —

From *Untrue: Why Nearly Everything We Believe About Women, Lust, and Infidelity Is Wrong and How the New Science Can Set Us Free*:

[A] 2017 study shows that among women aged twenty-five to twenty-nine, group sex and threesome experience equaled that of men the same age, and women were nearly twice as likely to have gone to a dungeon, BDSM, swingers', or sex party.

[Untrue's author](#) is a woman who has a PhD from Yale and a background in anthropology.

From 2013 book [What Do Women Want?: Adventures in the Science of Female Desire](#):

[R]ecent science and women's voices left me with pointed lessons:

That women's desire—its inherent range and innate power—is an underestimated and constrained force, even in our times . . .

[T]his force is not, for the most part, sparked or sustained by emotional intimacy and safety . . .

[O]ne of our most comforting assumptions, . . . that female eros is much better made for monogamy than the male libido, is scarcely more than a fairy tale.

[What's author](#) is a contributing writer for *The New York Times Magazine* and the author of five books of nonfiction.

From a 2012 [book](#):

The most patient and thorough research about the hook-up culture shows that over the long run, women benefit greatly from living in a world where they can have sexual adventure without commitment . . . and where they can enter into temporary relationships that don't derail their careers.

To put it crudely, now feminist progress is largely dependent on hook-up culture. To a surprising degree, it is women—not men—who are perpetuating the culture . . .

The book's author is Hanna Rosin, [then a national correspondent](#) for *The Atlantic*.

From *What Do Women Want?*:

Terri Fisher, a psychologist at Ohio State University . . . asked two hundred female and male undergraduates to complete a questionnaire dealing with masturbation and the use of porn. The subjects were split into groups and wrote their answers under three different conditions: either they were instructed to hand the finished questionnaire to a fellow college student, who waited just beyond an open door and was able to watch the subjects work; or they were given explicit assurances that their answers would be kept

anonymous; or they were hooked up to a fake polygraph machine, with bogus electrodes taped to their hands, forearms, and necks.

The male replies were about the same under each of the three conditions, but for the females the circumstances were crucial. Many of the women in the first group—the ones who could well have worried that another student would see their answers—said they'd never masturbated, never checked out anything X-rated. The women who were told they would have strict confidentiality answered yes a lot more. And the women who thought they were wired to a lie detector replied almost identically to the men.

. . . When Fisher employed the same three conditions and asked women how many sexual partners they'd had, subjects in the first group gave answers 70 percent lower than women wearing the phony electrodes. Diligently, she ran this part of the experiment a second time, with three hundred new participants. The women who thought they were being polygraphed not only reported more partners than the rest of the female subjects, they also . . . gave numbers a good deal higher than the men.

From 2011 book [*Chick Lit and Postfeminism*](#), published by the University of Virginia Press:

“The overwhelming popularity of chick lit . . . can be traced to the social reality of its readership with regard to work . . . [Via chick lit's] attempts at synthesis of work and love it shows the challenges of straddling both realms.”

“One of chick lit's contributions as a genre is the production of what we might call a sexual theory of late capitalism . . .”

“The high number of sexual partners the chick lit protagonist experiences parallels the romance's pattern of the questing hero's confronting false or impostor versions of his eventual beloved.”

“Though an offshoot of popular romance, chick lit transforms it signific-

antly, virtually jettisoning the figure of the heterosexual [male] hero . . .

Men are not really valued as individuals as much as means to a lifestyle . . .


Even texts that end with marital happiness present a predominantly depressing take on marriage.

. . . Chick lit heroines' preoccupation with money . . . is normative with recent real-life social science findings: researchers . . . have found that the worst fear for single women . . . is having no money.”

. . .

— Precedent re: specialists who'd make O-F-F women-FRIENDLY —

Cover of a 2007 [book](#):



— End of excerpt from youinsimulation.substack.com/p/re-prec-etc-part-2 —

Re: a key to maximizing said leveraging ASAP is mFFO that mLA can profit from doubly (part 2 of 2)

Another precedent for MLC: The containment strategy that won the Cold War.

From 2005 book [The Cold War: A New History](#) (my emphases):

Kennan's "long telegram" became the **basis for United States strategy** toward the Soviet Union throughout the rest of the Cold War . . . What would be needed, as Kennan put it in a published version of his argument the following year, was a "long-term, patient but firm and vigilant **containment** of Russian expansive tendencies."

From the [entry on containment](#) in the *Encyclopedia Britannica*:

The policy was implemented in the [Truman Doctrine](#) of 1947.

From a 2012 [article](#) on the website of The Council on Foreign Relations:

[T]he title of being the most consequential U.S. foreign policy doctrine . . . belongs to [the Truman Doctrine](#), which [Harry S. Truman](#) unveiled in a speech to a joint session of Congress on March 12, 1947.

. . . The president met privately with congressional leaders to get their support. [Sen. Arthur Vandenberg \(R-Mich.\)](#), chair of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee and a former isolationist, told Truman that . . . if he wanted public support, he had to "scare the hell out of the American people."

Similarly, mLA can expect to profit doubly in said ways because many/most/all members of the U.S. Congress (mmaMoC) can be expected to be scared doubly by (the prospect of) T2M-without-peak-MLC (i.e., without MPS being able to maximize the attractiveness of MLC to Ps).

Re: mmaMoC

A probable key to President Biden accepting mPO is maximizing the likelihood that MPS would turn its temporary-monopoly-via-EWPs into a durable monopoly

(e.g., durable via [demand- and supply-side economies of scope and scale](#)).

A key to this turn would be MPS not losing EWPs prematurely via Congressional action.

Toward “MPS . . . action,” President Biden and/or others could make it plain to MoC that, absent peak MLC (e.g., via EWPs), MoC should be scared:

- for their personal safety
- of losing their jobs ASAP via ENRAGING many voters (esp. women voters who aren’t Ps and aren’t daughters of HF-profiteers)

Re: MoC scared for their personal safety

From comedyvstrump2024.substack.com/p/summary-details:

[1/14/21 update continued:

Re: possible link between ICH [i.e., the 1/6/2021 insurrection on Capitol Hill] and Ps partnering-with/manipulating known groups of violent extremists (part 1 of 2)

— Summary (details follow) —

[Anonymous shell companies](#) (ASCs) were banned in the U.S. on 1/1/21, after: 1) the ban was legislated by veto-proof majorities in the House ([on 12/9/20](#)) and Senate ([12/11/20](#)), 2) President Trump’s [12/23/20 veto was overridden](#). Some/many Ps might’ve started on 12/11 to work to [\(de facto\) reverse said ban](#) (e.g., worked via ICH to [prime](#) members of Congress to receive variants of Pablo Escobar’s offers of “[plata o plomo](#)”).

— Re: “variants” (part 1 of 2) —

Said ban [portends HUGE* problems](#) (e.g., [financial distress/ruin](#), imprisonment) for many WEALTHY criminals (WCs; e.g., kleptocrats who, **of necessity****, [hire](#)

[contract killers often](#)).

— Re: “Ps might’ve started” —

Contract killers are Ps in “virtually all” cases (source: 2019 [article](#) in The Atlantic). So some/many WCs (e.g., non-Ps) need to RESIST PsIMP (e.g., by FUNDING*** (other) Ps after making them aware that PsIMP). So it’s possible some/many Ps are FUNDED and know that **a key to maximizing resistance to PsIMP is WCs owning ASCs in the U.S.** [my emphasis]

— Re: Ps might’ve “worked via ICH” —

From a 2018 [article](#) co-authored by President Biden:

[L]ack of any requirement to disclose the beneficial (i.e. “true”) ownership of limited liability companies (LLCs) makes it easy for foreign [and/or criminal] entities to establish [anonymous] shell companies in the United States. These shell companies can then . . . channel [dark] money directly to a super PAC.

From a 1/9/21 [article](#) on CNBC.com:

[P]ro-Trump dark money groups helped organize the rally that led to a deadly riot on Capitol Hill.

* From the 2020 [article](#) on DailyBeast.com titled “The Kleptocrats’ Money-Laundering Middleman Who Did Deals With Trump”**** (my emphases):


“[2020 book] [Kleptopia: How Dirty Money is Conquering the World](#)[, by a *Financial Times* reporter,] is the terrifying true story of how kleptocrats—those who rule through corruption—are uniting, clandestinely fusing their business interests, and forming alliances.”

“From Budapest to Beijing, Harare to Riyadh, they have seized power and are busily guzzling their nations’ wealth.”


“What they crave is legitimacy—for their money and their power. That means **hijacking democracies** . . .

. . . An architecture of **shell companies would keep the money incognito**, and if anyone did find out who it belonged to, provide plausible deniability for those who had received it.”

[From a 2019 [article](#) on NPR.org:



From <https://twitter.com/FrankRuscica/status/1358459268945612806>:



The U.S. is the easiest place to establish an anonymous company.

A 2014 academic study found that the U.S. is the easiest country in the world for terrorists and criminals to open anonymous shell companies to launder their money with impunity.^[11] While Delaware has become infamous for its ability to recruit companies to register there, no state collects the names of the true ('beneficial') owners of companies. ^[12] Indeed, in every state and the District of Columbia, it requires less identification to open a business than to get a library card.^[13]

From the 2019 [article](#) in *The Atlantic* titled “Russian-Style Kleptocracy Is Infiltrating America” (my emphases):

“[L]arge swaths of the American elite . . . **enabled** the creation of **shell companies** . . .”

“If every nation had signed on to the OECD standards, the effect would have been a hammerblow to . . . the vital infrastructure that allows kleptocratic money to flow unnoticed. In the end, the **United States was alone in refusing to join the OECD agreement, finalized in 2014.**”

Casey Michel @cjcMichel · Jan 1
THE U.S. JUST BANNED ANONYMOUS SHELL COMPANIES

Senate Overrides Trump's Veto of Defense Bill, Dealing a Legislative Blow
Republicans joined Democrats to deliver President Trump the first veto override of his presidency in the last days of his term in an overwhelmin...
nytimes.com

502 7.6K 27.5K

Casey Michel @cjcMichel · Jan 1
Pardon the all-caps, but the Senate's veto override today means that the U.S. A) just eliminated the primary building block in America's transformation into an offshore haven, and B) passed the most sweeping counter-kleptocracy reforms in decades—potentially ever.

Relevant people

Casey Michel @cjcMichel
Writing AMERICAN KLEPTOCRACY (Oct. '21, pre-order here: us.macmillan.com/books/97812502... @KleptocracyIntv, @FinTrCo, @HarrimanInst, @PeaceCorps Kazakhstan

What's happening

COVID-19 · LIVE
COVID-19: News and updates for New Jersey

Sports · Trending
Rose
507K Tweets

Trending in United States
The Who
1.14M Tweets

Barron's · February 5, 2021

— End of excerpt from said tweet —]

Potentially MUCH worse for WCs: Anti-Kleptopians have [expansive plans](#) for further actions (e.g., President Biden's [plan](#)).

** . . .

From said article on DailyBeast.com:

There is nothing they [i.e., Ks] will not do to maintain control . . .

*** Existing ASCs in the U.S. can operate [until 2023](#).

**** From said article on DailyBeast.com:

After 9/11, [U.S.] banks were on orders to pay some attention to whose money they were handling, lest they abet terrorism. Conveniently, there was another route to the West that retained its secrecy: real estate. The five [Mafia] families had laundered their criminal proceeds through American property for decades. Now the new kleptocrats followed them [e.g., via owning ASCs in the U.S.]

Trump's role [my emphasis] would be to rent out his name.

Which could (partly) explain said veto by Trump/TrumP . . .

. . .

Re: possible link between ICH and Ps partnering-with/manipulating known groups of violent extremists (part 2)

— Re: variants of plata-o-plomo (part 2) —

Summary (details follow)

. . .

Possibilities that fit our Time of COVID:

Some/many Ps/WCs know how to personalize bioweapons.

ICH [yielded DNA from offices, desks on the Senate floor](#), etc.

— End of excerpt from comedyvstrump2024.substack.com/p/summary-details —

Re: MoC scared of losing their jobs ASAP via ENRAGING voters (esp. women ...)

Non-peak MLC before 2024 could only help Donald Trump win re-election in 2024.

Trump's second term as president could yield:

- a pretend/pseudo effort to PS T2M
- a pseudo-variant of MLC that becomes less-civilized/more-uncivilized over time

From the 2002 [article](#) in U.K. newspaper *The Guardian* titled “‘They raped every German female from eight to 80’”:

Antony Beevor, author of the acclaimed new book about the fall of Berlin, on a massive war crime committed by the victorious Red Army.

“Red Army soldiers don’t believe in ‘individual liaisons’ with German women,” wrote the playwright Zakhar Agranenko in his diary when serving as an officer of marine infantry in East Prussia. “Nine, ten, twelve men at a time—they rape them on a collective basis.”

From a September 2021 [article](#) in U.K. newspaper *The Guardian*:

Jeffrey Epstein gave a series of interviews on film in 2019 in which his interviewer said the [financier and convicted sex trafficker](#) was “engaging, not threatening . . . [not] at all creepy . . . a sympathetic figure”.

The interviewer was the former Trump strategist [Steve Bannon](#).

The episode, [as reported by *The New York Times*](#), is described in a book of profiles, *Too Famous: The Rich, the Powerful, the Wishful, the Notorious*,

the Damned, [due out](#) in October. The author is Michael Wolff, who wrote *Fire and Fury* and two other exposés of Donald Trump’s presidency.

. . . Bannon, a hard-right political gadfly who chaired the Trump campaign in 2016, was a major source for *Fire and Fury*, Wolff’s huge bestseller about the first year of the Trump presidency. [Bannon left the White House in August 2017](#), amid uproar over a neo-Nazi march in Charlottesville, Virginia. But he remained a key figure in Trumpworld and an influence on Wolff’s next two books, *Siege* and *Landslide*. Trump [pardoned Bannon](#) on charges of fraud.

Re: presentation-errors above

From 2012 book [APE: Author, Publisher, Entrepreneur—How to Publish a Book](#), co-authored by [Guy Kawasaki](#), a former chief evangelist at Apple:

Every time I turn in the “final” copy of a book [Kawasaki has (co-)authored twelve books], I believe that it’s perfect. In *APE*’s case, upward of seventy-five people reviewed the manuscript, and [co-author] Shawn [Welch] and I read it until we were sick of it. Take a wild guess at how many errors our copy editor found. The answer is 1,500. [*APE* is 410 pages.]

And, of course, I’m preoccupied with PSing T2M (e.g., researching content for 22B-site) . . .

From <https://presidentialtransition.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2018/01/Presidential-Transition-Guide-2020.pdf>:

The primary goals of a transition for a new president include:

- Staffing the White House and the Executive Office of the President, developing a functional decision-making process and preparing to assume governing responsibility.
- Making more than 4,000 presidential appointments, more than 1,200 of which require Senate confirmation.
- Getting up to speed on more than 100 federal agencies and organizing and training leadership teams for each one.
- Building a policy platform for the new administration based on campaign promises, and planning executive actions, a management agenda, a budget proposal and potential legislation.
- Preparing a 100- to 200-day plan for executing the policies laid out during the campaign to help the new administration get off to a quick start.

3

Presidential Transition Guide

- Developing a strategy for communicating with the American people, Congress, the media, political appointees, the federal workforce and other stakeholders.

Put differently, time I won't be spending on copy-editing or otherwise improving the above is time I'll spend increasing your likelihood of profiting doubly . . .

From a 2021 [article](#) on the site of Harvard Law School's Program on Negotiation:

Your [best alternative to a negotiated agreement](#), or [BATNA](#), is often your best source of bargaining power.

Related:

From 2021 book [Forward: Notes on the Future of Our Democracy](#), by 2020

presidential candidate [Andrew Yang](#):

The initial incarnation of my “team” consisted of zero political professionals. Instead, it was a handful of young people crazy enough to join the presidential campaign of an anonymous entrepreneur.

. . . [O]ur little ragtag campaign would go on to raise tens of millions of dollars from hundreds of thousands of donors, outcompete the campaigns of five senators, six members of Congress, and the mayor of New York City, and get hundreds of thousands of votes nationwide . . .

Indicator that I can IMPROVE on Yang’s performance: Dwight Eisenhower was elected president in 1952.

From Eisenhower’s 1948 book [*Crusade in Europe: A Personal Account of World War II*](#):

From 1931 onward a number of senior officers of the Army had frequently expressed to me their conviction that the world was heading straight toward another World War. I shared these views.

Portentously, Eisenhower came to that conviction several/many years before 1931.

From *Crusade in Europe*:

For three years, soon after the first World War [ended in 1918], I served under one of the most accomplished soldiers of our time, Major General Fox Conner . . . Again and again General Conner said to me, “We cannot escape another Great War[”] . . .

. . . In 1928, I went to serve as a special assistant in the office of the Assistant Secretary of War, where my duties were quickly expanded to include confidential work for the Chief of Staff of the Army.

In these positions I had been forced to examine world-wide military matters

and to study concretely such subjects as the mobilization and composition of armies, the role of air forces and navies in war, tendencies toward mechanization, and the acute dependence of all elements of military life upon the industrial capacity of the nation. This last was to me of especial importance because of my intense belief that large-scale motorization and mechanization and the development of air forces in unprecedented strength would characterize successful military forces of the future. On this subject I wrote a number of studies and reports. Holding these convictions, I knew that any sane preparation for war involved also sound plans for the prompt mobilization of industry. The years devoted to work of this kind [i.e., to developing sound plans of said kind] . . .

— End of 66-pager —

Re: money stolen from me by relatives

Details upon request (e.g., court records).

Re: “seed-investors . . . gain part of a Rockefeller-ian fortune”



Re: Rockefeller-ian

John D. Rockefeller’s [Standard Oil](#) made him the [richest person since](#) the [Industrial Revolution](#).

From said entry of Romer’s on Economic Growth:

Perhaps the most important ideas of all are meta-ideas—ideas about how to support the production and transmission of other ideas. . . . North Americans invented the modern research university . . .

From 2014 book [SuperIntelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies](#), published by Oxford University Press:



From 2020 book [*The Future Is Faster Than You Think: How Converging Technologies Are Transforming Business, Industries, and Our Lives*](#) (my emphases):

In the 1990s, Ray Kurzweil, the director of engineering at Google . . . discovered that once a technology becomes digital—that is, once it can be programmed in the ones and zeros of computer code—it hops on the back of Moore’s Law and begins **accelerating exponentially**.

. . . The technologies now accelerating at this rate include some of the most potent innovations we have yet dreamed up: quantum computers, **artificial intelligence** . . .

. . . [F]ormerly independent waves of exponentially accelerating technology are beginning to converge . . . For example, the speed of drug development is accelerating, not only because biotechnology is progressing at an exponential rate, but because **artificial intelligence** . . .

From *Disrupting Class: How Disruptive Innovation Will Change the Way the World Learns*:

Students need customized pathways and paces to learn.

. . . The second [phase of the disruption of standardized education] will be the emergence of a user network, whose analogues in other industries would be eBay . . .

From 2015 book [*The End of College: Creating the Future of Learning and the University of Everywhere*](#):

“I asked Michael [Staton, a partner in a VC firm focused on education and technology] to introduce me to some of the startups that he found most exciting . . .

[Clayton] Christensen was cited ad nauseum by everyone we met.”
“The University of Everywhere will solve the basic problem that has bedeviled universities since they were first invented over a millennium ago: how to provide a personalized, individual education to large numbers of people at a reasonable price.”

2007 book [*StrengthsFinder 2.0*](#):

- [primes](#) readers to seek out CE for themselves, their children, et al.
- is #2 on Amazon.com’s [list](#) of best-selling books of 2016, #5 on the 2015 list, #1 on 2014 and 2013, #5 on 2012, #4 on 2011, #7 on 2010, #6 on 2009, #9 on 2008 and 2007, #25 on 2017, #78 on 2018 and #70 on 2019

Re: seed-investors . . . gain part of . . .

Summary (details follow)

State of (T)error (So(T)) will be a serial novel.

So(T) can be launched at any time, because chapter one can be a prehistory that adapts this write-up.

So(T) will become popular via word-of-mouth (WoM), not least because of: 1) my finder's-fee offer, 2) the specter of Donald Trump being re-elected president in 2024.

A key to expediting WoM re: *So(T)* is messaging that reaches many people at once.

An ideal partner for one-to-many messaging re: *So(T)* is the Democratic Party (DP).

A key to motivating DP is partnering with the [Forward Party](#) (FP), a proto-third-party launched recently by Andrew Yang et al.

Keys to partnering with FP ASAP include making:

- FP aware of history that indicates OSG could provide a superior alternative to FP
- readers of *SoT* aware of *So(T)* (e.g., readers who: 1) rated/reviewed *SoT* on Goodreads.com and/or Amazon.com, 2) could be made aware via advertisements that are funded by OSG's seed-investors)

Re: So(T) will become popular via WoM

From a 2012 [article](#) on TheAtlantic.com:

After 'Fifty Shades of Grey,' What's Next for Self-Publishing?

The erotic novel became a *New York Times* bestseller without a traditional publisher, thanks to word of mouth.

From 2017 book [*Hit Makers: The Science of Popularity in an Age of Distraction*](#):

“In the spring and summer of 2012, Random House was printing one million copies of the *Fifty Shades* trilogy every week. Now with more than 150 million sold copies, *Fifty Shades of Grey* is the bestselling book in the history of Random House.”

“There is no question that a good deal of the success of *Fifty Shades* was due to ordinary word of mouth.”

“[S]ome ideas and products really are more infectious than others. They are shared and discussed at higher-than-average rates.”

Re: “not least because of . . . the specter of Donald Trump being re-elected president in 2024”

From news.google.com:

<https://nymag.com> > 2021/07 > trump-books-publishing

Trump Is a Godsend for Book Publishers. He's Also a Nightmare.

Jul 19, 2021 — The past six months have been good to the **book-publishing** industry. ... The **Trump boom** didn't really begin until January 2018, when Wolff's ...

<https://www.nytimes.com> > 2020/08/31 > trump-books

Trump Books Keep Coming, and Readers Can't Stop Buying

Sep 10, 2021 — But since President **Trump** entered office, **books** about his ... The **boom** times have extended beyond dishy memoirs and firebrand defenders.

<https://variety.com> > biz > news > trump-political-book-sa...


Trump Powers Boom Time for Book Publishers - Variety

President Donald **Trump** may not be much of a reader, but he's been a gift to **book publishers**. Sales of political **books** are up 25% year to ...
Sep 19, 2018

<https://newrepublic.com> > article > donald-trump-perma...

Donald Trump Has Permanently Changed the Publishing ...

Jul 22, 2020 — Now the **publishing** industry is awash with **Trump** bestsellers. ... letters to this **boom** time: **Books** about the president are the lifeblood of ...



From the 2020 [book](#) by Mary Trump, the clinical psychologist (Ph.D.) who's the president's niece:

A case could be made that he [Trump] also meets the criteria for antisocial personality disorder, which in its most severe form is generally considered sociopathy.

From the 2018 [article](#) on PsychologyToday.com titled “The Differences Between Psychopaths and Sociopaths”:

Many psychiatrists, [forensic](#) psychologists, criminologists, and police officers . . . use the terms *sociopath* and *psychopath* interchangeably.

From a 2019 [article](#) in *The Atlantic* by [a co-director of the Brennan Center at NYU Law School](#):

“The moment the president declares a ‘national emergency’—a decision that is entirely within his discretion—he is able to set aside many of the legal limits on his authority.”

“From seizing control of the internet to declaring martial law, President

Trump may legally do all kinds of extraordinary things.”

“[W]hat if a president, backed into a corner and facing electoral defeat or impeachment, were to declare an emergency for the sake of holding on to power? In that scenario, our laws and institutions might not save us from a presidential power grab. They might be what takes us down.”

“[P]residents have explored the outer limits of their constitutional emergency authority in a series of directives known as Presidential Emergency Action Documents [PEADs].”

From an August 2020 [article](#) on CBSnews.com:

PEADs undergo periodic revision. And we know that the Department of Justice is in the middle of one of these periodic reviews and revisions. So, we have to imagine what the Trump administration might be doing with these documents and what authorities this administration might be trying to give itself.

Re: a key to expediting WoM re: So(T) is messaging that reaches many people at once

From *Hit Makers*:

[A]lmost all popular products and ideas have blockbuster moments where they spread from one source to many, many individuals at the same time . . .

Re: FP

From *Forward: Notes on the Future of Our Democracy*:

[O]ur politics needs a new dynamic. The solution is the emergence of a viable third party. More than 60 percent of Americans say that both political parties are out of touch, while 57 percent say there is a need for a major third party.

. . . [T]o start a viable third party in the United States . . . [y]ou would need a candidate with a national following who could raise tens of millions of dollars and reach people independently.

You would need a crisis that woke up millions to the fact that our government is not working for us.

You would need a set of unifying ideas and principles that Americans of every alignment could get excited about.

More than that, you would need millions of us getting together and saying, “Enough is enough. Things aren’t working very well, and I want them to work better.”

We can do all of the above.

Energy and passion won’t accomplish anything if all efforts are pitted in opposition to each other and the political system is designed to reward inertia. It’s the system itself that needs to be amended.

It’s not left or right; it’s Forward.

If you want to solve these problems, welcome to the Forward Party.

Keyword re: motivating DP via partnering with FP

Fear

Re: partnering with FP

From *Forward: Notes on the Future of Our Democracy*:

More than a million Americans supported my campaign and are on my mailing list. My social media following is more than three million across platforms.

Re: OSG could provide a superior alternative to FP

History indicates OSG could leverage Adver-ties, AoAICE and my disruptive innovations.

From 2007 book [*The Populist Vision*](#), published by Oxford University Press:

“[T]he Populist revolt [in the U.S. during the 1890s] reflected a conflict over divergent paths of modern capitalist development.

. . . By the 1880s, two firmly entrenched parties dominated the political scene. At the national level, Democrats and Republicans held much in common as they shared a conservatism that was acceptable to the financial and corporate establishment.

. . . Progressive Era legislation in the first years of the new [i.e., 20th] century expanded the role of government in American life and laid the foundations of modern political development. Populism provided an impetus for this modernizing process, with many of their demands co-opted and refashioned by progressive Democrats and Republicans.”

“The Farmers’ Alliance [was] the largest and most important constituency of what would become the Populist coalition [of the 1890s].

. . . From its earliest stirring [in the 1870s], the Farmers’ Alliance defined itself as an educational movement.

. . . The farmers needed to organize for self-education to better engage the complex problems of modern society . . . To get people reading and thinking required what [Alliance president Macune] described as a modern educational machine. The engine driving this machine was the reform press.

. . . By the late 1880s, the Alliance had grown to an intellectual enterprise that stretched across much of rural America . . . [The Alliance] built lecture circuits across thirty states and a network of approximately one thousand

weekly newspapers.”

“The Farmers’ Alliance . . . realized that without the political levers of control, even the best-laid business plans would come to naught. . . . Convictions about . . . political action flowed directly from business strategies.

. . . Most of the Populist ‘revolt’ took place not in the streets but in lodge meetings and convention halls, where participants pored over problems of commerce and government and adopted resolutions for the creation or expansion of state and federal agencies, institutes, commissions, departments and bureaus.”

“A Texas experiment provided the most widely imitated prototype . . . The Texas Farmers’ Alliance Exchange . . . would offer Texas cotton growers all the advantages of a centralized and regulated market, with a rational structure and direct access to credit and to the commercial centers . . . From Georgia to California the Farmers’ Alliance set up state exchanges.”

From 2016 book [*This Is an Uprising: How Nonviolent Revolt Is Shaping the Twenty-First Century*](#):

After two years of research, Chenoweth crunched the numbers. Examining the first data set of 323 campaigns [i.e., social movements], she . . . found a direct correlation between the success of a campaign and the popular involvement [in it.]

. . . Chenoweth found that, in fact, “no campaigns failed once they’d achieved the active and sustained participation of just 3.5 percent of the population[?]” . . .

This is not an insignificant number: in the United States, 3.5 percent of the population would mean gaining the support of some 11 million individuals.

. . . Spurring people to this level of engagement is not easy.

Re: making readers of SoT aware of So(T)

Enter seed-investors who are amenable to investing in OSG via convertible debt.

From 2019 book [*Venture Deals: Be Smarter Than Your Lawyer and Venture Capitalist*](#) (Fourth Edition):

Today, many angel investors and accelerators invest primarily using convertible debt. In the majority of cases, unless the company fails to raise any more money, this is a temporary state of financing, because the debt is intended to convert into equity at a later date.

So, what is convertible debt? Simple. It's debt. It's a loan. **With a loan, you don't have to argue about valuation** [my emphasis], although you may argue about potential future valuations using the concept of a valuation cap, which we will discuss further on. The fundamental notion is that when a company raises a future round of equity financing, the money loaned to the company via the convertible debt round converts into whatever type of stock the company sells under whatever terms it agrees to in the future. In exchange for the convertible debt, the investors get a modest interest rate and typically convert at a discount to the price to the next round.

While you're reviewing the details (linked-to) above, I'll work out (some) specifics re: OSG's convertible-debt offering.

Re: it's (very) likely that OSG's seed-investors would be able to sell their shares soon after So(T) launches

OSG will leverage *So(T)* to raise equity-crowdfunding if OSG isn't funded via EWPs.

Possible way to expedite EWP-funding:

So(T) features the character in *SoT* based on Hillary Clinton, or features a very similar character.

Chapter two of *So(T)* makes it easy for Clinton to recognize/infer that:

She could own 20% of OSG if she made President Biden aware of mPO.

If she owned said 20%, she would be the logical choice to manage* OSG's relationship with the U.S. government (USG) for the next 2-3 years (e.g., she'd mastermind/oversee: 1) efforts to preserve/expand OSG's EWPs, 2) much of OSG's use of EWPs (e.g., use in partnership with relevant USG departments/agencies and with USG's foreign allies)).

Her role at OSG could position her to be elected president in 2024, not least because:

While at OSG she could work to ensure that it's impossible for a U.S. president to unilaterally divest OSG of its EWPs (e.g., a president whose (grand)children have been taken hostage by Ps who demand said divestiture).

Successful completion of said work-to-ensure would eliminate my need to run for president in 2024.

* i.e., manage while earning a huge salary

To maximize WoM re: chapter two of *So(T)*, chapter one previews: 1) the above re: chapter two, 2) more Clinton-FRIENDLY details*.

* From 2017 book [*What Happened*](#), by Hillary Clinton (my emphases):

I did not want to be President because I want power for power's sake. I wanted power to do what I could to help solve problems and prepare the country for the future. It's audacious for anyone to believe he or she should be President, but I did. I started calling policy experts, reading thick binders of memos, and making lists of problems that needed more thought.

I got excited thinking about all the ways we could **make the economy**

stronger and fairer, improve health care and expand coverage, make college more affordable and job training programs more effective, and tackle big challenges, such as climate change and **terrorism**. It was honestly a lot of fun.

Re: OSG will make the economy fairer and make education more affordable

From a write-up of mine that pre-dates my awareness that OSG might launch as a de facto monopolist:

OSG will:

- *race* to provide a loan program for consumers of AI and/or CE (i.e., loans that will be variants of today's [“private” student loans](#))
- learn continuously as a means of:
 - lowering the interest rates of AI/CE loans
 - providing alternatives to loans (e.g., [income-share agreements](#), [livelihood insurance](#))
 - improving these alternatives

If OSG launches via EWP, the company could foster competition in said lending/learning by spinning-off multiple lenders, sharing data with lenders, etc.

Re: OSG will improve health care and expand coverage

From a different write-up of mine that pre-dates my awareness that OSG might launch as a de facto monopolist:

Summary (some details follow; more upon request)

My work will yield several/many next-gen Integrated Fixed-Fee Providers of health care [IFFPHCs].

...

Re: “will yield”

My Amazon-/VC-praised design of a next-gen variant of LinkedIn is the “1.0” online market in my business plan for establishing the Amazon.com of AI and CE.

Several/many BIG companies can be expected to implement said design (e.g., to clone the first implementation).

In part to popularize their implementations, said BigCos can be expected to become Professional Employer Organizations (PEOs), en route to becoming IFFPHCs.

Re: PEOs

From a 2002 [article](#) by Peter Drucker that appeared in *Harvard Business Review*:

“PEOs . . . legally make ‘nonemployees’ out of people who work for a business [that’s a client of a PEO (i.e., the PEO serves as the official employer of record)].

. . . [The PEOs’] clients, even the biggest, [lack] the critical mass . . . to manage, place and satisfy the highly specialized knowledge [worker] . . . This is what the PEO can provide . . . [Moreover,] PEOs can take care of almost every task in employee management and relations . . . **[including hiring [and] training [my emphasis].**”

“In the 1990s, the fastest growing business services were those provided by . . . PEOs.”

From a 2019 [white paper](#) published by the National Association of PEOs (NAPEO):



Figure 2. Differences in costs for new PEO clients by cost category.

From the [Industry Statistics section](#) of NAPEO's website (copied on 11/23/19):

- PEOs provide services to 175,000 small and mid-sized businesses, employing 3.7 million people.
- There are 907 PEOs in the United States.
- The total employment represented by the PEO industry is roughly the same as the combined number of employees for Walmart (United States only), Amazon, IBM, FedEx, Starbucks, AT&T, Wells Fargo, Apple, and Google.
- The PEO industry's 175,000 clients represent 15 percent of all employers with 10 to 99 employees.
- Between 2008 and 2017, the number of WSEs employed in the PEO industry grew at a compounded annual rate of 8.3 percent. This is roughly 14 times higher than the compounded annual growth rate of employment in the economy overall during the same period.

Re: IFFPHCs

From a 2016 [article](#):

Kaiser Permanente of California and Intermountain Health Systems in Utah are two well-known IFF providers in the U.S. Unlike the traditional health system model where the hospital or health network profits by increasing the patient volume, IFF providers profit when their members remain healthy and stay out of the hospital. Therefore, even if hospitals see declining revenues and profits, the integrated network as a whole sees growth in revenues and profits. The model grows from member retention, so focus on care quality and patient satisfaction are core values.

From 2009 book [*The Innovator's Prescription: A Disruptive Solution for Health Care*](#), co-authored by Clayton Christensen, the [originator of the canonical models](#) of disruptive innovation (my emphases):

“We predict that in areas where an integrated fixed-fee provider [IFFPHC] aggressively uses disruptive business models to provide better care at lower cost, they will prosper, and overall health-care costs will drop without a compromise in quality or convenience of care.”

“Until a powerful player with sufficient scale and scope . . . create[s] a new value network in which the disruptive entities can combine to form a new system, health care will remain expensive for all, and inaccessible to many, for a very long time.

. . . The **ideal entity** that puts together the disruptive value network is one whose **dominant profit formula makes money by keeping us healthy**, not just by making us well. It must be one whose **tenure with us is long . . . knows us individually**.

. . . There are several important characteristics of [IFFPHCs] . . . They have created and operate an information system that glues . . . different providers together to properly coordinate care . . . **[IFFPHCs] are large employers themselves . . .** We predict that major [IFFPHCs] will begin **training their own doctors . . .** The training they provide will have a much stronger focus on how to design and preside over value-adding processes, and how to manage other professionals who are ensconced within those workflows.”

— End of excerpt from “a different write-up of mine” —

From *The Science and Technology of Growing Young*:

[Y]our “personalome”—the incredibly sophisticated and data-rich picture of your health, which is changing how medicine is practiced. . . . [F]ast, inexpensive, and highly reliable personalome analysis will be ubiquitous in the Near Horizon of Longevity. The data collected will predict and preempt disease. It will empower the prescription of bespoke treatments. It will facilitate faster, cheaper development of pharmaceuticals. And it will arm each and every one of us with knowledge about our biology that we can use to eat right, live well, and remain healthy for the longest possible time. The **key to making all of that happen** again lies in the power of **artificial intelligence**.

— End of “more Clinton-FRIENDLY details” —

More re: So(T) will become popular via WoM

It seems likely that *So(T)* will incline many/most Americans to view me as presidential.

From 2018 book [*Eisenhower: Becoming the Leader of the Free World*](#), published by Johns Hopkins University Press:

Eisenhower swept the [1952] election and pushed the Democratic Party out of the White House for the first time since 1933. Ike brought in 55 percent of the votes and 442 of the nation’s 531 electoral votes.

From 1998 book [*Waging Peace: How Eisenhower Shaped an Enduring Cold War Strategy*](#), published by Oxford University Press:

While the cold war originated under Harry S. Truman, it took its mature form under Eisenhower. He developed the first coherent and sustainable cold war strategy suitable for the basic conditions that would prevail during the

following decades.

Eisenhower did not inherit such a strategy from Truman. The latter's containment policy evolved by stages between 1945 and 1953, largely as a reaction to crises . . .

From 2018 book [*The Age of Eisenhower: America and the World in the 1950s*](#) (my emphases):

Eisenhower spent much of his time forging a global role for the United States. Unlike the isolationist faction in his own party, he believed that to defend freedom and liberty at home, Americans would have to defend these principles overseas as well.

These views did not lead Eisenhower to seek war. On the contrary, he ended active hostilities in Korea, avoided U.S. military intervention in Indochina in 1954, deterred China's military adventures in the Taiwan Straits in 1955 and 1958, compelled Britain and France to reverse their ill-conceived invasion of Egypt in 1956, and even established stable personal relations with the Soviet leader Nikita Khrushchev. Eisenhower worked hard, and successfully, to keep the peace.

Though voters put Democrats in charge of Congress, they loved Eisenhower: he garnered an astonishing average approval rating of 65 percent during his eight years in office, higher than Ronald Reagan (53 percent) or Bill Clinton (55 percent). More striking, **Eisenhower found support in both parties. Over eight years, 50 percent of Democrats approved of his performance.** In our more polarized times, such cross-party affinity is rare. On average only 23 percent of Democrats approved of George W. Bush during his eight years in office, while a mere 14 percent of Republicans offered their approval of Barack Obama during his two terms. **Eisenhower had that rarest of gifts in politics: he brought Americans together.**

From *Eisenhower: Becoming the Leader of the Free World*:

“He and his supporters knew all too well that he had much to learn about leadership in American politics . . .”

“[H]e was a beginner at dealing with wily political opponents, lobbyists, and that part of the media oriented toward either [Republican] Senator Taft [who opposed Eisenhower in the [GOP primaries of 1952](#)] or the Democratic Party.”

“Throughout the 1952 campaign against Democrat Adlai Stevenson, however, he was as much a follower as a leader. He still felt like a novice at politics, and he was right.”

“Determined to win, Ike took a crash course in American politics . . .”

From 1990 book [Eisenhower: Soldier and President](#):

[O]ne searches in vain for the fitting accolades to acknowledge the accomplishments of Dwight D. Eisenhower in the Second World War—of what he had endured, of what he had contributed to the final victory, of his place in military history.

Fortunately, George C. Marshall, next to Eisenhower himself the man most responsible for Eisenhower’s success, spoke for the nation and its allies, as well as the U. S. Army, when he replied to Eisenhower’s last wartime message, “You have completed your mission with the greatest victory in the history of warfare,” Marshall began. “You have commanded with outstanding success the most powerful military force that has ever been assembled. You have met and successfully disposed of every conceivable difficulty incident to varied national interests and international political problems of unprecedented complications.” Eisenhower, Marshall said, had triumphed over inconceivable logistical problems and military obstacles.

“Through all of this, since the day of your arrival in England three years ago, you have been selfless in your actions, always sound and tolerant in your judgments and altogether admirable in the courage and wisdom of your

military decisions. You have made history, great history for the good of mankind and you have stood for all we hope and admire in an officer of the United States Army. These are my tributes and my personal thanks.”

It was the highest possible praise from the best possible source. It had been earned.

. . . He was the most successful general of the greatest war ever fought.

From [*The Art of War*](#), by Sun Tzu:

The supreme art of war is to subdue the enemy without fighting.

— End of 155-page write-up —

Re: Biden(’s family) might fear my presidency

None of them should fear me. Details follow; KWs: said historical figure, forgiveness.

From <https://youinsimulation.substack.com/p/re-prc-etc-part-2> (excerpt 1 of 2):

Maximizing the yield from said continuous improvement [of MLC] requires:

- Ps’ awareness (e.g., via comedies that showcase the “complexification” of orgies-for-free)
- Ps’ confidence that they won’t be bait-and-switched (e.g., confidence via said parallels between me and a historical figure that strengthen the simcom case)

. . .

Said historical figure

[Joseph](#) of the ([Hebrew](#)) [Bible](#)

...

Re: said parallels might make Ps CONFIDENT that they wouldn't be denied MLC via being bait-and-switched

CONFIDENT in particular because a parallel would be added/strengthened by MPS not baiting-and-switching . . .

From 2019 book [*Joseph and the Way of Forgiveness*](#) (my emphases):

“JOSEPH AND HIS BROTHERS” is the final section of the Book of Genesis. Tolstoy called it the most beautiful story in the world. It takes its hero through a death and transformation, from the charming but arrogant brat of its first part to the master of reality of its last, and it has an **all-embracing forgiveness at its core.**

. . . The next thing was to let these terrified men know that he had forgiven them . . . Actually, forgiveness was an inaccurate word for what he was experiencing, since it implies that a magnanimous “I” grants something to a not-necessarily-deserving “you.” It wasn’t like that at all. He wasn’t granting anything or even doing anything. He realized that his brothers were guilty, but he also saw the innocence in that guilt. **True forgiveness**, he had learned, is the **realization that there is nothing to forgive. His brothers simply hadn’t known what they were doing. And given the violence of their emotions, there was nothing else they could have done.**

— End of excerpt #1 from said URL —

Excerpt #2:

Nine of said parallels/similarities

— #1 —

From [history-based](#) novel [*Joseph and His Brothers*](#)*, by Nobel laureate Thomas

Mann:

[Joseph:] “Literature is a great thing. But greater still, to be sure, is when the life one lives is a story—and that we are in a story together, a most excellent one at that, I am more and more convinced with time.”

* published in four parts (1933-1943)

— #2 —

I’m Jewish. (My maternal great-grandparents immigrated to the U.S. from Poland in the early 1900s.)

From 1995 book [*The Jewish Religion: A Companion*](#), published by Oxford University Press:

From the point of view of the tradition, the Joseph episode is seen as part of the divine plan . . .

— #3 —

Joseph [interpreted a dream](#), then [partnered with his country’s political leader](#) to provide the benefits of the interpretation.

Similarly, the American dream of a land of opportunity . . . updated for the age of AI, CE, crowd-investment, PsIMP . . . my ongoing attempt to partner via said FFO . . .

— #4 —

KWs: encounter between foresight and evil.

From *Joseph and His Brothers*:

Joseph’s grand hoarding of grain and his countless cone-shaped storehouses

had appeared to them [i.e., Egyptians] in a magical light. But what seemed truly magical to them was the encounter between foresight and evil here . . .

— #5 —

Joseph [enriched his country's leader](#), and [improved the economic well-being of almost all people](#).

. . .

— #6 —

KWs: advancing longevity science.

From *Joseph and His Brothers*:

The name that Joseph received along with his titles was a name of life. It meant “The god”—Atôn, one did not have to name the name—“speaks: ‘May life be with you!’” Even that was not yet its complete meaning. Every ear that heard it in those days took this to signify not only “May you yourself live,” but also “May you be a bringer of life, may you spread life and provide life’s nourishment to the many.”

From 2020 book [The Future Is Faster Than You Think: How Converging Technologies Are Transforming Business, Industries, and Our Lives](#):

[Google’s] Ray Kurzweil and longevity expert Aubrey de Grey have begun talking about “longevity escape velocity,” or the idea that soon, science will be able to extend our lives by a year for every year we live. In other words, once across this threshold, we’ll literally be staying one step ahead of death. Kurzweil thinks this threshold is about twelve years away, while de Grey puts it thirty years out.

From 2019 book [Lifespan: Why We Age—and Why We Don't Have To](#), by [the Harvard geneticist who's one of Time magazine's "100 most influential people"](#) of

2014:

“It is not at all extravagant to expect that someday living to 150 will be standard. And if the Information Theory of Aging is sound, there may be no upward limit; we could potentially reset the epigenome in perpetuity.”

“How long will it be before we are able to reset our epigenome, either with molecules we ingest or by genetically modifying our bodies, as my student now does in mice? How long until we can destroy senescent cells, either by drugs or outright vaccination? How long until we can replace parts of organs, grow entire ones in genetically altered farm animals, or create them in a 3D printer? A couple of decades, perhaps. Maybe three. One or all of those innovations is coming well within the ever-increasing lifespans of most of us, though. And when that happens, how many more years will we get? The maximum potential could be centuries . . .”

“If I am wrong, it might be that I was too conservative in my predictions.”

“When technologies go exponential, even experts can be blindsided.”

“We often fail to acknowledge that knowledge is multiplicative and technologies are synergistic.”

Title of a 2020 [article](#) on CNBC.com:


The ultra-rich are investing in companies trying to reverse aging. Is it going to work?

From [cbinsights.com](#):



Funding to longevity startups sees a huge jump

Amount of funding (\$M) and number of deals from 2013 – 2018 (as of 9/25/2018)



From 2020 book [*Longevity Industry 1.0*](#) (my emphases):

“AI for Longevity is the ‘smart money’ sector of the industry, and can achieve enormous results and accelerated timelines in terms of progress in actual, tangible, real-world Healthy Human Longevity, even with comparatively tiny levels of financing compared to other sectors.”


“The intensive application of AI to all stages of Longevity and Preventive Medicine R&D has the potential to rapidly accelerate the clinical translation of both validated and experimental diagnostics, prognostics and therapeutics, to empower patients to become the CEOs of their own health through continuous AI-driven monitoring of minor fluctuations in biomarkers . . .”

“AI will come into prominence as the critical and fundamental driver of progress in the industry . . .”

From November 30, 2020 on Google News:


DeepMind's AI can predict protein folding

Top coverage

 MIT Technology Review


DeepMind's protein-folding AI has solved a 50-year-old grand challenge of biology

7 hours ago



 DeepMind

Protein folding explained

 7 hours ago

THE WEEK

A chess-playing AI network solved a 50-year-old biological dilemma, potentially revolutionizing drug development

5 hours ago



 Nature.com

'It will change everything': DeepMind's AI makes gigantic leap in solving protein structures

7 hours ago

— #7 —

KW: flow*.

From an [entry](#) on the blog of The Jewish Theological Seminary:

[O]f all the characters in the Torah—the Rabbis attributed to Joseph the appellation, “*ha-Tzadik*” (the righteous). No other character in all of the Tanakh is given this attribute by the Rabbis.

From the Wikipedia [entry](#) re: Tzadiks:

Since the late 17th century, in [Hasidic Judaism](#), the institution of the mystical tzadik as a divine channel assumed central importance . . .
[Tzadiks] [embody and channel](#) the [Divine flow](#) . . .

From *The Jewish Religion: A Companion*** (my emphases):

The prayer of the Zaddik for his followers to be blessed with “children, life

and sustenance” is found in many a Hasidic text. The basis in the Talmud is saying ([Moed Katan 28a](#)): “Life, children and sustenance depend not on merit but on mazal.” . . . Hasidim, treating the word mazal as if it came from a root meaning to **flow**, use the Talmudic passage for the doctrine of tzaddikim. Even if a man does not deserve to have good health, sustenance and children on his own merits, he may be given them as a result of the special “**flow**” of divine blessing through the “channel” that is the tzaddik.

. . .

** The above excerpt from *TJR* is [online](#).

— #8 —

KWs: mistreatment by relatives.

From the Wikipedia [entry](#) about Joseph:

Joseph’s half-brothers . . . plotted to kill him, with the exception of [Reuben](#) . . . Upon imprisoning Joseph, the brothers saw a camel caravan carrying spices and perfumes to Egypt, and sold Joseph to these merchants [i.e., sold him into slavery].

Sets of relatives of mine (Rs) have stolen ~\$350K from me (in 2021 dollars), twice making my financial situation precarious and inflicting **COSTLY** delays to my career/AI-preneurial progress. Among the Rs: deceased aunt Helen Freedman and her daughter Judy; respectively, a past executive director (ED) of [Americans for a Safe Israel](#) (AFSI) and a present co-ED. Re: AFSI, via a 2009 [article](#):

“Kahane.org, which is listed on the U.S. Treasury Department’s list of terrorist organizations.

In terms of ideology and fundraising, ‘there’s a pretty thin line between the **Americans for a Safe Israel** [my emphasis] and the Kahanists,’ said University of Pennsylvania political scientist Ian Lustick, one of the few

scholars who has studied Jewish extremist groups in depth.”

“Last week’s terrorist killing of four Israeli Arabs has cast light on a network of ties linking . . . American Jewish groups [e.g., AFSI] to an extremist fringe in Israel that nurtured the Jewish gunman.”

My aunt in 2009: ED of AFSI.

From a 2002 [article](#) in *The Los Angeles Times*:

In 1994, AFSI’s West Coast head proclaimed Dr. Baruch Goldstein a hero and a Jewish martyr for having gunned down 29 Arabs at prayer in a West Bank mosque . . .

My aunt began working at AFSI in 1995.

Speaker at a 2015 AFSI [event](#): Meir Jolovitz. Re: Jolovitz, via a 2019 [article](#):

Meir Jolovitz, the national director of the [Kahanist] JDL in NY, “declared war on the enemies of the Jews” at a press conference with gun-toting, black-bereted members of the JDL. “When criminal justice is hand-cuffed,” he said, “we have to turn to Jewish justice.”

From the Wikipedia [entry](#) re: the JDL:

The Jewish Defense League (JDL) is a Jewish far-right religious-political organization . . . It was classified as “a right-wing terrorist group” by the FBI in 2001 and is considered a radical organization by the Southern Poverty Law Center [2][3]. According to the FBI, the JDL has been involved in plotting and executing acts of terrorism within the United States [2][4].

. . . According to the [Anti-Defamation League](#), the JDL consists only of “thugs and hooligans” [13].

From a 2009 [article](#):

A New York City rabbi condemned the call to assassinate Palestinian leaders issued at his synagogue.

He added the sponsoring organization, **Americans for a Safe Israel** [my emphasis], is no longer welcome to host events at his synagogue.

. . . Nadia Matar, the co-chairwoman of Women in Green, called for Israel to assassinate “all terrorist leaders, starting with Mahmoud Abbas [then the [President of the Palestinian National Authority](#)]” . . . Her remarks were greeted with applause.

From a 2017 [issue](#) of an AFSI newsletter:

[T]he group arrived in Hebron to celebrate Hebron Day there with original “settlers.” Yehudit Katzover, co-leader of Women in Green and spearhead of the Sovereignty Conferences, was there with WIG co-leader **Nadia Matar** [my emphasis]. . . . The AFSI group heard their amazing stories about the efforts to reclaim Hebron after the 1967 victories.

— #9 —


Joseph focused on business as a means of serving his values/God.

From *Joseph and His Brothers*:

“[Joseph to his brothers: ‘Y]our brother is no divine hero, no messenger of spiritual salvation, but merely a man of business . . .”

“Joseph regarded it as his duty to encourage such plans and to assist God in their prosecution as best he knew how.”

Martin Luther King Jr.: “The arc of the moral universe is long, but it bends toward justice.”



From *The Goodness Paradox: The Strange Relationship Between Virtue and Violence in Human Evolution*:

[T]here is no reason to regard our domestication as complete. How much more domesticated we could become . . . is an open question. Given sufficient sanctions against reactive aggressors . . . humans could in theory become as hard to rile as lop-eared rabbits at a petting farm, which remain gentle even when stroked repeatedly by dozens of eager children.

...

— End of parallel/similarity #9 —

Re: EWPs-to-MPS would add a parallel of said kind that STRENGTHENS

the simcom case

From *Joseph and His Brothers*:

“[Pharaoh to Joseph:] ‘I will invest you with the sovereignty and splendor of my throne. . . .’

. . . [T]he conditions that dominated the first crucial ten to fourteen years of his [i.e., Joseph’s] tenure in office—conditions in anticipation of which he had been installed . . . made the man who had predicted it—who had taken precautions and knew how to help people get through it tolerably well—practically the most important figure in the empire and gave to his decrees a life-and-death significance unlike those of any others.”

“‘If I am not mistaken as to the man,’ Joseph continued, ‘—and how could I be, since Pharaoh will choose him [to fill the role that Joseph described[*], then was chosen by Pharaoh to fill]—he will likewise direct his attention beyond the borders of the Two Lands [i.e., Egypt] and see to it that disloyalty is smothered . . .’”

* Another parallel . . .


. . .

Bonus motivation for President Biden to delegate EWPs to MPS

From a 2020 [report](#) by the Pew Research Center:

More than six-in-ten U.S. adults say it is 'very important' to them to have a president who personally lives a moral, ethical life

% of U.S. adults who say it is _____ to them to have a president of the United States who ...



Importantly, Joseph is highly regarded by Muslims and many Christians.

From the Wikipedia [entry](#) re: Joseph:

“Joseph ([Arabic](#): يوسف, *Yūsuf*) is regarded by [Muslims](#) as a prophet (Quran, suras vi. 84, xl. 34), and a whole chapter [Yusuf](#) (sura xii.) is devoted to him, the only instance in the [Quran](#) in which an entire chapter is devoted to a complete story of a prophet. It is described as the ‘best of stories’.”

“Joseph is mentioned in the [New Testament](#) as an example of faith ([Hebrews 11:22](#)).

Joseph is commemorated as one of the Holy Forefathers in the [Calendar of Saints](#) of the [Armenian Apostolic Church](#) on 26 July. In the [Eastern Orthodox Church](#) and those [Eastern Catholic Churches](#) which follow the [Byzantine Rite](#), he is known as "Joseph the all-comely", a reference not only to his physical appearance, but more importantly to the beauty of his spiritual life. They commemorate him on the Sunday of the Holy Forefathers (two Sundays before [Christmas](#)) and on [Holy and Great Monday](#) (Monday of [Holy Week](#)). In [icons](#), he is sometimes depicted wearing the [nemes](#) headdress of an [Egyptian vizier](#). The [Lutheran Church–Missouri Synod](#) commemorates him as a patriarch on 31 March.

. . . In addition to honoring him, there was a strong tendency in the [patristic](#) period to view his life as a [typological](#) precursor to Christ.”

From 2007 book [Joseph's Bones: Understanding the Struggle Between God and Mankind in the Bible](#), published by an [imprint](#) of [Penguin Books](#) (now an imprint of [Penguin Random House](#)):

The Parallels Between Jesus and Joseph

Many of the elements of connectedness between Jesus and Joseph have long been noted. In his *Pensees*, Blaise Pascal, the seventeenth-century mathematician and philosopher, called attention to these parallels as part of his “proofs of Jesus Christ.” For Pascal, Jesus was “typified” by Joseph . . .

. . . The key point around which all else turns is that Jesus is a Jew whose Bible is the Hebrew Bible, most centrally the first five books, the Torah.

This, of course, includes the story of Joseph. Further, it must be assumed that Jesus himself was aware of all or almost all of the parallels between himself and Joseph. Moreover, Jesus in the story is presented as a Biblical scholar, capable even as a child of astounding the experts.

. . . In considering how the Torah affected Jesus, one could think of him as having modeled himself on Joseph . . .

Another reason that the parallels between Joseph and me fit MPS-in-a-simcom

From *Joseph and His Brothers* (my emphases):

But what seemed truly magical to them [i.e., Egyptians] was **the encounter between foresight and evil** here—which is to say, the way in which the Spender of Shade [i.e., Joseph] led evil around by the nose . . . This was unexpectedly good-humored magic that simply **made them laugh**.

. . . Even far beyond Egypt's borders, this was the general opinion of **Joseph's administration**. It was a **source of laughter** . . .

— End of excerpt #2 from said URL —

Re: it's very likely I'd outperform Hillary Clinton (HC) if she seeks the presidency in 2024

Again, I emailed the literary agent of *SoT*'s co-author. Subsequently, I emailed others connected to HC.

It seems plausible/likely that at least one of my emails was forwarded to HC, not least because:

1. mFFO
2. T2M and mAIP+ fit *SoT 2*
3. HC's recent public statements (see above)

4. From 2014 book [*HRC: State Secrets and the Rebirth of Hillary Clinton*](#) (my emphases):

It also reflected **Hillary's modus operandi**, for better and worse. She **seized on someone else's idea**, devised a plan for emulating it, and powered it through to completion. "She's not the most creative one, but she has the command of the substance, and she has the command of the strategic direction," one of her top advisers said. **Her strengths were in executing on the good ideas that came to her** and applying lessons learned from one problem to resolving another.

Hillary used her strength as a student, as well as her staff, to compensate for not being a fount of innovation. **Insatiable for ideas**, she solicited them from a wide range of people, and she imported a technique from her days in the Senate that was **old hat for longtime Hillarylanders**. Laurie Rubiner had been her Senate legislative director for about two months in 2005 when she was called into Hillary's office to find a pair of suitcases on two card tables. Inside were hundreds of pages of newspaper and magazine clippings with scribbles in the margins in the handwriting of Bill and Hillary Clinton. Rubiner could have been forgiven for thinking she had walked into the Unabomber's office. It was an old gambit that Hillary's previous senior legislative staff had discontinued. But with a new director now running the operation, Hillary renewed the game. Rubiner's task was to assign clips to all the junior legislative staffers in the office and get them to report back to Hillary on potential courses of action that could be taken to rectify a problem or take advantage of a new way of doing business. The practice spilled over to State, where Jake Sullivan ended up spending long hours going through clips with Hillary on her plane.

I haven't received a response to those emails. Possible reason: HC's fear (HF). Fugly HF-possibility, via Bill Clinton (BC) [having spent time with Jeffrey Epstein](#): HC fears/knows that Ps have BC's DNA (e.g., from an item that (might've) also yielded HC's DNA and/or her daughter's DNA).

From news.google.com:

<https://www.newsweek.com> › ... › Crime › FBI

Judge Esther Salas Assigned to Epstein Deutsche Bank Case ...

Jul 20, 2020 — Judge **Esther Salas** Assigned to **Epstein Deutsche Bank** Case 4 Days Before Husband, Son Shot ... The son of a federal judge was killed and her ...

<https://fortune.com> › 2020/07/20 › epstein-trial-judges-...

Son of judge overseeing Deutsche Bank-Epstein trial killed by ...

Jul 20, 2020 — The judge in question is **Esther Salas**. Earlier in the week, she was assigned to oversee a lawsuit brought by investors against **Deutsche Bank** ...

<https://nypost.com> › 2020/07/20 › nj-judge-esther-salas...

NJ Judge Esther Salas took on Epstein case days before ...

Jul 20, 2020 — Federal Judge **Esther Salas** was assigned to a class-action lawsuit from **Deutsche Bank** investors who claim the company failed to monitor ...

<https://www.rollingstone.com> › culture › culture-news › j...

Gunman Shoots Son, Husband of Federal Judge Esther Salas ...

A gunman shot and killed the son of **Esther Salas**, a New Jersey federal ... lawsuit brought against **Deutsche Bank** on behalf of investors.

Jul 20, 2020

<https://www.vice.com> › Home › News

The Gunman Who Allegedly Shot a Federal Judge's Son ...

Jul 20, 2020 — Judge **Esther Salas** was appointed to the **Epstein** case last Wednesday. On Sunday, her 20-year-old son, and her husband, were shot at home.

Possible way to reconcile “HC fears/knows . . .” with her recent statements seen above: She has reviewed my T2M-analysis and is signaling that she has via said statements, as a means of leading me to hypothesize that she fears/knows. A fictional precedent* for such signaling appears in an episode of [The West Wing](#).
[Excerpt:](#)

JOSH

Sorry, sir, would you hear what Sam has to say for a moment?

BARTLET

What?

SAM

[walks forward with the note] Listen, I'd like to tell you about something and if, when I'm done, you think I sounded like an idiot, just know that I'll be feeling like one as well. Nikolai Ivanovich, the senior member of the logistical negotiating team, said he had language he wanted added to the joint statement, and that he wrote it himself.

LEO

What's he getting involved with the language of the statement for?

SAM

Yeah. [opens the note] What he wanted added was, "Together in partnership, we must stem the tide of nuclear proliferation, for why should our two nations still possess the power to destroy themselves ten times over; surely once is enough." Now, I have to tell you, sir, that both these negotiators had conversational English, but they didn't have idioms. I promise you . . .

BARTLET

'Stem the tide' is an English idiom.

SAM

Yeah, and they don't have 'surely, once is enough' either.

JOSH

Sir, Chigorin wrote that.

SAM

I think he's trying to send you a message, Mr. President.

BARTLET

He is trying to send me a message.

EVERYONE
Exactly, Mr. President.

BARTLET
We've been trying to get non-proliferation on the agenda, we've been trying to put those exact words in Chigorin's mouth.

JOSH
He's got the whole Soviet defense establishment that's trying to do business.

FITZWALLACE
Wait a second! Hang on... You're telling me that foreign policy of this magnitude is conducted through Sam and I'm still alive?

SAM
We're pretty impressed ourselves, Mr. Chairman.

FITZWALLACE
Why didn't he just have somebody pick up the phone?

JOSH
It's the old diplomatic corps, and he can't trust them yet, sir.

BARTLET
Fitz?

FITZWALLACE
I think he's going out on a limb. I think you should meet him there.

* HC's signaling wouldn't be the first time life imitated art in the context of advancing national/global security. From a 2010 [article](#) in *The New Yorker* written by Malcolm Gladwell (my emphases):

When a hundred and sixty thousand Allied troops invaded Sicily on July 10, 1943, it became clear that the Germans [i.e., Nazis] had fallen victim to one

of the most remarkable deceptions in modern military history. The story . . . is the subject of the British journalist Ben Macintyre's brilliant and almost absurdly entertaining [2010 book, titled] "Operation Mincemeat" . . .

The idea for [WWII's] Operation Mincemeat, Macintyre tells us, **had its roots in a mystery story** written by Basil Thomson, a former head of Scotland Yard's criminal-investigation unit. Thomson was the author of a dozen detective stories, and his 1937 book "The Milliner's Hat Mystery" begins with the body of a dead man carrying a set of documents that turn out to be forged. "The Milliner's Hat Mystery" was read by Ian Fleming, who worked for naval intelligence. Fleming helped create something called the Trout Memo, which contained a series of proposals for deceiving the Germans, including this idea of a dead man carrying forged documents. The memo was passed on to John Masterman, the head of the Twenty Committee . . . Masterman, who also wrote mysteries on the side, starring an Oxford don and a Sherlock Holmes-like figure, loved the idea. Mincemeat, Macintyre writes, "began as fiction, a plot twist in a long-forgotten novel, picked up by another novelist, and approved by a committee presided over by yet another novelist."

Importantly, it's likely HC is fluent in said kind of cryptic signaling.

From HC's 2017 book [*What Happened*](#):

I love mystery novels and can plow through one in one sitting.

From 2005 book [*Graphs, Maps, Trees: Abstract Models for Literary History*](#), by the recipient of the [2013 National Book Critics Circle Award for Criticism](#) (my emphases):

[I]f language evolves by diverging, why not literature too?

For Darwin, 'divergence of character' interacts throughout history with 'natural selection and extinction': as variations grow apart from each other, selection intervenes, allowing only a few to survive. In a seminar of a few

years ago, I addressed the analogous problem of literary survival, using as a test case the early stages of British detective fiction. We chose **clues** as the **trait whose transformations were likely to be most revealing for the history of the genre . . .**

. . . Third branching: clues are present, they have a function, but are not visible: the detective mentions them in his final explanation, but we have never ‘seen’ them in the course of the story. Here we lose the last of Doyle’s rivals (which is exactly what, sooner or later, we had expected to see), but we also lose half of the *Adventures of Sherlock Holmes*, which we hadn’t expected at all; and the **next branching—clues must be not just visible, but decodable by the reader: soon to become a key ‘technical law’ of the genre . . .**

Of course, HC . . . greed . . . 64% > (part of) 20% . . .

From news.google.com (December 15, 2021):

The screenshot shows two news snippets from Google News. The first snippet is titled "Hillary 2024? Given the competition, she may be the Dems' best hope | TheHill" and includes a sub-headline "Hillary Clinton Plots Presidential Run in 2024?". The second snippet is titled "Bill O'Reilly: Hillary Clinton wants to face Trump in 2024 | TheHill" and includes a sub-headline "Could it be Hillary vs Trump AGAIN? Former First Lady could run in 2024, politics insider warns". Both snippets feature small profile pictures of the subjects and a "View Full Coverage" link.

Hillary 2024? Given the competition, she may be the Dems' best hope | TheHill
The Hill · 10 hours ago · Opinion

- **Hillary Clinton Plots Presidential Run in 2024?**
Election Central · 8 hours ago

[View Full Coverage](#)

Bill O'Reilly: Hillary Clinton wants to face Trump in 2024 | TheHill
The Hill · 2 hours ago

- **Could it be Hillary vs Trump AGAIN? Former First Lady could run in 2024, politics insider warns**
Daily Mail · 1 hour ago

[View Full Coverage](#)

From 2017 book [*Shattered: Inside Hillary Clinton's Doomed Campaign*](#) (my emphases):

Mook worried that an anti-Hillary candidate would gain traction while voters wondered about the fire in her belly. If she's slow to get in, he thought, people will think she doesn't want it enough, that she's not hungry enough. Plus, there was a golden opportunity for Hillary to take control of the party and put her stamp on it at a time when Obama's brand had been scraped by the shoals of a low-turnout election. She could be a rescuer, a savior, of the Democratic Party.

Hillary was in much less of a rush. She'd been amassing a fortune giving paid speeches to private companies, including Wall Street banks, and she didn't see the need to prolong what would be a grueling campaign.

. . . That dynamic played right into the hands of Sanders, who held himself out as an honest change agent and tweaked Hillary here and there on her lack of transparency—a theme that hinted at the e-mail scandal, questions about the Clinton Foundation, and her refusal to release transcripts of the private paid speeches she'd given to Wall Street banks before the campaign.

When Hillary had been advised by some allies not to speak to banks before the campaign, one confidant said, her response had been “They'll hit us on something.”

. . . It wasn't just that they didn't trust her team—they didn't trust Hillary. For the first time in her career, she held the hopes of the Democratic Party in her hands, and that made the party pooh-bahs nervous. After all, she'd done the crazy thing with her e-mails, given speeches for money at a time when it could clearly come back to bite her . . .

Worst case, HC is both fearful re: T2M *and* attempting a variant of Nixon-in-'68.

From 2016 book [*Fatal Politics: The Nixon Tapes, the Vietnam War, and the Casualties of Reelection*](#), published by University of Virginia Press:

“What the voters didn't see [in the run-up to the 1968 presidential election between Nixon and Humphrey] was the behind-the-scenes effort Nixon had made to get Thieu [then president of South Vietnam] to boycott the Paris

[peace] talks in the first place. Using a prominent Republican fund-raiser named Anna Chennault as his go-between, Nixon secretly encouraged Saigon's refusal. Publicly, Nixon said he would do nothing to interfere with the peace negotiations; behind closed doors, he interfered."

"Thieu['s] blowup in 1968 had practically decided the outcome of the presidential election. Nixon had started out with a huge, 16-point lead over Humphrey in the polls. But in October, news reports of a peace initiative in the works narrowed the gap. On October 31, less than a week before the election, President Johnson went on television and announced his decision to halt the bombing of North Vietnam. The North, which had refused to talk to representatives of the Saigon 'puppet' regime, agreed to sit down with representatives of the South as well as the United States in Paris. Humphrey, as LBJ's vice president, profited by association with the peace initiative. Nixon's lead in the polls evaporated. In Gallup the two were within the margin of error; in Harris, Humphrey pulled ahead. But on November 2, the Saturday before the election, Thieu announced that Saigon would boycott the Paris talks. 'This would be another step toward a coalition government with the Communists in South Vietnam,' said Thieu. Coalition was a dirty word in South Vietnamese and American politics, viewed as a synonym for defeat. Thieu's blowup made the peace initiative look like a slimy political trick designed by LBJ to boost Humphrey. The Democratic campaign's rise stalled. Nixon won . . ."

From a 2017 [article](#) in *Politico* magazine titled "When a Candidate Conspired With a Foreign Power to Win An Election: It took decades to unravel Nixon's sabotage of Vietnam peace talks. Now, the full story can be told.":

"[T]he Chennault episode shut a window that, with the help of the Soviet Union, [then President] Johnson and his aides thought they had opened. A moment of genuine hope, and a chance—however slight—to settle this ugly war was stolen."

"[This theft] cost the United States the opportunity to end the war in the fall of 1968, saving tens of thousands of American and Vietnamese lives and

four years of excruciating political division at home.”

From *What Happened*:

“Our shelves [HC’s and BC’s] are weighed down with volumes about history and politics, especially biographies of Presidents . . .”

“Bill and I laced up our shoes and hit [walking] trails again and again, slowly working through why I lost, what I could’ve done . . .”

All told, the maximin principle (see above) teaches us that electing HC president in 2024 is shaping up to be an unacceptable risk.

— End of “Re: ‘I’d outperform’” —

For 1.0 preventers

From said 281-page write-up of mine (written in 2019):

Re: said 3 sets of [my] R[elative]s who stole said ~\$350K

Aronows who own most of [Metropolitan Telecommunications](#): uncle Joe, aunt Debbie, cousins [Marshall](#), [David](#), [Anna](#) (stole ~\$65K in 2019 dollars).

Freedmans who lived in Lawrence, NY from the 1960s to the ‘90s: aunt [Helen](#), cousins [Judy](#), [Ken](#), [Bob](#), [Barry](#) (~\$125K in 2019 \$).

Reichsteins who lived in Verona, NJ during the 1970s and ‘80s: aunt [Renee](#), cousins [Alan](#), [Lynn](#), [Ira](#) (~\$160K in 2019 \$).

Re: I’m providing said names

The maximin principle precludes me from:

Seeking repayment from my Rs before naming any of them.

Naming a subset of them, then seeking repayment from (some of) the others.

Statement of the obvious

Non-Ps shouldn't share this write-up with any of my Rs unless you (et al.) are able to prevent them from endangering me.

For said Rs

By now, it's likely you're being surveilled by preventers *and* Ps.

From said 2019 write-up of mine:

	Rs repay	Rs don't repay
Klepto-Ps kill me	Rs have (better) alibi	Rs are IDEAL patsies
Rs kill me	Rs have (better) alibi	Rs are primary suspects
I live, OSG wields war/ emergency powers	Rs don't suffer worst-case via me	Rs suffer worst- case via me

— End of excerpt from 2019 write-up —

More re: future costs to Rs of not repaying

If I'm killed by Ps it's likely that you'll be "[canceled](#)" by ~7.722B non-Ps.

WSJ Wall Street Journal

Madoff's Son Is Found Dead in Apparent Suicide

Mark Madoff, 46 years old, was found hanged with a dog leash attached to a living-room-ceiling pipe in his apartment by his father-in-law,...

Dec 12, 2010



Re: “it’s likely”

From 2021 book [*Forward: Notes on the Future of Our Democracy*](#), by 2020 presidential candidate [Andrew Yang](#):

“I had raised a couple hundred thousand dollars from friends and family at the end of 2017 in order to launch . . .”

“The initial incarnation of my [Yang 2020] ‘team’ consisted of zero political professionals. Instead, it was a handful of young people crazy enough to join the presidential campaign of an anonymous entrepreneur.

. . . [O]ur little ragtag campaign would go on to raise tens of millions of dollars from hundreds of thousands of donors, outcompete the campaigns of five senators, six members of Congress, and the mayor of New York City, and get hundreds of thousands of votes nationwide . . .”

Similar to my focus on T2M, Yang’s campaign focused on the threat to jobs posed by AI, robotics and associated/complementary technologies.

From *Forward*:

My campaign wasn’t successful—I’m not the president obviously—but most people agree that we succeeded in putting universal basic income, once considered a quixotic idea, onto the national political radar. Today 55 percent of Americans agree that we should pass universal basic income.

From Yang’s 2018 book [*The War on Normal People: The Truth About America's Disappearing Jobs and Why Universal Basic Income Is Our Future*](#):

I am writing from inside the tech bubble to let you know that we are coming for your jobs.

I recently met a pair of old friends for drinks in Manhattan. One is an executive who works at a software company in New York. They replace call

center workers with artificial intelligence software. I asked her whether she believed her work would result in job losses. She responded matter-of-factly, “We are getting better and better at things that will make large numbers of workers extraneous. And we will succeed. There needs to be a dramatic reskilling of the workforce, but that’s not going to be practical for a lot of people. It’s impossible to avoid a lost generation of workers.” Her confidence in this assessment was total. The conversation then quickly shifted to more pleasant topics.

I later met with a friend who’s a Boston-based venture capitalist. He told me he felt “a little uneasy” about investing in software and robotics companies that, if successful, would eliminate large numbers of jobs. “But they’re good opportunities,” he noted, estimating that 70 percent of the startups he’s seeing will contribute to job losses in other parts of the economy.

In San Francisco, I had breakfast with an operations manager for a large tech company. He told me, “I just helped set up a factory that had 70 percent fewer workers than one even a few years ago would have had, and most of them are high-end technicians on laptops. I have no idea what normal people are going to do in a few years.”

Normal people. Seventy percent of Americans consider themselves part of the middle class. Chances are, you do, too. Right now some of the smartest people in the country are trying to figure out how to replace you with an overseas worker, a cheaper version of you, or, increasingly, a widget, software program, or robot. There’s no malice in it. The market rewards business leaders for making things more efficient. Efficiency doesn’t love normal people. It loves getting things done in the most cost-effective way possible.

A wave of automation and job loss is no longer a dystopian vision of the future—it’s well under way. The numbers have been telling a story for a while now that we have been ignoring. More and more people of prime working age have been dropping out of the workforce. There’s a growing mass of the permanently displaced. Automation is accelerating to a point

where it will soon threaten our social fabric and way of life.

Experts and researchers project an unprecedented wave of job destruction coming with the development of artificial intelligence, robotics, software, and automation. The Obama White House published a report in December 2016 that predicted 83 percent of jobs where people make less than \$20 per hour will be subject to automation or replacement. Between 2.2 and 3.1 million car, bus, and truck driving jobs in the United States will be eliminated by the advent of self-driving vehicles.

. . . Automation has already eliminated about 4 million manufacturing jobs in the United States since 2000 . . .

. . . Soon, these difficulties will afflict the white-collar world. It's a boiling pot getting hotter one degree at a time. And we're the frog.

Re: "worst-case via me"

Joseph-like forgiveness wouldn't preclude you(r (grand)children) (YGC) from serving in wars re: subduing T2M, UNLESS such service endangered other service members too much (e.g., too much because some Ps: 1) come to believe that my account of our adversarial past is a fiction designed to protect YGC, 2) hypothesize that they—Ps—can extract consideration from me by killing/kidnapping (some of) YGC). In this case, you can expect that, in keeping with my permanent need to strengthen the simcom case, YGC will: 1) live in a remote location surrounded by an electric fence and patrolled by weaponized drones, 2) have to farm the land for subsistence.

From *Joseph and his Brothers*:

"My dear papa," [Joseph's brother] Benjamin said, embracing [Joseph's and Ben's father] Jacob, "you hear and understand this just as we had to understand it—the one sooner, the other later. That man down there, who asked about me and asked so many things about you—'Is your father still alive?'—he is Joseph, he and Joseph are one and the same. He was never

dead, my mother's son. Travelers tore him from the claws of that beast and led him to Egypt, where he grew as if beside a spring and has become the first among men down there. The sons of that foreign land flatter him, for they would perish without his wisdom. Would you like to see tokens of this wonder? Behold the train that follows us. He has sent you twenty asses, whose burden is food, the reward of Egypt, and those wagons there come from Pharaoh's warehouse and are intended to bring us all down to your son. For that you should come—that was his purpose from the start, but I saw through it. And **he wants us to graze our flocks in rich pastures near him** [my emphasis]—though not where it is all too Egyptian, but in the land of Goshen.”

. . . [Joseph: “]If He [i.e., God] punishes, He means to punish, and the punishment carries its own serious purpose and yet is also a means for advancing some greater event.[”]