

Re: 'nukes + autocracies': My Amazon-/Microsoft-/VC-praised innovations* (INs) being used by the Biden administration ASAP is a KEY to preventing the worst-case** *and my subsequent [disruptive INs](#) **e.g., via my [finders'-fee](#) offer PROFITING you and/or others

URLs for said praise*

[bloomberg.com/news/articles/2005-02-13/one-more-thing-on-43-things](https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2005-02-13/one-more-thing-on-43-things), blogmaverick.com/2005/01/31/grokster-and-the-financial-future-of-america/#comment-7049 (blogmaverick.com is [Mark Cuban's](#) blog)

* for INs that: 1) I developed from 1992 to 2005, 2) are complemented by my INs developed 2005-2022

Brief summary (full summary (FS) follows; below FS are links to long write-ups of mine)

[UPenn criminologist's book: Ongoing molecular-genetics research](#) imperils the world's [~78 million psychopaths](#) (Ps).

There are strong indicators that: 1) a large and growing number of Ps know they're imperiled (PsIMP), 2) they're resisting (PR), 3) PR's [war chest](#) is very large and growing larger rapidly.

It's (very) likely that PR either includes undemocratic-leaders-with-nukes (e.g., Putin, Jinping) or it will soon.

Absent my work being leveraged, it's very likely that PR-with-nukes would (continue to) resist via a domino-theory that centers on challenging the U.S. et al. (US+) to a succession of game-of-chicken variants.

Each variant would force US+ to choose between a default loss (e.g., part of Ukraine*) or a Cuban-Missile-Crisis-like risk**.

* Putin's invasion is consistent with a domino-theory that seeks (partly) to inflate food-/energy-prices in US+ as a means of impacting democratic elections [s.t.](#) it'll be ([MUCH](#)) easier for PR to stockpile nukes and ([personalized](#)) bioweapons in the coming years.

** From a March 2022 [post](#) on the blog of the RAND Corporation (my emphases): "[R]ulers like Putin...conflate the continuation of their rule with their personal survival...Perpetuating their own rule at any cost or **risk of nuclear war is...rational for them.**"

Full summary

From said University of Pennsylvania criminologist's 2013 book: By 2034, involuntary "[indefinite detention](#)" of Ps—"[time bombs waiting to explode](#)"—could/should result from mandatory [biomarker](#)-testing of all people.

From a 2020 [article](#) in *Nature*: "In the past decade, studies of psychopathological genetics have become large enough to draw robust conclusions."

Via researching a risk re: my planned company, I read said book in 2015. During 2015-2021, I: 1) read said article; 2) inferred that said indefinite-detention might be possible years before 2034; 3) learned from a 2016 [article](#) on PsychologyToday.com that "a [\[meta-analytic\]](#) review of [48] studies found that the correlation between psychopathy and intelligence is nearly zero [i.e., [~2.3% of Ps have an IQ ≥ 130; ~16% ≥ 115](#)]"; 4) learned from a 2012 [article](#) in *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin* that "[t]oday's corporate psychopath may be highly educated—several with Ph.D., M.D., and J.D. degrees have been studied..."; 5) inferred it's very likely that a growing number of Ps are aware that PsIMP; 6) [confirmed](#) that, as a rule, groups that perceive themselves to be imperiled RESIST; 7) learned/inferred: Ps' resistance to PsIMP could be **DANGEROUS** for non-Ps, not least because: 7.1) Ps comprise "[virtually all](#)" contract-killers (CKs); 7.2) hiring-CKs-often [is a MUST](#) for kleptocrats (Ks; i.e., PsIMP suggests/implies KsIMP); 7.3) worldwide, kleptocracy has been [ASCENDANT and LUCRATIVE](#); so it's at least somewhat likely that: 7.4) Ks have-been/are HELPING to raise Ps'/Ks' awareness of PsIMP/KsIMP; 7.5) Ps'/Ks' war-chest for resisting PsIMP/KsIMP is LARGE and growing larger rapidly; 8) recognized an indicator that an alliance between Ps and Ks has reached an advanced stage: parallels/similarities between parts of: 8.1) [Deutsche Bank](#), 8.2) the defunct, [wildly violent, politically influential/coercive, worldwide criminal-enterprise of the 1980s known as Bank of Credit and Commerce International](#) (BCCI; from 2021 book [American Kleptocracy: How the U.S. Created the World's Greatest Money Laundering Scheme in History](#): "BCCI had created a blueprint that numerous kleptocrats and international criminals would soon follow"); 9) posited a possibility-re:-Ps'/Ks'-resistance that centers on: 9.1) a P gaining the authority to deploy nuclear weapons, 9.2) the gain being a result of many non-Ps making a variant of the "[category error](#)" that many Brits et al. made during Hitler's rise:

From 2008 book [The Wages of Destruction: The Making and Breaking of the Nazi Economy](#), by [Columbia University](#) historian [Adam Tooze](#): "Hitler had seen himself as locked in a global confrontation with world Jewry....For Hitler, a war of conquest was not one policy option amongst others. Either the German race struggled for Lebensraum [i.e., territory] or its racial enemies would condemn it to extinction."

From 2019 book [Appeasement: Chamberlain, Hitler, Churchill, and the Road to War](#): "The **failure to perceive the true character of the Nazi regime and Adolf Hitler** [my emphasis] stands as the single greatest failure of British policy makers during this period, since it was from this that all subsequent failures—the failure to rearm sufficiently,...to build alliances (not least with the Soviet Union),...to project British power,...to educate public opinion—stemmed."

In March 2022: I learned from 2020 book [Putin's People: How the KGB Took Back Russia and Then Took on the West](#) that "[Putin]

was always asking, 'What is that word beginning with s? *Sovest*—conscience.' They don't have receptors for this."

From 2019 book [The New Evil: Understanding the Emergence of Modern Violent Crime](#), by two Columbia University psychiatrists: "As we move along the continuum to Category 9 [of 22 categories of violent crime], we traverse an important threshold. The remainder of the scale encompasses persons who commit 'evil' acts partly or wholly as the result of varying degrees of psychopathy."

From the 2015 [article](#) in *The New Yorker* subtitled partly "How Xi Jinping...became China's most authoritarian leader since Mao": "In a meeting in March 2013, he [Xi] told the Russian President, Vladimir Putin, 'We are similar in character,'..."

Title of 2019 [article](#) in *The New York Times*: [Xi ordered:] 'Show Absolutely No Mercy': Inside China's Mass Detentions [of [Uyghurs](#)]

From an [article](#) in the May/June issue of *Foreign Affairs*: "[China's nuclear] arsenal is now on track to nearly quadruple, to 1,000 weapons, by 2030, a number that will put China far above any other nuclear power save Russia and the United States."

If China and Russia aren't run by Ps, it's (very) likely that each country will be run by a P soon:

The more repressive an undemocratic regime is, the more P-friendly an employer it has to be. (For details, see my long write-ups and the [paper](#) in the July 2022 issue of *Journal of Criminal Justice* titled "Psychopathy and crimes against humanity: A conceptual and empirical examination of human rights violators"; excerpt from the paper: "[T]ests indicated that the mean PCL-R score [i.e., psychopathy rating/level] of men in the junior ranks was significantly lower than that of those in the middle...and senior ranks...All those with the maximum Factor 1 score of 16 were in the senior ranks.").

PsIMP, so Ps (will) want to gain the/more authority to deploy nukes (N).

High-ranking Ps in repressive regimes can gain the authority via assassinating/replacing dictators-with-N who aren't Ps.

Ps can keep the gained authority via each P-dictator (PDwN) choosing a successor who's a P.

Each PDwN is/would-be very likely to: 1) have (many) P-children (PCs), 2) protect (almost all) PCs' identities (e.g., from being known by non-Ps). Implication of 1-2: Each terminal-diagnosis of a PDwN is/would-be very likely to motivate risk-taking* in service of P(C)s (i.e., of PR).

Absent many/most Ps accepting the offer that I propose/preview below, said risk-taking can be expected to be consistent with a variant of the U.S.'s Cold War domino-theory (i.e., the theory that Yale historian [John Lewis Gaddis](#) described [in 2005](#) as "the most famous of all Cold War metaphors"). Elements of the variant (i.e., of each associated game-of-chicken):

Invade part of a democratic country.

En route to taking over more/all of the country: 1) conscript part of the invaded population (precedent: Russia [conscripting Ukrainians from the Donbas region](#)), 2) gain more leverage over non-Ps (e.g., over conscripts) via the rest of the invaded population serving as de facto hostages (precedent, via 2019 book [Hitler: A Global Biography](#): "[A] leading New York Jewish lawyer, Maxie Steuer, visited Berlin in the spring or early summer of 1933 bringing an offer from major figures of the American Jewish community, including Warburgs, Speyers and Guggenheims, to finance the departure of all German Jews, not excepting those who had recently immigrated from eastern Europe....To Hanfstaengl's astonishment, Hitler rejected the proposal, reminding him that he wanted to keep the Jews as hostages.")

Install a P as dictator of the invaded/conquered (part of the) country.

[Provide the new PD with nukes.](#)

* Precedent, via 2021 book [Hitler's Fatal Miscalculation: Why Germany Declared War on the United States](#), published by Cambridge University Press: "Hitler lived in particular dread of cancer and in October 1937 and August 1939 spoke with astonishing candour [sic] to a small circle about the need to implement his expansionist agenda in the very near future."

Re: Ps can be expected to (have) conceive(d) a domino-theory

From 2005 book [Strategies of Containment: A Critical Appraisal of American National Security Policy during the Cold War \(Revised and Expanded Edition\)](#), by John Lewis Gaddis (my emphases): "Already one-third of the world is dominated by an imperialist brand of communism," Dulles had warned in 1952; "already the free world has been so shrunk that no further substantial parts of it can be lost without danger to the whole that remains." Eisenhower put this thought in more memorable form two years later with his **famous** comparison of the Indochina situation to a row of **dominoes**, set up in such a way that if the first one toppled, others followed...Early in 1955, he expanded on the theme in a confidential letter to his old comrade-in-arms, Winston Churchill:

We have come to the point where every additional backward step must be deemed a defeat for the Western world. In fact, it is a **triple defeat**. First, we lose a potential ally. Next, we give to an implacable enemy another recruit. Beyond this, every such retreat creates in the minds of neutrals the fear that we do not mean what we say when we pledge our support to people who want to remain free.

Re: Ps' domino-theory can be expected to yield a succession of game-of-chicken variants

From 1991 book [Dominoes and Bandwagons: Strategic Beliefs and Great Power Competition in the Eurasian Rimland](#), published by Oxford University Press: “[C]onsequences follow from the inflation of the importance of local disputes caused by the expectation of domino dynamics. First, the adversary can create a crisis at the time and place of its choosing[*]. This is unfortunate, but inevitable. From 1966 book [Arms and Influence](#), by Nobel laureate Thomas Schelling (i.e., by a “[Master Theorist of Nuclear Strategy](#)”): ‘Unlike those sociable games it takes two to play, with chicken it takes two *not* to play. If you are publicly invited to play chicken and say you would rather not, you have just played.’”

* e.g., via a healthy PDwN being replaced (temporarily) by a terminally-ill P

From *Arms and Influence*: “Some countries’ leaders play chicken because they have to, some because of its efficacy. ‘Nothing ventured, nothing gained.’”

More re: each variant would force US+ to choose between default loss or Cuban-Missile-Crisis-like risk

From *Arms and Influence*: “If one is repeatedly challenged, or expected to be, by an *opponent* who wishes to impose dominance or to cause one’s allies to abandon him in disgust, the choice is between an appreciable loss and a fairly aggressive response.”

From 1968 book [Thirteen Days: A Memoir of the Cuban Missile Crisis](#), by Robert F. Kennedy: “[T]he Intelligence Community had become convinced that Russia was placing missiles and atomic weapons in Cuba. That was the beginning of the...crisis.”

From *Arms and Influence*: “It may seem paradoxical that with today’s weapons of speedy destruction brinkmanship would be so common. Engaging in well-isolated small wars or comparatively safe forms of harassment ought to be less unattractive than wrestling on the brink of a big war. But the reason why most contests, military or not, will be contests of nerve is simply that brinkmanship is unavoidable and potent. It would be hard to design a war, involving the forces of East and West on any scale, in which the risk of its getting out of control were not of commensurate importance with the other costs and dangers involved.”

Re: Cuban-Missile-Crisis (CMC) risk

From the April 27, 2022 [article](#) on the website of *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* titled “Will Putin go nuclear? A timeline of expert comments”: “‘The risks of a direct confrontation between US and Russian forces may be fairly low at present,’ Michael Dobbs, author of *One Minute to Midnight*, an account of the Cuban missile crisis, [said](#). ‘But if you multiply that by X months or X years and the number of things that could go wrong, they turn out to be similar mathematically [to the one-in-three nuclear-war odds that President John F. Kennedy had calculated during the Cuban Missile Crisis].’”

From 2020 book [Gambling with Armageddon: Nuclear Roulette from Hiroshima to the Cuban Missile Crisis, 1945–1962](#), by a Pulitzer Prize recipient: “‘Pierre,’ he [i.e., JFK] says to his press secretary, Pierre Salinger, ‘Do you realize that if I make a mistake in this crisis 200 million people are going to get killed?’”; “In a review of *Thirteen Days*...former secretary of state Dean Acheson asserted that war was avoided due to ‘plain dumb luck.’ When I began my research for this book I was certain he was wrong. Now that I am finished I know he was right.”

Re: CMC-like risk via PR could be MUCH riskier

From a March 2022 [article](#) in *The New York Times* (NYT): “The truth is that even Mr. Putin may not know his nuclear red lines for sure. But American fears[*] of Russian nuclear escalation may be dangerous, too[**].”

* From 2011 book [The Psychopath Test: A Journey Through the Madness Industry](#): “[“]She said, ‘I’ve got a *bad* personality. I like to hurt people.’...So we went through the [fMRI] tests [i.e., brain scans]. When she was looking at the photographs of the mutilated bodies, the sensors showed that she was getting a kick off of them. Her **sexual reward center—it’s a sexual thing—was fired up by blood and death** [my emphasis]. It’s subconscious. It happens in milliseconds. She found those things *pleasant*.”

** From said 2022 NYT article (my emphases): “Recent advances in short-range missile technology means [sic] that leaders now have as little as a few minutes to decide whether or not to launch, drastically increasing the pressure to launch quickly, widely...”; “[A] former U.S. intelligence official for Europe...recently wrote that ‘**scores of war games** carried out by the United States and its allies’ all **projected** that Mr. **Putin would launch a single nuclear strike** if he faced limited fighting with NATO or major setbacks in Ukraine that he blamed on the West.”; “A recent **Princeton University simulation**, projecting out each side’s war plans and other indicators, **estimated** that it [i.e., **said single strike**] would be **likely to trigger a tit-for-tat exchange** that, in escalating to strategic weapons like intercontinental missiles, **could kill 34 million people within a few hours**.”; “Late in the Obama administration, two American war simulations imagined...[a] skirmish between NATO and Russia that Moscow met with a single nuclear strike. In the first, Pentagon leaders proposed a retaliatory nuclear strike...[A] civilian White House official...persuaded them to stand down and isolate Moscow diplomatically....[T]he second simulation ended with American nuclear strikes...”; “Both sides know that rapid nuclear strikes could wipe out their military forces...even their entire nuclear arsenals, leaving them defenseless. This means that both sides face an incentive to launch widely before the other can...”

Keys to preventing the worst-case re: 'nukes + autocracies + PsIMP'

1) gathering ([anticipatory intelligence](#)) re: people who are likely to be hypersexual—psychopathy [correlates STRONGLY with hypersexuality](#)—and are (becoming) wealthy, 2) acting on a lesson from [Colombia](#) (C)'s experience with [Pablo Escobar et al.](#), who: 2.1) were imperiled during the 1980s/'90s by the [prospect of C extraditing them](#) to the U.S.; 2.2) toward undoing the prospect, TERRORIZED C's population; 2.3) surrendered, (partly) for an eXXXpected* reason.

* From the March 2022 [article](#) in *Foreign Affairs* titled "The World's Most Dangerous Man": "[U.S.] must do what it can to reinforce any [fear/FEAR of Putin's re:] reluctance by the Russian military[, [FSB](#), [Kremlin](#)-insiders et al.] to cross the nuclear threshold."

Key to said gathering and said acting-on (another key is previewed in the "Links" section of page 5)

my 2016-'22 innovations (INs) that: 1) position my planned company (MPC) to be an IDEAL [front company](#), 2) adapt/expand-on my '92-'15 INs. Keywords (KWs) re: 9215INs: next-gen variant of LinkedIn (e.g., prices in NGLI's [virtual currency](#) will contain/reflect only truthful peer-ratings of work samples) and disruptive complements*; foundational for owning the top market for customized education (e.g., CE-for-[AI](#), which will be to the [AI economy](#) what oil has been to the industrial economy); IDEAL for said acting-on (e.g., IDEAL via being scaled-up during said gathering). KWs re: 1622INs: NG variant of [Playboy Enterprises circa '60s/'70s](#) (e.g., NG "[sugar dating](#)" (SD) [via adapting NGLI](#)).

* e.g., 200 pages of the first startup-comedy**, a serial "[non-fiction novel](#)" that: 1) is a product partly of my '06-'15 focus on learning to run a variant of [the books-to-TV/film company acquired for \\$100M](#) in '12, 2) will HELP MPC's [product-development groups](#) raise [equity-crowdfunding](#) (ECF) en route to [spinning off](#), 3) will double as the first flowmantic-comedy ([flow](#) is the state-of-mind that enables top performance/problem-solving; often, "[group flow](#)" sparks romantic attraction; NGLI will give rise to MANY flowmances), 4) can [spin-off](#) the startup-comedy (SC) that I've researched and partly designed (CNBC.com: "[CamGirls: The New Porn Superstars](#)"; the SC's working-title: *Sexcerpts and the City*; *SatC* would: 4.1) showcase adapted-NGLI, [particular-AI-for-SD](#), (guest-) camgirls,...; 4.2) spin-off SCs that'd raise ECF en route to highest-bidders/part-owners [casting](#) (partly) via [couches](#)), 5+)...

** 2022 version of my comedy-opener:

"Eighteen states," I said, "have legalized recreational marijuana. A lot of partying happens away from home. Smoking weed gives people the munchies. Many popular night-spots don't serve food. So there's a [greenfield opportunity](#) at the intersection of mobile storage, weed storage, and food storage. Specifically, an opportunity for OSG [The Opportunity Services Group; i.e., MPC] to patent my design of clothing-pockets that close via Ziploc."

Mindy's eyes widened for an instant. Then her lips formed a thin smile.

"I see you're worried about developing laugh lines," I said. "You shouldn't be. Laugh lines are no match for modern cosmetic surgery. After all, cosmetic surgery is getting so advanced that, soon, it will be a simple matter to make a woman's face after surgery appear *completely* different than her face before surgery."

Then I tried to appear struck by a flash of insight.

"Which means," I said, "that soon *millions* of Caucasian women will find it *impossible* to get a date! *Unless...*"

I picked up the handset of my desk phone, then appeared to dial an extension.

"It has come to my attention," I said into the handset, "that OSG can profit *obscenely* by purchasing the rights to develop and market the *only* DNA test that enables a woman to *prove* she's *not* [Lorena Bobbitt!](#)"

Mindy laughed.

I restored the handset to its cradle, then used my laptop. A new presentation-slide appeared on the wall-mounted screen:

From a 1978 [article](#) in *The New Yorker*: "'When it comes to saving a bad line, [Johnny Carson] is the master'—to quote a tribute paid in my presence by George Burns....One sometimes detects a vindictive glint in Carson's eye when a number of gags sink without risible trace, but [*Tonight Show* writer Pat] McCormick assures me that this is all part of the act..."

More re: the "ick factor" associated with parts of the previous two sections

See the first write-up of mine that's linked-to below. Excerpt:

From 2017 book [Destined for War: Can America and China Escape Thucydides's Trap?](#), by Graham Allison, Director of Harvard Kennedy School's Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs:

REVIEW ALL THE STRATEGIC OPTIONS—EVEN THE UGLY ONES [sic]

Related keywords: Hiroshima, Nagasaki.

Re: my DTRA-related work is conformant with relevant best practices

From the chapter of 2021 book [Netherlands Annual Review of Military Studies](#) written by RAND political scientist [Michael J. Mazarr](#) (my emphases): “**Combinations of threats and concessions** [e.g., **rewards**] appear to be **most associated with deterrence success**; as one scholar has concluded, ‘Mixing deterrence and conciliation is best...’; “As the unclassified public version of the 2018 U.S. nuclear posture review put it, there is no ‘one size fits all’ for deterrence”; “[Hence] the importance of developing deterrence strategies custom-made for the interests, preferences, and perceptions of a specific adversary....The requirements for effective deterrence vary given the **need to address the unique...vulnerabilities** of different potential adversaries.”

From *Arms and Influence*: “[I]f we cannot afford to back down we must hope that he [i.e., our adversary] can and, if necessary, help him.”

Re: my DTRA-related work is a precise fit for DTRA (my emphases)

From said article in the May/June 2022 issue of *Foreign Affairs*: “As [former U.S. Secretary of Defense Robert] McNamara once observed, the United States’ **security depends on assuming a worst possible case, and having the ability to cope with it.**”

From a 2022 [op-ed](#) in *NYT*, co-authored by two former members of the U.S. National Security Council staff: “In the 20th century, constructive doomsaying helped prevent the Cold War from becoming a shooting war. It was ultimately **worst-case thinking** that **stabilized nuclear deterrence** and **staved off nuclear Armageddon.**”

Re: me being first to (parts of) my: 1) threat analysis, 2) proposed partial-solution

From the [1997 book](#) by Harvard education psychologist Howard Gardner: “There are striking regularities in the lives of Makers—those...who have invented or decisively altered domains....At approximately ten-year periods, they are able to produce further innovations...These later innovations are typically more general and synthetic, often working through implications of the early work. However, there may also be dramatic shifts to new areas...because of the perception that...more intensive flow can be obtained by undertaking new challenges....[T]he Maker moves regularly and repeatedly in new directions—confronting issues and challenges that are invisible to others or may even be actively resisted by them.”

From a 2004 [email](#) sent to me by Amazon.com’s [first Director of Personalization](#): “Frank, I just spent about an hour surfing around your website with a bit of amazement. I run a [now defunct] little company [funded entirely by Amazon]...We are a team of folks who worked together at Amazon.com developing that company’s personalization and recommendations team and systems. We spent about 1.5 years thinking about what we wanted to build next. We thought a lot about online education tools. We thought a lot about classified ads and job networks. We thought a lot about reputation systems. We thought a bit about personalized advertising systems. We thought a lot about blogging and social networking systems....I guess I’m mostly just fascinated that we’ve been working a very similar vein to the one you describe, without having a solid name for it (we call it ‘the age of the amateur’ or ‘networks of shared experiences’ instead of [AI-powered] CLLCS [i.e., customized lifelong learning and career services], but believe me, we are talking about the same patterns and markets, if not in exactly the same way). Thanks for sharing what you have—it’s fascinating stuff.”

From a 1998 [email](#) sent to me by the then Manager of the Learning Sciences and Technology Group at Microsoft Research: “Frank, you are a good man. Have you thought about joining this team? Your only alternative, of course, is venture capital. But their usual models require getting rid of the ‘originator’ within the first eighteen months.”

Links to write-ups of mine (visit #1 first; both include many details re: said partial-solution)

- 1) [ike1952yang2020ruscica2024.substack.com/p/preventing-worst-case](#) (Substack.com is a popular content-hosting platform; the web-page (WP): 1.1) duplicates a 25-page pdf, 1.2) includes Cold War precedents re: “me being first to...”)
- 2) [ike1952yang2020ruscica2024.substack.com/p/threat-to-many-or-most-people](#) (duplicates 68 pages of the 237-page pdf that: 2.1) you can download from the WP, 2.2) includes details re: (almost) all Ps would be (~)100% certain that I wouldn’t bait-and-switch them after they accepted (the promise of) a(n ongoing) reward/outcome in eXXXchange for abandoning/subverting PR)

Possible next steps re: the above

I: 1) contact an old friend who’s a big donor to Democrats, 2) ask him to route (a variant of) this write-up to a top-10 Dem-donor whom I met several years ago, 3) write a [Kennan](#)-esque article using the [pseudonym XXX](#). :-|

Re: presentation-errors above

From 2012 book [APE: Author. Publisher. Entrepreneur—How to Publish a Book](#), co-authored by [Guy Kawasaki](#), a former chief evangelist at Apple: “Every time I turn in the ‘final’ copy of a book [Kawasaki has (co-)authored twelve books], I believe that it’s perfect. In *APE*’s case, upward of seventy-five people reviewed the manuscript, and [co-author] Shawn [Welch] and I read it until we were sick of it. Take a wild guess at how many errors our copy editor found. The answer is 1,500. [*APE* is 410 pages.]”

And, of course, I’m preoccupied by matters that are weighty and urgent; fast, cheap, good-copy-editing—pick any two...